74-80

ENERGY VALUE OF FOODS

84 Ah

74

...basis and derivation



Agriculture Handbook No. 74

ENERGY VALUE OF FOODS

... basis and derivation

Ьу

Annabel L. Merrill

Bernice K. Watt

Human Nutrition Research Branch

AGRICULTURAL RESEARCH SERVICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

Agriculture Handbook No. 74—March 1955

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C. - Price 55 cents

CONTENTS

Introduction	Page 1
Part I. Sources of food energy	1
Fat	2
Determination of fat content	$\overline{2}$
Heat of combustion	$\overline{2}$
Carbohydrate	2
Determination of carbohydrate content	2
Heat of combustion	3
Protein	4
Determination of protein content	4
_ Heat of combustion	4
Determined versus calculated gross energy values	
of foods	5
Other sources of energy	6
Organic acids	6
Alcohol	7
Part II. Digestibility and available energy of foods	8
Definition of terms	8
Digestibility of fat, carbohydrate, and protein	8
Availability of energy from digested nutrients	9
Fat	9
Carbobydrate	10
Protein	10
Alashal	10
	10

2 3 4 5 6 7	13. 14. 15. 16. 17.	a Dat or Ene or c; App V Coe p Cor
3 4 5 6 7	14. 15. 16. 17.	Ene c: App v Coe p Cor
4 5 6 7	15. 16. 17.	Coe Coe Cor
5 6 7	15. 16. 17.	App v Coe p Cor
5 6 7	16. 17.	Coe p Cor
6 7	17.	p Cor
7	17.	- 001
		e
	18.	Sun
10		e t
12	19.	Cor
16	20.	d Fac
10		a f
17	21.	Co
19		o V
ndix	T	abl
	23.	Ap
50		N T
00		, i
		-
60 60	24.	Coi
	12 16 17 19 :ndix	12 16 17 17 21. 19 23.

Part III Derivation of current calorie factors
Physiological fuel values of foods of animal
origin
Physiological fuel values of plant products
Products of wheat
Products of grain other than wheat
Nuts
Vegetables
Fruits
Miscellaneous foods
Part IV. Application of calorie factors
Comparison of calculated and determined avail-
able calories for diets
General factors and more specific factors for
calculating calories in individual foods
Application of general factors to national food
supplies
Conclusions
Literature cited
Appendix. Tabular summary of experiments on
digestibility of foods of plant origin by human
subjects
Apparent digestibility and available energy
Composition and heat of combustion of foods

Text Tables

12.	Effects on energy metabolism of replacing portions of dietary carbohydrate and fat by alcohol
13.	Data used for calculating energy values of foods or food groups by the Atwater system
14.	Energy values of wheat flours calculated by use of specific energy factors for protein, fat, and carbohydrate.
15.	Apparent digestibility and physiological fuel value of wheat flours
16.	Coefficients of apparent digestibility for grain products
17.	Comparison of determined and calculated gross energy values of potatoes
18.	Summary of steps for checking available energy values calculated by factors from table 13
19.	Comparison of determined and calculated available energy values of various types of diets
20.	Factors for digestibility, heats of combustion, and physiological fuel values of nutrients in food groups as used in present-day mixed diets
21.	Comparison of energy values for different dietary patterns calculated with specific and with general calorie factors

es

23.	Apparent digestibility, etc.—Continued	
	Vegetables, vegetable products	94
	Fruits	98
	Miscellaneous	98
24.	Composition and heat of combustion of food	
	items used in experiments on human digest-	
	ibility (table 23)	100

Energy value of foods ... basis and derivation

.. basis and derivation

Accurate evaluation of the energy value of foods is essential for dealing with problems of normal nutrition, undernutrition, or obesity. The classic investigations of Professor W. O. Atwater and his associates at the Storrs (Conn.) Agricultural Experiment Station some 50 years ago provided the basis used in this country for measuring the energy values of food. The general calorie factors 4, 9, 4 developed from that work gained widespread acceptance, and until recently they were used for calculating the calories shown in official food composition tables. Properly applied, these general factors provide a satisfactory measure of available energy in average diets and food supplies in this country. Following Atwater's period little attention was given to methods of calculating food energy and to the details of Atwater's procedure.

