Analysis and functional annotation of expressed sequence tags from the Asian longhorned beetle, *Anoplophora glabripennis*

Wayne B. Hunter[1,a], Michael T. Smith[2,b] and Laura E. Hunnicutt[1,3,c]

[1] U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, U.S. Horticultural Research Laboratory, Ft. Pierce, Florida 34945
[2] U.S. Department of Agriculture, Agricultural Research Service, Beneficial Insects Introduction Research Unit, Newark, Delaware 19713
[3]Current address: North Carolina State University, Raleigh, North Carolina 27695

Abstract

The Asian longhorned beetle, *Anoplophora glabripennis* (Motschulsky) (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae), is one of the most economically and ecologically devastating forest insects to invade North America in recent years. Despite its substantial impact, limited effort has been expended to define the genetic and molecular make-up of this species. Considering the significant role played by late-stadia larvae in host tree decimation, a small-scale EST sequencing project was done using a cDNA library constructed from 5th-instar *A. glabripennis*. The resultant dataset consisted of 599 high quality ESTs that, upon assembly, yielded 381 potentially unique transcripts. Each of these transcripts was catalogued as to putative molecular function, biological process, and associated cellular component according to the Gene Ontology classification system. Using this annotated dataset, a subset of assembled sequences was identified that are putatively associated with *A. glabripennis* development and metamorphosis. This work will contribute to understanding of the diverse molecular mechanisms that underlie coleopteran morphogenesis and enable the future development of novel control strategies for management of this insect pest.

Keywords: Coleoptera, development, EST, insect, morphogenesis, transcriptome
Correspondence: [a]wayne.hunter@ars.usda.gov, [b]michael.smith@ars.usda.gov, [c]lehunnic@ncsu.edu
Received: 3 September 2007 | **Accepted:** 8 March 2008 | **Published:** 20 May 2009
Copyright: This is an open access paper. We use the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 license that permits unrestricted use, provided that the paper is properly attributed.
ISSN: 1536-2442 | Vol. 9, Number 21

Cite this paper as:
Hunter WB, Smith MT, Hunnicutt LE. 2009. Analysis and functional annotation of expressed sequence tags from the Asian longhorned beetle, *Anoplophora glabripennis*. 13pp. *Journal of Insect Science* 9:21, available online: insectscience.org/9.21

Introduction

The Asian longhorned beetle, *Anoplophora glabripennis* (Motschulsky) (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae), is a pest native to eastern China and Korea (Lingafelter and Hoebeke 2002). In 1996, this insect was introduced into the United States, presumably via wood packing materials used to import cargo from Asia (Smith 2003). Since its initial discovery in the state of New York, infestations have been detected in Illinois (Poland et al. 1998), New Jersey (Haack 2003), and the province of Ontario, Canada (CFIA 2005; Haack 2006). *A. glabripennis* grow and reproduce on an array of hardwoods including members of the genera *Acer* (maple), *Aesculus* (horsechestnut), *Betula* (birch), *Celtis* (hackberry), *Plantanus* (plant tree, sycamore), *Populus* (poplar), *Salix* (willow), and *Ulmus* (elm) (Sawyer 2003; Ric et al. 2006). Late-instar grubs are especially destructive, forging winding galleries into the heartwood of the tree. This feeding behavior causes branch dieback and, in cases of heavy or persistent infestations, can result in structural deterioration and often tree mortality (Haack et al. 1997).

Burgeoning globalized trade presents a serious challenge in that *A. glabripennis* now have the opportunity to infiltrate via multiple points of entry, mitigating the efficacy of the small number of quarantine facilities currently in place. Undetected, this devastating pest could disseminate throughout regions of North America where suitable host trees exist. Nowak et al. (2001) estimated that, if this occurs, up to 1.2 billion urban shade trees with a compensatory value of $669 billion could be lost. While substantial, these figures do not factor in collateral losses such as degraded aesthetics and lowered property values nor do they take into account the potential impact to both commercial and natural forest stands.

At present, eradication efforts center on the identification and removal of trees showing signs of *A. glabripennis* infestation. As of 2002, $110.9 million was expended by federal, state, and city governments in New York and Illinois as part of this program (Stewart 2002). To gauge the utility of systemic insecticides as a supplement to this effort, scientists from the USDA Forest Service performed field evaluations in which trees were treated with either imidacloprid or thiocloprid. While successful in reducing *A. glabripennis* populations, neither compound provided complete control (Poland et al. 2006).

Only recently has research begun to shift focus from chemical-based control strategies to the development of sustainable biocontrol alternatives including entomopathogenic fungi, rhabditoid nematode species, microsporidia, natural predators and parasitoids (Smith et al. 2002, and references therein; Hajek et al. 2006) as well as artificial lures and bait/trap tree systems (Li et al. 1999; Zhang et al. 2002). Furthermore, nominal effort has gone into the investigation of genome-based approaches for management of *A. glabripennis*. To facilitate this work, our laboratory conducted a small-scale EST sequencing project and posted preliminary data to the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) dbEST where it is freely accessible to the scientific community. Because of the significant role played by late-stadia larvae in host tree decimation, 5th-instar *A. glabripennis* were selected as a base for the transcriptome survey described herein.

Materials and Methods

Insects

Fifth-instar *A. glabripennis* were obtained from a colony managed by Michael Smith at the USDA ARS Beneficial Insects Introduction Research Unit (Newark, DE). Insects were maintained as previously described by Dubois et al. (2002). Larvae were ground directly in guanidine-isothiocyanate buffer (1 larva per 20 ml buffer) and stored at −40°C prior to shipment.

RNA extraction and library construction

Upon arrival at the USDA ARS U.S. Horticultural Research Laboratory (Ft. Pierce, FL), the majority of samples were transferred to an ultra-low temperature freezer (−80°C) for archival purposes and a single larva was subjected to further processing. Buffer RLT (Qiagen, www.qiagen.com) was added to the primary sample at 2.5X the original volume along with 150 µl β-mercaptoethanol. The sample was placed at −40°C for 10 min then incubated at 37°C for 20 min. Intact tissues were further homogenized with a QIAShredder® and total RNA extracted using an RNeasy® Maxi Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions. The eluate was precipitated in 0.1 volumes 3M sodium acetate and 2.5 volumes absolute ethanol at −40°C overnight and the resultant pellet resuspended in 35 µl RNase-free water. Poly(A)+ RNA was purified using the MicroPoly(A)Pure Kit (Ambion, www.ambion.com). A primary library was constructed with Stratagene's ZAP-cDNA® Library Construction Kit (Stratagene, www.stratagene.com) and subsequently mass excised using ExAssist® Helper Phage (Stratagene). The library had a titer of 9.75 x 10^5 colony forming units per ml with inserts averaging ~1,221 bp. Transformants were recovered by random colony selection and grown overnight at 32°C, 125 rpm in LB Broth supplemented with 100 mg/ml ampicillin.

EST sequencing

Plasmid DNA was extracted using the Qiagen Liquid Handling Robot (Model 9600) in conjunction with the QIAprep 96 Turbo Miniprep Kit according to the recommended protocol. Single-pass sequencing was performed using the ABI PRISM® BigDye™ Primer Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems, www.appliedbiosystems.com) and a universal T3 primer. Reaction products were precipitated, resuspended in 15

μl sterile water, and loaded onto an ABI 3730 DNA Analyzer (Applied Biosystems).

Sequence analysis

Base calling was performed by TraceTuner™ (Paracel, www.paracel.com) and low-quality bases (quality score <20) were stripped from both ends of each EST. Quality trimming, vector trimming, and sequence fragment alignments were executed using Sequencher™ software (Gene Codes, www.genecodes.com). Sequencher contig assembly parameters were set using a minimum overlap of 50 bp and 90% identity. Contigs joined by vector sequence were flagged for possible miss-assembly and manually edited. The EST sequences reported in this study have been deposited in GenBank's dbEST under accession numbers DR108748-DR109303.

Sequence annotation and Gene Ontology classification

Putative sequence identity was determined based on BLAST similarity searches using the NCBI BLAST server (www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) with comparisons made to both non-redundant nucleic acid and protein databases using BLASTN and BLASTX, respectively. Matches with an E-value ≤-10 were considered significant and were classified according to the Gene Ontology classification system. In the case of CG numbers (e.g., CG30437-PA), annotations were conferred using the associated CV term provided by FlyBase (www.flybase.org). All other sequences were associated with a molecular function, biological process, and cellular component based on searches to the Gene Ontology database (www.geneontology.org). Custom Perl scripts and Excel spreadsheets were used for BLAST parsing and table generation. The SignalP 3.0 Server was used to predict the presence and location of signal peptide cleavage sites (www.cbs.dtu.dk/services/SignalP/).

Results and Discussion

General overview

A single *A. glabripennis* larva was used for this study so that allelic variations within an individual (EST allele counts) could be distinguished from those that may exist across a population (population allele frequency). 5′-end one-pass sequencing of the cDNA library yielded 672 ESTs, of which 599 were designated as high quality (i.e., ≥200 bases with a TraceTuner™ score of 20 or better). ESTs ranged in size from 206 to 828 bases with an average length of 650 bases. Upon assembly, these sequences were condensed to form 47 contiguous sequences (contigs), leaving 334 as singletons. Contigs and singlets together culminated in 381 unique sequences that putatively represent distinct transcripts. Contigs ranged in size from 392 to 2,240 bases with an average length of 954 bases; whereas, singletons varied from 206 to 828 bases with an average length of 647 bases.

Highly redundant transcripts

The calculated redundancy of the library was ~32% with nine contigs found to be highly redundant (i.e., containing ≥5 ESTs; Table 1) accounting for 24% of the total ESTs. Two of the contigs, representing 20 ESTs, had significant sequence similarity to mitochondrial genes and were subsequently discarded from the transcriptome survey. Nearly half of the highly redundant contigs had no significant similarity (E >−10) to any sequence listed within NCBI's nr database. These transcripts correspond to potentially novel genes specific to *A. glabripennis* and warrant further examination. The remaining three contiguous sequences returned significant matches to proteins previously identified in other coleopteran species. The most frequently represented of these transcripts, WHALB[0244], constituted 22 ESTs and matched most closely to a 56 kDa early-staged encapsulation-relating protein previously identified from *Tenebrio molitor* larvae. Upon assessment of alignment integrity, two sequence variants differing by 14 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were resolved. Consequently, WHALB[0244] was

Table 1. Most abundantly represented transcripts in the *A. glabripennis* cDNA library

Contig	ESTs	Accession No.	GenBank Descriptor [Source Organism]	E-value
[0243]	51	CAM36311	hypothetical protein [*Thermobia domestica*]	4.00E-09
[0244]	22	BAA78480	56 kDa early-staged encapsulation-inducing protein [*Tenebrio molitor*]	5.00E-25
[0259]	19	AAM44045	arylphorin-like hexamerin [*Apriona germari*]	0
[0241]	15	YP_659513	cytochrome c oxidase subunit I [*Anoplophora glabripennis*]	0
[0255]	12	XP_381775	hypothetical protein FG01599.1 [*Gibberella zeae* PH-1]	6.00E-05
[0250]	9	BAA78480	56 kDa early-staged encapsulation-inducing protein [*Tenebrio molitor*]	4.00E-05
[0275]	6	BAA78480	56 kDa early-staged encapsulation-inducing protein [*Tenebrio molitor*]	0.002
[0270]	5	YP_659517	cytochrome c oxidase subunit III [*Anoplophora glabripennis*]	5.00E-89
[0278]	5	XP_973799	PREDICTED: similar to CG6806-PA [*Tribolium castaneum*]	E-116

NOTE: items shaded in grey were treated as contaminating sequences and were removed prior to further annotation.