However, in recent years attention has again turned to the important problems of determining and meeting man's energy needs. In attempts to alleviate food shortages experienced during and following World War II consideration was given first to meeting energy needs in stricken areas. Maynard, who represented this government in various interallied food-planning groups, pointed out the necessity of understanding the bases of the different methods for estimating energy values in use in Canada, the United Kingdom, and in this country. On several occasions he called attention to the correct application of the general calorie factors 4, 9, 4 and pointed out their limitations and misuse when applied to individual foods and different types of diets (114, 115).²

The Food and Agriculture Organization, faced with the urgency of assessing energy values of food supplies in various countries and population groups, convened an ad hoc committee of experts in 1947 to study the problems involved and to make recommendations. While endorsing the Atwater method as one that in the light of present knowledge is suitable if properly used, the committee pointed out the limitations of the use of general factors and the need for more specific calorie factors ($\delta\delta$) when dealing with individual foods.

These developments have pointed to the need for summarizing the kinds of information Atwater used, the steps followed in his procedure for determining fuel values of food, and the need for revising calorie data for foods to take account of additional research accumulating since his time. This publication has been prepared to provide more background information on food energy data than that given in current textbooks and food tables and to show the basic data drawn upon in deriving the revised calorie factors now used in tables of food composition in this country. Except for a few recent revisions, factors derived as shown in this publication have been used in U.S. Department of Agriculture Handbooks No. 8 (185) and No. 34 (100) and in various other sources, including food tables published by the Food and Agriculture Organization (36).

PART I. SOURCES OF FOOD ENERGY

The chief food sources of energy to the human body are fat, carbohydrate, and protein. Fats and carbohydrates contain carbon and hydrogen which can be oxidized to their end products, CO_2 and H_2O , both in the bomb calorimeter and in the body. In addition, protein contains nitrogen. This nitrogen together with some carbon and hydrogen leaves the body chiefly in the form of urea. Thus protein is incompletely oxidized in the body, whereas it can be completely oxidized in the calorimeter. The heat released by oxidation of food in the bomb calorimeter is its heat of combustion and is a measure of its gross energy value.

Rubner (147), as early as 1885, realized that each of these broad groups of energy-yielding components of foods consisted of substances of more or less unlike composition and that the heat values for pure protein, neutral fat, and pure

¹ The authors express appreciation to Mildred Adams for her review of the manuscript and her invaluable suggestions; to William Kunerth for his generous help in translating numerous articles from German; and to Blanche C. Spears for her collaboration in various phases of the study.

 $^{^{2}}$ Italic figures in parentheses refer to Literature Cited, p. 51.

carbohydrate might not be applicable to foods. He also recognized that methods of determining how much of each is present in a food were not entirely satisfactory. Innumerable improvements in methods and techniques for separating and determining the fractions making up these three main sources of energy in food have been made in the intervening years, but many of the limitations of determining and dealing with the main sources of energy in food that were pointed out in 1890 by an ad hoc Committee of the Association of Official Agricultural Chemists (5) still remain. In the following sections the terms as they are used today in tables of food composition are discussed so that their meaning and limitations will be better understood.

Fat

Determination of fat content

The fat content of foods usually is determined by one of three general methods: (1) simple extraction with a solvent, (2) acid hydrolysis with extraction, and (3) saponification with extraction.

The fat content reported for foods in American tables of composition refers as a rule to the weight of crude fat and is obtained by simple extraction with a solvent, usually ether. Included with the fatty acids and the true fats (triglycerides) thus extracted are other materials having similar solubility, such as the sterols, and chlorophyll and some other pigments. Special precautions are necessary to insure complete extraction; carbohydrate-containing foods, particularly those high in starch, present additional problems (61, 66, 105, 154).