dissolved and realigned to form two assembled sequences, WHALB[0244a] containing 10 ESTs and WHALB[0244b] containing 12 ESTs. Both variants possessed a single open reading frame (ORF) consisting of 456 amino acid residues, the first 15 of which are thought to encode a leader/signal peptide. Amino acid abundance analyses of the translated protein sequences revealed a preponderance of Gln (99 residues or 22%), Gly (46–47 residues or 10%), and Leu (45 residues or 10%) within the coding domain while Cys and His levels were negligible (<0.5%). Although comparable to the cDNA of *T. molitor* 56-kDa encapsulation-relating protein with respect to amino acid abundance and overall sequence similarity, significant distinctions were noted including an eight amino acid insertion shortly after the signal peptide and 11 deletions scattered along the length of the coding domain (Cho et al. 1999). This would seem to indicate that WHALB[0244a] and WHALB[0244b] represent novel proteins which may play a role in *A. glabripennis* cellular defense. As such, both coding domains have been deposited into GenBank under accession numbers EF583868 and EF583869. The second most highly redundant contig, WHALB[0259], contained 19 ESTs and appeared to span the coding region for an arylphorin-like hexameric storage protein, denoted AglHEX (accession number EF583870). AglHEX had an ORF of 2,151 nucleotides, encoding a protein precursor 717 amino acids in length. The N-terminal of this precursor most likely contains a cleavage site between $AYS_{17}/A_{18}V$, indicating a signal peptide for transmembrane transport. In addition, the following highly conserved larval storage protein (LSP) signature sequence patterns were noted: LSP signature-1 motif $Y(F/Y/W)XED(L/I/V/M)X_2NX_6HX_3P)$ and LSP signature-2 motif $TX_2RDPX(F/Y)(F/Y/W)$ with the corresponding sequences in AglHEX as $YYLEDVGLNAFYYYYHLYYP_{218-237}$ and $TSMRDPVF_{421-428}$ (Zhu et al. 2002). Contig WHALB[0278], comprised of five ESTs, showed greatest sequence similarity to a predicted protein from *Tribolium castaneum* annotated as similar to *Drosophila melanogaster* CG6806-PA. When queried to FlyBase, it was determined that this transcript also corresponded to a LSP [partial LSP-2; ~400 amino acids missing from the protein's N-terminal]. Of 307 in-frame residues, WHALB[0278] contained five Met (2%) and 48 aromatic amino acids (16%), a composition indicative of arylphorin-like storage proteins (Telfer and Kungel 1991).

Functional classification of 5th-instar *A. glabripennis* ESTs

A BLASTN search of the entire dataset revealed six contigs and five singletons with significant sequence similarity either to non-nuclear transcripts (e.g., rRNA genes and mitochondrial genes) or contaminating organismal transcripts (e.g., transcripts of plant, bacterial, or trematode origin). These assembled sequences, representing 34 ESTs, were removed from the dataset prior to further analysis.

A total of 258 sequences (29 contigs and 229 singletons; 58% ESTs) showed significant sequence similarity to known proteins. Four sequences (3 contigs and 1 singleton; 4% ESTs) had hits with E-values $\geq 10^{-150}$, 35 sequences (9 contigs and 26 singletons; 8% ESTs) had hits with E-values between 10^{-100} and 10^{-149}, 114 sequences (11 contigs and 103 singletons; 22% ESTs) had hits with E-values between 10^{-50} and 10^{-99}, 48 sequences (3 contigs and 45 singletons; 9% ESTs) had hits with E-values between 10^{-30} and 10^{-49}, and 56 sequences (3 contigs and 53 singletons; 14% ESTs) had hits with E-values between 10^{-10} and 10^{-29}. The remainder of the sequences (9 contigs and 85 singletons; 42% ESTs) failed to return meaningful matches (E >-10). The best match (i.e., hit with the lowest E-value; E ≤ -10) most often corresponded to sequences derived from the Insecta with 225 ESTs (69%) showing greatest similarity to *T. castaneum*, followed by 8 ESTs (2%) for *Drosophila* spp., and 6 ESTs (2%) for *Apis mellifera*. Of the remaining ESTs, 63 (19%) showed greatest similarity to coleopteran species other than *T. castaneum*, 12 (4%) to non-coleopteran insect species, and 14 (4%) most closely resembled sequences derived from non-insect source material. Sequences with a significant hit were further characterized using controlled vocabularies using Gene Ontology. Overviews which include hierarchical listings of associated molecular functions, biological processes, and cellular components are provided in Tables 2, 3, and 4, respectively.

Transcripts putatively associated with *A. glabripennis* development and metamorphosis

Table 5 highlights a subset of developmental and metamorphosis-related transcripts identified in the *A. glabripennis* library. A brief discussion illustrating the role(s) of several of these transcripts is offered below along with select references.

Autophagic cell death

WHALB004-85 and WHALB007-57 encompassed the complete coding domains of a putative peptidyl-prolyl cis-trans isomerase (PPIase) and eukaryotic translation initiation factor. Using serial analysis of gene expression (SAGE), Gorski et al. (2003) substantiated the involvement of equivalent proteins (e.g., Dmel\cyp1 and Dmel\eIF-5A) in authophagic cell death. While generally considered as a defense mechanism, this process is believed to be imperative for organelle turnover and recycling during the transition from late instar/pre-pupa to pupa in holometabolous insects such as *A. glabripennis*.

Table 2. Molecular function

Gene Ontology (GO) Term[a]	Number of ESTs[b]	% of total ESTs represented	Number of contigs	Number of singlets
[p] Antioxidant activity	2	0.36%	0	2
[p] Binding				
[c] Amine binding	1	0.18%	0	1
[c] Carbohydrate binding	5	0.89%	0	5
[c] Cofactor binding	2	0.36%	0	2
[c] Hormone binding	2	0.36%	1	0
[c] Ion binding	22	3.91%	2	17
[c] Isoprenoid binding	3	0.53%	0	3
[c] Lipid binding	5	0.89%	0	5
[c] Nucleic acid binding	29	5.16%	3	22
[c] Nucleotide binding	26	4.63%	4	18
[c] Odorant binding	1	0.18%	0	1
[c] Oxygen binding	2	0.36%	1	0
[c] Protein binding	57	10.14%	7	42
[c] Tetrapyrrole binding	5	0.89%	0	5
[c] Vitamin binding	4	0.71%	0	4
[c] No further information provided	2	0.36%	1	0
[p] Catalytic activity				
[c] Deaminase activity	3	0.53%	1	1
[c] Helicase activity	1	0.18%	0	1
[c] Hydrolase activity				
[i] Hydrolase activity, acting on acid anhydrides	18	3.20%	4	10
[i] Hydrolase activity, acting on carbon-nitrogen (but not peptide) bonds	3	0.53%	0	3
[i] Hydrolase activity, acting on ester bonds	9	1.60%	1	7
[i] Hydrolase activity, acting on ether bonds	1	0.18%	0	1
[i] Hydrolase activity, acting on glycosyl bonds	2	0.36%	0	2
[i] Peptidase activity	39	6.94%	3	13
[c] Isomerase activity	7	1.25%	1	5
[c] Ligase activity	9	1.60%	0	9
[c] Lyase activity	7	1.25%	0	7
[c] Oxidoreductase activity	22	3.91%	2	18
[c] Small protein conjugating enzyme activity	2	0.36%	0	2
[c] Transferase activity	19	3.38%	1	17
[p] Chaperone regulator activity	1	0.18%	0	1
[p] Enzyme regulator activity				
[c] Enzyme activator activity	2	0.36%	0	2
[c] Enzyme inhibitor activity	12	2.14%	3	6
[c] GTPase regulator activity	3	0.53%	0	3
[c] Kinase regulator activity	3	0.53%	0	3
[c] Phosphatase regulator activity	1	0.18%	0	1
[p] Motor activity	1	0.18%	0	1
[p] Nutrient reservoir activity	24	4.27%	2	0
[p] Signal transducer activity	7	1.25%	0	7
[p] Structural molecule activity				