A method based on acid hydrolysis before extraction gives, in addition to substances listed above, fats which are in combination or which for other reasons are not removed by the usual fat solvents. Egg and yeast have been shown to contain appreciable amounts of fat not extracted without preliminary hydrolysis (78).

The third procedure used in determining the fat content of a food, saponification, is usually followed by extraction and titration of the fatty acids. The data obtained by this method are translated into terms of total fat on the assumption that all the fatty acids are present as triglycerides.

The determination of fat in foods is fraught with complications. Particular care is necessary to avoid oxidation of fat during sample preparation and analysis, loss of volatile fatty acids, and the possible formation of esters of fatty acids with alcohol.

Heat of combustion

The heat of combustion of the ether extract from a food depends on the particular fatty acids making up the triglycerides and on the components and proportions of the other ether-extractable materials present. The triglycerides of beef, mutton, and pork fat have been found to have heats of combustion of 9.50 or 9.51 calories per gram; butterfat, 9.27; and the fats from several common plant sources, about 9.3. Lower figures for heats of combustion have been found for total ether extract, indicating that the extractable matter other than the glycerides has a lower heat value than the glycerides alone.

Atwater (17) applied the heat of combustion factors determined for triglycerides to crude fat on the assumption that the error resulting from the use of the higher heat of combustion factors would in some measure offset the error resulting from the incomplete extraction of fat in the determination of the fat content of the food. The table containing the data which Atwater assembled from the literature and from his own work and the heat values he considered best suited to apply to the fat content (ether extract) has been reproduced here as table 1.

 TABLE 1.—Average determined heats of combustion of fats and oils as assumed factors for fat of different groups of food materials

	Heat of comb	ustion per gram
Kind of material	Determined	Assumed or calculated
Beef fat Beef "ether extract" Mutton fat Mutton, "ether extract" Pork fat	Calories 9. 50 9. 24 9. 51 9. 32 9. 50	Calories
Pork, "ether extract" Lard Cottolene Butterfat	- 9. 50 - 9. 13 - 9. 59 - 9. 32 - 9. 27	
Wheat oil Wheat, "ether extract" Rye oil Rye, "ether extract"	- 9. 36 - 9. 07 - 9. 32 - 9. 20	
Maize oil Oats, "ether extract" Barley, "ether extract" Nut oil (except cocoanut)	- 9. 28 - 8. 93 - 9. 07 - 9. 49	
Olive oil Cocoanut oil Fat of meat, fish, eggs, etc Fat of dairy products	9. 47 9. 07	9. 50 9. 25
Fat of vegetables and fruits	-	9. 30 9. 30

Note.—This table appears as table 7 in The Availability and Fuel Value of Food Materials (17).

Carbohydrate

Determination of carbohydrate content

The difference between 100 and the sum of the crude protein and fat, moisture, and ash is called "total carbohydrate" or "carbohydrate by difference," a practice used by Atwater in his food tables and continued in this country. In addition to the true carbohydrates, this "difference" fraction may include such compounds as organic acids. Foods of animal origin, except the milk products, contain little carbohydrate. Foods of plant origin, on the other hand, have a variety of carbohydrates. The principal ones are starch, sugars, and cellulose, but appreciable amounts of pentosans, dextrins, gums, and other carbohydrates also may be present. It has been generally assumed that the starches, at least when cooked, and the monosaccharides and disaccharides are well used by the body. Much less is known about the utilization of cellulose, pentosans, and other of the more complex carbohydrates.

"Carbohydrate by difference" has been shown to be generally satisfactory for estimating energy values of foods (17). However, for certain purposes, such as dietary planning for the diabetic, carbohydrate values are needed which exclude the fractions that are not potential glucose formers. For these purposes nitrogen-free extract, "carbohydrate by difference" minus fiber, may be calculated. As the digestibility of fiber may be very low, nitrogen-free extract is considered a much closer estimate of the sum of potential glucose formers than the "carbohydrate by difference." "Nitrogen-free extract," sometimes abbreviated to NFE or Nifext, has been used for classifying fruits and vegetables into different carbohydrate groups (2, 37).