Table 2 (cont.)

Gene Ontology (GO) Term[a]	Number of ESTs[b]	% of total ESTs represented	Number of contigs	Number of singlets
[c] Structural constituent of cuticle	1	0.18%	0	1
[c] Structural constituent of cytoskeleton	10	1.78%	1	8
[c] Structural constituent of muscle	1	0.18%	0	1
[c] Structural constituent of peritrophic membrane (sensu Insecta)	1	0.18%	0	1
[c] Structural constituent of ribosome	26	4.63%	4	17
[c] No further information provided	6	1.07%	1	4
[p] Transcription regulator activity	7	1.25%	0	7
[p] Translation regulator activity	7	1.25%	2	3
[p] Transporter activity				
[c] Amine transporter activity	1	0.18%	0	1
[c] Auxiliary transport protein activity	1	0.18%	0	1
[c] Carbohydrate transporter activity	1	0.18%	0	1
[c] Carrier activity	9	1.60%	1	7
[c] Intracellular transporter activity	1	0.18%	0	1
[c] Ion transporter activity	2	0.36%	0	2
[c] Lipid transporter activity	2	0.36%	0	2
[c] Neurotransmitter transporter activity	1	0.18%	0	1
[c] Organic acid transporter activity	1	0.18%	0	1
[c] Oxygen transporter activity	25	4.45%	2	1
[c] Water transporter activity	1	0.18%	0	1
[c] No further information provided	5	0.89%	0	5
[p] Molecular function unknown	89	15.84%	4	61

[a]Classification is hierarchial: indented terms are children [c] of parent terms [p] listed above. All functional assignments of 5th-instar *A. glabripennis* ESTs described here are the "inferred from electronic annotation" (IEA) using the top 5 BLASTX hits with an E-value of ≤ -10 generated from NCBI's nr database. The definition term associated with each sequence was entered into both FlyBase and AmiGO where it was given a molecular function designation according to the Gene Ontology Consortium.

[b]Because a single EST can be associated with several GO terms, the total number of ESTs may be larger than the actual number of ESTs analyzed. However, no single EST was catalogued under the same GO term more than once.

Bristle morphogenesis

Singletons WHALB002-36 and WHALB004-32 corresponded to *D. melanogaster* singed [CG32858-PA, isoform A] and darkener of apricot (Doa) [CG33553-PE, isoform E]. Although most often associated with neurosensory bristle development, these proteins are thought to be critical in an array of developmental processes including antennal morphogenesis, compound eye development, salivary gland autophagic cell death, and sex differentiation (Yun et al. 1994).

Nervous system development

Analysis of the primary sequence of WHALB[0248] exposed what appears to be a "false contig" (i.e., product of two distinct transcripts erroneously conjoined through alignment of analogous sequence). The contig was subsequently dissolved and each EST compared to the nr database separately. Based on results of the query, WHALB007-9 was retained along with the BLASTX match definition listed in Table 5. Because WHALB007-9 and WHALB[0269] were assigned equivalent designations, it was necessary to ascertain whether these sequences could be assembled using less stringent parameters. However, superposition of the translated sequences to *D. melanogaster* CG4264-PA, isoform A isoform 1 revealed an 8 amino acid gap corresponding to Dmel $TQASIEID_{278-285}$ that failed to link the *A. glabripennis* sequences. While not contiguous, these assembled sequences represent transcripts that putatively encode heat shock protein cognate 4 (Hsc70-4), a protein which functions in nerve projection events such as axon guidance, axonal fasciculation, neurotransmitter secretion and synaptic vesicle transport.

Table 3. Biological process

Gene Ontology (GO) Term[a]	Number of ESTs[b]	% of total ESTs represented	Number of contigs	Number of singlets
[p] Cellular process				
[c] Cell adhesion	3	0.46%	1	1
[c] Cell communication	36	5.53%	3	30
[c] Cell differentiation				
[i] Cell fate commitment	1	0.15%	0	1
[i] Neuron differentiation	6	0.92%	2	2
[i] Oocyte differentiation	6	0.92%	1	4
[i] Photoreceptor cell differentiation	4	0.61%	0	4
[i] No further information provided	1	0.15%	0	1
[c] Cellular physiological process				
[i] Cell cycle	7	1.08%	0	7
[i] Cell death	4	0.61%	0	4
[i] Cell division	2	0.31%	0	2
[i] Cell homeostasis	13	2.00%	2	8
[i] Cell motility	4	0.61%	0	4
[i] Cell organization and biogenesis	21	3.23%	4	13
[i] Cell proliferation	4	0.61%	0	4
[i] Cellular metabolism				
[ii] Alkene metabolism	1	0.15%	0	1
[ii] Amine metabolism	12	1.84%	1	10
[ii] Cofactor metabolism	5	0.77%	0	5
[ii] Generation of precursor metabolites and energy	4	0.61%	0	4
[ii] Nucleobase, nucleoside, nucleotide and nucleic acid metabolism	22	3.38%	2	18
[ii] Organic acid metabolism	1	0.15%	0	1
[ii] Vitamin metabolism	1	0.15%	0	1
[i] Cellularization	3	0.46%	0	3
[i] Chromosome segregation	4	0.61%	0	4
[i] Transport	63	9.68%	5	33
[i] No further information provided	4	0.61%	1	2
[p] Development				
[c] Aging	2	0.31%	0	2
[c] Appendage development	3	0.46%	0	3
[c] Embryonic development	9	1.38%	2	5
[c] Morphogenesis	5	0.77%	0	5
[c] Organ development	12	1.84%	2	8
[c] Pattern specification	7	1.08%	1	5
[c] Pigmentation during development	2	0.31%	1	0
[c] Post-embryonic development	4	0.61%	1	2
[c] Sex differentiation	1	0.15%	0	1
[c] System development				
[i] Nervous system development	13	2.00%	3	7
[c] Tissue development	11	1.69%	1	9
[p] Growth	1	0.15%	0	1
[p] Physiological process				

Table 3 (cont.)

Gene Ontology (GO) Term[a]	Number of ESTs[b]	% of total ESTs represented	Number of contigs	Number of singlets
[c] Localization	2	0.31%	0	2
[c] Metabolism				
[i] Biosynthesis				
[ii] Organismal biosynthesis				
[iii] Cuticle biosynthesis	4	0.61%	0	4
[i] Cellular metabolism				
[ii] Aromatic compound metabolism	2	0.31%	1	0
[ii] Hormone metabolism	1	0.15%	0	1
[ii] One-carbon compound metabolism	2	0.31%	0	2
[ii] Oxygen and reactive oxygen species metabolism	2	0.31%	0	2
[ii] Pheromone metabolism	1	0.15%	0	1
[ii] Phosphrous metabolism	2	0.31%	0	2
[i] Macromolecule metabolism				
[ii] Carbohydrate metabolism	10	1.54%	0	10
[ii] Protein metabolism	107	16.44%	16	54
[i] Primary metabolism				
[ii] Lipid metabolism	16	2.46%	1	14
[i] No further information provided	5	0.77%	1	3
[c] Organismal physiological process				
[i] Molting cycle	1	0.15%	0	1
[i] Muscle contraction	4	0.61%	1	2
[i] Organismal movement	1	0.15%	0	1
[c] Regulation of physiological process				
[i] Regulation of cellular physiological process	30	4.61%	4	22
[p] Regulation of biological process				
[c] Negative regulation of biological process	1	0.15%	0	1
[c] Regulation of catalytic activity	1	0.15%	0	1
[p] Reproduction	13	2.00%	0	13
[p] Response to stimulus				
[c] Behavior	14	2.15%	4	6
[c] Response to abiotic stimulus	8	1.23%	1	6
[c] Response to biotic stimulus	14	2.15%	2	10
[c] Response to external stimulus	1	0.15%	0	1
[c] Response to stress	8	1.23%	2	4
[c] Sensory perception	3	0.46%	0	3
[p] Biological process unknown	96	14.75%	4	68

[a]Classification is hierarchial: indented terms are children [c] of parent terms [p] listed above. All functional assignments of 5th-instar *A. glabripennis* ESTs described here are the "inferred from electronic annotation" (IEA) using the top 5 BLASTX hits with an E-value of ≤ -10 generated from NCBI's nr database. The definition term associated with each sequence was entered into both FlyBase and AmiGO where it was given a biological process designation according to the Gene Ontology Consortium.
[b]Because a single EST can be associated with several GO terms, the total number of ESTs may be larger than the actual number of ESTs analyzed. However, no single EST was catalogued under the same GO term more than once.

Table 4. Cellular component

Gene Ontology (GO) Term[a]	Number of ESTs[b]	% of total ESTs represented	Number of contigs	Number of singlets
[p] Extracellular matrix	1	0.23%	0	1
[p] Extracellular region	47	10.73%	5	17
[p] Cell				
[c] Cell part				
[i] Apical part of cell	1	0.23%	0	1
[i] Cell projection				
[ii] Flagellum	2	0.46%	0	2
[ii] Neuron projection	3	0.68%	0	3
[i] Cell soma	1	0.23%	0	1
[i] Intracellular				
[ii] Intracellular part				
[iii] Cytoplasm	30	6.85%	4	22
[iii] Cytoplasmic part				
[iv] Cell cortex	1	0.23%	0	1
[iv] Contractile fiber	3	0.68%	1	1
[iv] Cytoplasmic vesicle	6	1.37%	0	6
[iv] Cytosol				
[v] Cytosolic part				
[vi] Cytosolic large ribosomal subunit (sensu Eukaryota)	14	3.20%	2	9
[vi] Cytosolic small ribosomal subunit (sensu Eukaryota)	10	2.28%	1	8
[v] No further information provided	10	2.28%	3	4
[iv] Eukaryotic 43S preinitiation complex	2	0.46%	0	2
[iv] Fusome	1	0.23%	0	1
[iv] Vacuole	1	0.23%	0	1
[iii] Intracellular organelle				
[iv] Intracellular membrane-bound organelle				
[iv] Endoplasmic reticulum	7	1.60%	1	5
[iv] Endosome	4	0.91%	0	4
[iv] Golgi apparatus	2	0.46%	0	2
[iv] Mitochondrion	15	3.42%	2	11
[iv] Nucleus	25	5.71%	3	19
[iv] Intracellular non-membrane-bound organelle				
[iv] Chromosome	4	0.91%	0	4
[iv] Cytoskeleton	3	0.68%	0	3
[iv] Rhabdomere	1	0.23%	0	1
[iv] Ribosome	9	2.05%	1	7
[iii] Proteasome complex (sensu Eukaryota)	4	0.91%	0	4
[iii] Proton-transporting ATP synthase complex	2	0.46%	0	2
[iii] Respiratory chain complex I (sensu Eukaryota)	2	0.46%	0	2
[iii] Respiratory chain complex III (sensu Eukaryota)	1	0.23%	0	1
[ii] No further information provided	8	1.83%	1	6
[i] Membrane				

Table 4 (cont.)