Another approach has been the determination of the sum of the sugars, starches, and dextrins measured as total reducing sugars but exclusive of pentoses and hemicelluloses. In such cases it is fairly common to report total reducing sugars expressed as glucose based on analyses in which copper was used. For routine determinations, this procedure is not entirely satisfactory since the extent of the reduction of the copper reagent differs for the various sugars, and mixtures of sugars may be present. In addition the determination may be complicated by the presence of noncarbohydrate reducing substances. Improvements have been made in procedures involving the use of copper reagents, and progress is also being made in the development of totally different methods which may some day provide the specific information needed. For example, differential fermentation, chromatographic separation, and differential spectrographic analysis give promise of quantitative determinations for specific carbohydrates.

Heat of combustion

Atwater assumed that 97 percent of the carbohydrate in flours and meals was composed of starch with a small amount of fiber, about 2 percent dextrin, and 1 percent sugar. As the heats of combustion of dextrin, 4.11, and of sucrose, 3.96, are not greatly different from that for starch, 4.2, he considered 4.2 calories per gram the suitable factor to use for carbohydrate in cereal foods. He also applied this figure to the carbohydrate content of foods consisting largely of starch, such as cornstarch and tapioca, and to dried legumes because he considered that the carbohydrate portion of the latter consisted mainly of starch.

In many vegetables the carbohydrate is largely starch and cellulose with more or less sugar. Atwater suggested the same calorie factor for vegetables that he had used for cereals and for legumes, 4.2 calories per gram. He thought that vegetables had a higher proportion of pentosans than the cereals and that the higher heat of combustion of pentosans as compared with polyhexoses might offset the lower heat value of the sugars.

In fruits a large proportion of the carbohydrate is present as sugar, especially monosaccharides, but some starch, cellulose, and pentosans also are present. Combining the lower heat of combustion of the sugars with the higher value for starch, Atwater considered that 4.0 calories per gram was probably not far from a correct figure for carbohydrate in fruits.

The main carbohydrate of animal source is milk sugar. Atwater found that figures on record for its heat of combustion were not in agreement and he used 3.9 calories per gram. Muscle meats and fish contain traces of glycogen, which in ordinary analyses is not taken into account. Oysters, other shellfish, and liver, however, may contain an appreciable amount of glycogen, which has a heat of combustion of 4.2 calories per gram. Since the amounts of these foods contained in ordinary diets were small, Atwater used 3.9 calories per gram of carbohydrate in all foods of animal origin for general dietary calculations.

The table prepared by Atwater summarizing data on heats of combustion to apply to carbohydrate is reproduced here as table 2.

TABLE 2.—Average determined heats of combustion of different carbohydrates and assumed factors for carbohydrates of different groups of food materials

	Heat of combustion per gram		
Kind of material	Determined	Assumed or calculated	
Pentoses ¹ Dextrose Levulose Milk sugar Cellulose Starch Glycogen Carbohydrates of animal foods, meats, dairy prod- ucts, etc Carbohydrates of cereals Carbohydrates of legumes Sugars Starches Carbohydrates of vegetables Carbohydrates of vegetables	Calories 3. 72 to 4. 38 3. 75 3. 76 3. 96 3. 96 4. 20 4. 20 4. 11 4. 19	Calories	

¹ Apparently includes not only the simple pentoses but also the pentosans.

Note.—This table appears as table 8 in The Availability and Fuel Value of Food Materials (1?).

Protein

Determination of protein content

It is customary in this country to calculate the protein content of a product from the nitrogen present by applying a factor considered suitable for converting nitrogen to the protein in the particular food. The factors used are based on the nitrogen content of the predominating protein present in various foods. As a great many commonly occurring proteins contain approximately 16 percent nitrogen, 6.25 is the factor often used for general purposes. In the course of extensive investigations, however, Jones (76) found rather wide variation in the nitrogen content of different kinds of protein, for example, 13.4 percent for an alcohol-alkali-soluble protein preparation from avocado and 19.3 for amandin in almonds. He therefore prepared special factors for converting nitrogen to protein in those foods for which he considered there was sufficient information to justify their derivation. Table 3 lists these factors along with others obtained from him through personal communication.