Gene Ontology (GO) Term[a]	Number of ESTs[b]	% of total ESTs represented	Number of contigs	Number of singlets
[ii] Coated membrane	1	0.23%	0	1
[ii] Membrane part				
[iii] Intrinsic to membrane				
[iv] Integral to membrane	12	2.74%	1	10
[ii] Organelle membrane				
[iii] Mitochodrial membrane	3	0.68%	1	1
[ii] Plasma membrane	8	1.83%	0	8
[ii] No further information provided	4	0.91%	0	4
[p] Envelope				
[c] Organelle envelope				
[i] Mitochondrial envelope	3	0.68%	1	1
[p] Macromolecular complex				
[i] Protein complex				
[ii] ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporter complex	1	0.23%	0	1
[ii] Cyclin-dependent protein kinase holoenzyme complex	1	0.23%	0	1
[ii] Eukaryotic translation elongation factor 1 complex	4	0.91%	2	0
[ii] Exosome (RNase complex)	1	0.23%	0	1
[ii] Ferritin complex	5	1.14%	1	2
[ii] Larval serum protein complex	24	5.48%	2	0
[ii] Oligosaccharyl transferase complex	2	0.46%	1	0
[ii] Protein serine/threonine phosphatase complex	1	0.23%	0	1
[ii] Ubiquitin ligase complex	1	0.23%	0	1
[ii] Unlocalized protein complex	1	0.23%	0	1
[p] Cellular component unknown	146	33.33%	9	108

[a]Classification is hierarchial: indented terms are children [c] of parent terms [p] listed above. All functional assignments of 5th-instar *A. glabripennis* ESTs described here are the "inferred from electronic annotation" (IEA) using the top 5 BLASTX hits with an E-value of ≤ -10 generated from NCBI's nr database. The definition term associated with each sequence was entered into both FlyBase and AmiGO where it was given a cellular component designation according to the Gene Ontology Consortium.
[b]Because a single EST can be associated with several GO terms, the total number of ESTs may be larger than the actual number of ESTs analyzed. However, no single EST was catalogued under the same GO term more than once.

WHALB[0262] consisted of a single ORF containing the entire coding domain of a putative protein paralleling *D. melanogaster* ciboulot (cib). Like Dmel\cib, the translated sequence of the *A. glabripennis* coding domain is highly congruent, at least on an amino acid level, to β-thymosins (e.g., *Bombyx mori* thymosin isoform 2, 5.00E-40; accession no. ABF51487). In particular, an actin binding motif found in both β-thymosins and cib was identified as $KLKHTETQEK_{74-83}$ within the WHALB[0262] ORF (Nachmias 1993). However, as observed in Dmel\cib, Agla\cib may possess biochemical properties comparable to profilin rather than thymosin with binding to monomeric actin occurring exclusively at the barbed (or plus) end of the filament and enhanced actin-based motility observed *in vitro* (Loisel et al. 1999). This regulation of actin assembly is thought to be a key factor governing axonal outgrowth during the differentiation events that underlie brain metamorphosis (Boquet et al. 2000).

Muscle development

WHALB[0331] and WHALB003-14 were catalogued under the transcript class "muscle development". Although annotated based on non-traceable author statements listed in either NCBI's GenBank or FlyBase, these assembled sequences clearly possess sequence similarity to commonly accepted muscle-associated proteins such as muscle protein 20-like protein and muscle LIM protein.

Cuticle development and puparium formation

Transcripts that potentially code for proteins involved in cuticle biosynthesis were also identified within the *A.*

Table 5. Transcripts putatively associated with A. glabripennis development and metamorphosis

Assembled Sequence Identifier	BLASTX Match Defintion	Accession No.	E-value
Autophagic cell death			
WHALB004-85	PREDICTED: similar to CG9916-PA isoform 1 [*Tribolium castaneum*]	XP_966308	1.00E-85
WHALB007-57	PREDICTED: similar to CG3186-PA, isoform A [*Tribolium castaneum*]	XP_974942	1.00E-87
Bristle morphogenesis			
WHALB002-36	PREDICTED: similar to CG33553-PE, isoform E [*Tribolium castaneum*]	XP_970939	E-108
WHALB004-32	PREDICTED: similar to CG32858-PA, isoform A [*Tribolium castaneum*]	XP_972494	4.00E-89
Cuticle development			
WHALB[0273]	PREDICTED: similar to CG8063-PA [*Tribolium castaneum*]	XP_969206	E-139
WHALB002-14	vesicle coat complex COPII GTPase subunit SAR1 [*Aedes aegypti*]	ABF18297	7.00E-86
WHALB007-12	PREDICTED: similar to glucose dehydrogenase [*Tribolium castaneum*]	XP_968177	1.00E-65
Imaginal disc morphogenesis			
WHALB002-13	PREDICTED: similar to CG6235-PE, isoform E [*Tribolium castaneum*]	XP_970874	4.00E-94
WHALB005-39	PREDICTED: similar to CG2723-PA [*Tribolium castaneum*]	XP_967178	4.00E-42
WHALB006-40	effete CG7425-PA [*Drosophila melanogaster*]	NP_731941	5.00E-76
WHALB007-95	PREDICTED: similar to CG7734-PA, isoform A [*Tribolium castaneum*]	XP_971641	4.00E-21
Muscle development			
WHALB[0331]	muscle protein 20-like protein [*Anoplophora glabripennis*]	AAY68367	E-101
WHALB003-14	PREDICTED: similar to CG1019-PA, isoform A isoform 1 [*Tribolium castaneum*]	XP_967871	2.00E-69
Nervous system development			
WHALB[0248][a]	PREDICTED: similar to CG4264-PA, isoform A isoform 1 [*Tribolium castaneum*]	XP_966611	E-104
WHALB[0262]	PREDICTED: similar to CG4944-PB, isoform B isoform 1 [*Tribolium castaneum*]	XP_968496	2.00E-51
WHALB[0269]	PREDICTED: similar to CG4264-PA, isoform A isoform 1 [*Tribolium castaneum*]	XP_966611	E-145
WHALB001-27	PREDICTED: similar to CG10652-PA, isoform A [*Tribolium castaneum*]	XP_970626	6.00E-59
WHALB001-89	PREDICTED: similar to CG3359-PB, isoform B [*Tribolium castaneum*]	XP_971500	2.00E-17
WHALB002-4	PREDICTED: similar to CG10339-PA [*Tribolium castaneum*]	XP_968892	2.00E-51
WHALB006-83	shade CG13478-PB, isoform B [*Drosophila melanogaster*]	NP_996074	5.00E-22
WHALB007-31	PREDICTED: similar to CG10339-PA [*Tribolium castaneum*]	XP_968892	7.00E-41
Photoreceptor morphogenesis			
WHALB002-29	PREDICTED: similar to CG5771-PB, isoform B [*Tribolium castaneum*]	XP_973251	4.00E-67
WHALB003-62	putative 14-3-3 protein [*Maconellicoccus hirsutus*]	ABM55627	3.00E-98
WHALB005-67	PREDICTED: similar to CG10701-PD, isoform D isoform 2 [*Tribolium castaneum*]	XP_976132	E-109
Pupation			
WHALB004-95	PREDICTED: similar to CG8669-PA, isoform A isoform 2 [*Tribolium castaneum*]	XP_975896	6.00E-21

[a]WHALB[0248] represents a "false contig". Singleton WHALB007-9 was retained under this BLASTX match definition. The accession number remains the same; however, the E-value returned was 2.00E-104 upon removal of the second EST.

glabripennis library. For example, WHALB002-14 showed significant sequence similarity to the coat protein complex (COPII) small G protein Sar1. In 2005, Abrams and Andrew found that mutations of this gene in *Drosophila* resulted in a range of cuticle defects including reduced cuticle length and pigmentation as well as changes in ventral dentricle and dorsal hair morphology.

Two ESTs aligned to form WHALB[0273], a contiguous sequence with homology to *D. melanogaster* yellow-f2 [CG8063-PA]. This enzyme plays a major role in melanization reactions that may contribute to sclerotization/tanning of the late stadia or adult insect cuticle (Han et al. 2002). In addition, WHALB004-95 and WHALB007-12 returned matches to cryptocephal (crc) [CG8669-PA, isoform A isoform 2] and glucose dehydrogenase (Gld), respectively. Gene expression and deletion studies have shown that both Gld and crc act either in response to the late larval ecdysteroid pulse or in the regulation of ecdysone biosynthesis/secretion during the onset of pupariation (Andres et al. 1993; Hewes et al. 2000).

Imaginal disc morphogenesis

WHALB002-13 closely resembled *D. melanogaster* twins (tws) [CG6235-PA, isoform A] with a 90% identity and 97% positives. This gene product was originally

discovered via a P-element mutation that induced the formation of extra anlagen in the posterior compartment of the wing disc of *Drosophila* (Uemura et al. 1993). This phenomenon of precursor duplication illustrates the importance of phosphorylation and dephosphorlyation events in the regulation of tissue pattern specification not only in relation to imaginal disc morphogenesis, but also in regards to several other crucial developmental processes including maturation of the peripheral nervous system and determination of photoreceptor fate in the compound eye. In 2004, Bajpai et al. further demonstrated that Dmel\\tws^{j11C8}, which codes for the B/PR55 regulatory subunit of protein phosphatase 2A (PP2A), functions as a positive regulator of Wg/Wnt signaling. This signal transduction pathway was also linked to singletons WHALB001-37, WHALB004-34, and WHALB00-94, although their role(s) in insect development may involve alternate biological processes such as fatty-acid/retinoid binding and lipid transport.

Photoreceptor morphogenesis

WHALB002-29 possessed sequence similarity to *D. melanogaster* Rab 11 [GG5771-PB, isoform B], a small GTPase implicated in a variety of trafficking events associated with photoreceptor terminal differentiation including colocalization with rhodopsin at the base of the rhabdomere, formation of multivesicular body (MVB) endosomal compartments, and development of specialized structures within Garland cells (Satoh et al. 2005). Likewise, WHALB005-67 returned a significant BLAST hit to Moesin, an integral component in *Drosophila* photoreceptor morphogenesis. Although the singleton represented only a partial coding domain, query of the translated sequence using RPS-BLST revealed a portion of the N-terminal FERM domain (FERM_C) confirming its placement within the Ezrin-Radixin-Moesin (ERM) family of proteins. While these proteins are broadly associated with actin-based scaffolding, gene disruption studies involving RNAi and loss-of-function mutations in *Drosophila* have suggested that Dmel\Moe, in particular, is essential for proper assembly of the apical membrane skeleton that supports the microvillar array of the rhabdomere (Karagiosis and Ready 2003).

Conclusions

This study represents the first investigation regarding the transcriptome of *A. glabripennis*. The resultant sequence data has been made available to the public and has been catalogued according to a controlled vocabulary to facilitate use of the dataset in future studies. Further, several transcripts have been identified that are specific to *A. glabripennis* that may be involved in growth and morphogenesis. Collectively, these sequences provide a strong foundation for functional genomics studies that will enable the development of more biorational control measures to combat this invasive pest.

Disclaimer

The use or mention of a trademark or proprietary product does not constitute an endorsement, guarantee, or warranty of the product by the U.S. Department of Agriculture nor does it imply approval to the exclusion of other suitable products.

Acknowledgments

A. glabripennis larvae were kindly provided by J. Tropp (USDA-ARS-BIIR). The authors would also like to thank M. Hentz and J. Mozoruk for critical review of the final manuscript.

References

Abrams EW, Andrew DJ. 2005. CrebA regulates secretory activity in the *Drosophila* salivary gland and epidermis. *Development* 132(12): 2743-2758.

Andres AJ, Fletcher JC, Karim FD, Thummel CS. 1993. Molecular analysis of the initiation of insect morphogenesis: A comparative study of *Drosophila* ecdysteroid-regulated transcription. *Developmental Biology* 160: 388-404.

Bajpai R, Makhijani K, Rao PR, Shashidhara LS. 2004. *Drosophila* Twins regulates Armadillo levels in response to Wg/Wnt signal. *Development* 131(5): 1007-1016.

Boquet I, Boujemaa R, Carlier M-F, Préat T. 2000. Ciboulot regulates actin assembly during *Drosophila* brain metamorphosis. *Cell* 102: 797-808.

Cho MY, Lee HS, Lee KM, Homma K, Natori S, Lee BL. 1999. Molecular cloning and functional properties of two early-stage encapsulation-relating proteins from the coleopteran insect, *Tenebrio molitor* larvae. *European Journal of Biochemistry* 262: 737-744.

CFIA 2005. *Asian longhorned beetle – Anoplophora glabripennis* Available online: http://www.inspection.gc.ca/english/plaveg/pestrava/anogla/asialonge.shtml

Dubois T, Hajek AE, Smith S. 2002. Methods for rearing the Asian longhorned beetle (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae) on artificial diet. *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 95(2): 223-230.