TABLE 3.—Factors for calculating protein from nitrogen content of food ¹

Food	Factor	Food	Factor
Animal origin:		Plant origin-Con	
Eggs	6 25	Legumes-Con	
Gelatin	5 55	Beans-Con	
Meat	6 25	Sovbeans	5 71
Milk	6 38	Velvetheans	6 25
Plant origin:	0.00	Peanuts	5 46
Grains and cereals:		Nuts:	
Barley	5, 83	Almonds	5.18
Corn (maize)	6 25	Brazil	5 46
Millets	5. 83	Butternuts	5. 30
Oats	5 83	Cashew	5 30
Rice	5.95	Chestnuts	5.30
Rve	5.83	Coconuts	5.30
Sorghums	b. 25	Hazelnuts	5 30
Wheat:	0. 20	Hickory	5. 30
Whole kernel	5, 83	Pecans	5.30
Bran	6.31	Pine nuts	5.30
Embryo	5, 80	Pistachio	5.30
Endosperm.	5. 70	Walnuts	5.30
Legumes:		Seeds:	
Beans:		Cantaloup	5.30
Adzuki	6.25	Cottonseed	5.30
Castor	5.30	Flaxseed	5.30
Jack	6.25	Hempseed	5. 30
Lima	6.25	Pumpkin	5.30
Mung	6.25	Sesame	5. 30
Navy	6.25	Sunflower	5. 30

¹ Adapted from table 5 of U. S. Department of Agriculture Circular 183, revised edition, February 1941 (76) and from unpublished data obtained by personal communication with the author. For groups of foods not included here, the conventional factor 6.25 should be used until more is known regarding their proteins.

The figures commonly reported in American tables of composition for protein actually represent crude protein, since as a rule the figures are derived by applying the appropriate factor to the total nitrogen present. This procedure involves the assumption that all of the nitrogen present is in the form of protein, which is not wholly valid because in this procedure counted with the true protein may be other nitrogenous compounds, such as nitrates, nitrites, purine bases, choline, and free amino acids.

Heat of combustion

The heat of combustion of the nitrogenous portion of food depends on the kinds of protein present and on the proportion of protein and nonprotein nitrogenous material—the latter usually having lower heat of combustion than the former.

Atwater's procedure for obtaining a figure for the heat of combustion for the total nitrogenous portion of a food may be illustrated by his figures for cereal grains having 17.5 percent nitrogen in their proteins. The protein would therefore be computed by multiplying the nitrogen by the factor 5.7. He assumed, from analyses of Teller (4), Snyder (163), and Wiley (183), that not less than 96 percent of the nitrogen of the seeds of cereals was in the form of protein and not over 4 percent as nonprotein material. One gram of cereal nitrogen, then, would be equivalent to 5.47 grams of protein (0.96 gm. N \times 5.7) and, using asparagin (21.2 percent N) as a model of the nonprotein nitrogenous fraction, 0.19 grams of asparagin (0.04 gm. N \times 4.7).

Applying to the protein portion the heat of combustion of the principal proteins in the cereals, about 5.9 calories per gram according to Atwater's data, and to the nonprotein portion, the heat of combustion of asparagin, 3.45 calories per gram, the total heat of combustion for the nitrogencontaining compounds in cereals was calculated as follows:

5.47 gm. protein \times 5.9 cal./gm.=32.27 calories .19 gm. asparagin \times 3.45 cal./gm.=.655 calories 5.66 gm. nitrogenous compounds=32.9 calories 1.0 gm. nitrogenous portion=5.8 calories

For the heat of combustion of the nitrogenous portion of meat, Atwater felt the most satisfactory procedure was to use the value for the fat-free muscle tissue including the nonprotein extractives, as quantitative data on creatin and other nonprotein compounds were lacking. The heat of combustion for fat-free muscle meat was about 5.65 calories. He used this same factor for the protein of milk. He estimated the heat of combustion for the nitrogenous portion of egg to be 5.75 calories per gram, based on data for proteins in the white and yolk, assuming that very little nonprotein nitrogen is present.