Gorski SM, Chittaranjan S, Pleasance ED, Freeman JD, Anderson CL, Varhol RJ, Coughlin SM, Zuyderduyn SD, Jones SJM, Marra MA. 2003. A SAGE approach to discovery of genes involved in autophagic cell death. *Current Biology* 13: 358-363.

Haack RA. 2003. Research on *Anoplophora glabripennis* in the United States. *Nachrichten-blatt des Deutuschen Pflanzenschutzdienstes* 55: 68-70.

Haack RA. 2006. Exotic bark- and wood-boring Coleoptera in the United States: recent establishments and interceptions. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 36(2): 269-288.

Haack RA, Law KR, Mastro VC, Ossenbruggen HS, Raimo BJ. 1997. New York's battle with the Asian long-horned beetle. *Journal of Forestry* 95: 11-15.

Hajek AE, Huang B, Dubois T, Smith MT, Li Z. 2006. Field studies of control of *Anoplophora glabripennis* (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae) using fiber bands containing the entomopathogenic fungi *Metarhizium anisopliae* and *Beauveria brongniartii*. *Biocontrol Science and Technology* 16(3): 329-343.

Han Q, Fang J, Ding H, Johnson JK, Christensen BM, Li J. 2002. Identification of *Drosophila melanogaster* yellow-f and yellow-f2 proteins as dopachrome-conversion enzymes. *Biochemical Journal* 368: 333-340.

Hewes RS, Schaefer AM, Taghert PH. 2000. The *cryptocephal* gene (ATF4) encodes multiple basic-leucine zipper proteins controlling molting and metamorphosis in *Drosophila*. *Genetics* 155: 1711-1723.

Karagiosis SA, Ready DF. 2005. Moesin contributes an essential structural role in *Drosophila* photoreceptor morphogenesis. *Development* 131: 725-732.

Kim SR, Yoon HJ, Park NS, Lee SM, Moon JY, Seo SJ, Jin BR, Sohn HD. 2003. Molecular cloning, expression, and characterization of a cDNA encoding the arylphorin-like hexameric storage protein from the mulberry longicorn beetle, *Apriona germari*. *Archives of Insect Biochemistry and Physiology* 52: 49-65.

Li F, Liu R, Bao S, Wu T. 1999. Selection of trap trees for controlling *Anoplophora glabripennis* and *A. nobilis*. *Journal of Beijing Forestry University* 21: 6-21.

Lingafelter SW, Hoebeke ER. 2002. *Revision of Anoplophora (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae)*. Entomological Society of Washington, Washington, DC.

Loisel TP, Boujemaa R, Pantaloni D, Carlier M-F. 1999. Reconstitution of actin-based motility of *Listeria* and *Shigella* using pure proteins. *Nature* 401: 613-616.

Nachmias VT. 1993. Small actin-binding proteins: The beta-thymosin family. *Current Opinion in Cell Biology* 5: 56-62.

Nowak DJ, Pasek JE, Sequeira RA, Crane DE, Mastro VC. 2001. Potential effect of *Anoplophora glabripennis* (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae) on urban trees in the United States. *Journal of Economic Entomology* 94: 116-122.

Poland TM, Haack RA, Petrice TR. 1998. Chicago joins New York in battle with the Asian longhorned beetle. *Newsletter of the Michigan Entomological Society* 43: 15-17.

Poland TM, Haack RA, Petrice TR, Miller DL, Bauer LS, Gao R. 2006. Field evaluations of systemic insecticides for control of *Anoplophora glabripennis* (Coleoptera: Cerambycidae) in China. *Forest Entomology* 99(2): 383-392.

Ric J, de Groot P, Gasman B, Orr M, Doyle J, Smith MT, Dumouchel L, Scarr T, Turgeon J. 2006. *Detecting signs and symptoms of Asian longhorned beetle injury: training guide* 125 Her Majesty in Right of Canada [Natural Resources Canada Canadian Forest Service].

Satoh AK, O'Tousa JE, Ozaki K, Ready DF. 2005. Rab11 mediates post-Golgi trafficking of rhodopsin to the photosensitive apical membrane of *Drosophila* photoreceptors. *Development* 132: 1487-1497.

Sawyer A. 2003. *Asian longhorned beetle: Annotated categorization of ALB host trees* Available on-line: http://www.uvm.edu/albeetle/hosts.htm

Smith J. 2003. *Monsters in miniature: an exotic invader threatens U.S. hardwoods* Available on-line: http://www.newsday.com/other/special/naturalworld/ny-prenen3213640apr13.,0,2533121.story?coll=ny-nw-nav-promo

Smith MT, Zhong-qi Y, Hérard F, Fuester R, Bauer L, Solter L, Keena M, D'Amico V. 2002. Biological control of *Anoplophora glabripennis* Motsch.: A synthesis of current research programs. In: Fosbroke SLC, Gottschalk KW, editors. *Proceedings: United States Department of Agriculture Interagency Research Forum* GTR-NE-300: pp. 87-91. USDA Forest Service.

Stewart B. 2002. "A devastating invader behind closed doors; Asian beetles may be on private property, but inspectors often can't get in to check". *The New York Times*, 14 October. 2002. B1

Telfer WH, Kunkel JG. 1991. The function and evolution of insect storage hexamers. *Annual Review of Entomology* 36: 205-228.

Uemura T, Shiomi K, Togashi S, Takeichi M. 1993. Mutation of twins encoding a regulator of protein phosphatase 2A leads to pattern duplication in *Drosophila* imaginal discs. *Genes & Development* 7(3): 429-440.

Yun B, Farkas R, Lee K, Rabinow L. 1994. The Doa locus encodes a member of a new protein kinase family and is essential for eye and embryonic development in *Drosophila melanogaster*. *Genes & Development* 8(10): 1160-73.

Zhang A, Oliver JE, Aldrich JR, Wang B, Mastro VC. 2002. Stimulatory beetle volatiles for the Asian longhorned beetle, *Anoplophora glabripennis* (Motschulsky). *Verlag der Zeischrift für Naturforschung, Tübingen* 57c: 553-558.

Zhu YC, Muthukrishnan S, Kramer KJ. 2002. cDNA sequences and mRNA levels of two hexamerin storage proteins PinSP1 and PinSP2 from the Indianmeal moth, *Plodia interpunctella*. *Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* 32: 525-536.