Table 4 is a reproduction of one prepared by Atwater showing average determined heat of combustion of "proteids" and "nonproteids" and calculated heat of combustion of "protein." Atwater used the term "proteid" to cover the true proteins, and the term "protein" to cover both the nonprotein compounds, the extractives, amides, etc., and the true proteins. If Atwater's heat of combustion values for protein (as defined by him) is applied to protein as currently determined, that is, total N times a factor, some error will result because the heat of combustion of the true proteins is usually higher than that of other nitrogenous compounds. It has become the custom in this country, however, to apply heat of combustion factors to total nitrogen treated as protein without weighting the composition data according to the proportion of the different nitrogen-containing compounds present. This has been done because of the limited information available on the partition of nitrogen in foods between true protein and other forms. Although this procedure may result in an appreciable error in the calorie value of the protein of a food, the error in the total energy value is generally small, as most foods having a large proportion of their nitrogen as nonprotein nitrogen (mostly vegetables and fruits) contain relatively small amounts of total nitrogen.

TABLE 4.—Average determined heats of combustion of proteids and nonproteids and calculated heat of combustion of protein

	Heat of combustion per gram		
Kind of material	Determined	Assumed or calculated	
Beef, fat-free muscle Beef, fat-free muscle, extract- ives removed Veal, fat-free muscle Protein of meat Egg albumin Egg albumin Fortein of egg Milk casein Milk casein Protein of dairy products Gliadin Gluten of wheat Legumin Protein of legumes (96% pro- teids) Protein of vegetables (60% proteids) Protein of fruits (70% pro- teids) Protein of fruits (70% pro-	$\begin{array}{c} Calories \\ 5. \ 65 \\ 5. \ 73 \\ 5. \ 65 \\ 5. \ 60 \\ \hline 5. \ 71 \\ 5. \ 84 \\ 5. \ 76 \\ \hline 5. \ 63 \ to \ 5. \ 86 \\ 5. \ 67 \\ \hline 5. \ 63 \ to \ 5. \ 86 \\ 5. \ 67 \\ \hline 5. \ 92 \\ 5. \ 88 \\ 5. \ 95 \\ 5. \ 79 \\ 5. \ 89 \\ \hline \hline 5. \ 27 \\ \hline \end{array}$	Calories 5. 65 5. 75 5. 65 5. 75 5. 65 5. 80 5. 70 5. 70 5. 00 5. 20	
Creatin, as type of non-pro- teids of animal foods Asparagin, as type of non- proteids of vegetable foods	4. 27 3. 45		
prototolo or vegetable loods.	0.10		

Note.—This table appears as table 6 in The Availability and Fuel Value of Food Materials (17).

The effect of method of calculation on estimated energy values for the nitrogenous compounds in a food can be shown by using potatoes as an example—a food known to contain a considerable portion of nonprotein nitrogen. If 60 percent of potato nitrogen is attributed to protein and 40 percent to asparagin, the heat of combustion of the nitrogenous matter equivalent to 1 gram of nitrogen should be 28.2 calories and the heat of combustion per gram of nitrogenous compounds, 5.01 calories, as shown by the calculations below:

0.6 gm. N \times 6.25=3.75 gm. protein 0.4 gm. N \times 4.7=1.88 gm. asparagin
1.0 gm. N = 5.63 gm. nitrogenous compounds
3.75 gm. protein \times 5.8 cal./gm.=21.75 calories
1.88 gm. asparagin×3.45 cal./gm.=6.49 calories
5.63 gm. nitrogenous compounds=28.24 calories
1.0 gm. nitrogenous compounds=5.02 calories

If, however, all of the nitrogen is assumed to be protein (6.25 gm. protein) and to this is applied the factor 5.02 calories (corrected as shown above for the lower heat of combustion for the nonprotein portion), an energy value of 31.4 calories per gram nitrogen results $(1 \times 6.25 \times 5.02)$ This result is about 11 percent higher than that obtained in the first calculation because the content of protein is overestimated. If no correction is made for the presence of nonprotein nitrogenous compounds and if the higher heat of combustion of potato protein, 5.8 calories per gram, is applied, an energy value equivalent to 36.25 calories per gram of nitrogen would result (1 \times 6.25 \times 5.8). This result is nearly 30 percent higher than the first calculation because there has been overestimation in both the content of protein and the heat of combustion of the nonprotein fraction. This illustration shows that we should have data on the actual partition products, but until we do, it seems best to continue the rather arbitrary procedure shown here as the second calculation, namely, to apply a weighted calorie factor to total nitrogen treated as protein.

Determined versus calculated gross energy values of foods

Gross energy may be determined directly by burning a sample of food, or it may be calculated by applying previously determined heats of combustion to composition data on the energy-yielding components of food and obtaining the sum.

In view, however, of the diversity within the fractions of the so-called protein, fat, and total carbohydrate components of food pointed out in preceding paragraphs, and in view of the assumptions made in deriving heat of combustion values to apply to each fraction, Atwater recognized the importance of checking the gross energy values calculated for foods. He compared results for calculated and determined gross heats of combustion for 276 samples including foods of animal origin as well as a variety of plant products. He found that when he applied the heats of combustion he had worked out for protein (actually nitrogenous compounds), fat (as ether extract), and carbohydrate (usually determined by difference) to amounts present, the results were in good agreement with those obtained by bomb calorimeter. Although in a few cases discrepancies were as much as 5 or 6 percent, agreement was very much closer in most cases and justified the use of the calculated values.

The table in which Atwater summarized these comparisons has been reproduced here as table 5. Possibly the difficulties in getting satisfactory composition data for dried samples of high original water content was responsible for the larger discrepancies observed between the calculated and determined gross heats of combustion for fruits and vegetables. Differences might be expected for milk likewise and may have been observed for individual samples, but the averages for the 37 samples are in excellent agreement. With the improved techniques in moisture determinations now available, we would expect even better agreement between the gross heats obtained by calculation and the determined values.

TABLE 5.—Comparison of calculated heats of combustion with results of direct determinations

Kind of food material	Number of anal- yses in- cluded in average	A verage combusi gram of free sub Deter- mined	heat of cion per water- ostance Calcu- lated	Calcu- lated results in per- centages of those deter- mined
Beef	$\begin{array}{c} 55\\ 7\\ 10\\ 10\\ 5\\ 3\\ 10\\ 20\\ 37\\ 3\\ 36\\ 7\\ 6\\ 2\\ 5\\ 2\\ 5\\ 2\\ 5\\ 2\\ 6\\ 8\\ 8\\ 5\\ 10\\ 3\\ 2\\ 12\\ 4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Calories \\ 6507 \\ 6197 \\ 7146 \\ 7835 \\ 6310 \\ 6317 \\ 7103 \\ 8832 \\ 5437 \\ 4536 \\ 4536 \\ 4536 \\ 4580 \\ 4352 \\ 4390 \\ 4834 \\ 4488 \\ 4579 \\ 4367 \\ 4312 \\ 4195 \\ 4078 \\ 4264 \\ 4389 \\ 4078 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Calories \\ 6619 \\ 6268 \\ 7316 \\ 7944 \\ 6508 \\ 6427 \\ 7160 \\ 8918 \\ 5413 \\ 4361 \\ 4343 \\ 4365 \\ 4474 \\ 4343 \\ 4365 \\ 4474 \\ 4811 \\ 4480 \\ 4605 \\ 4361 \\ 4343 \\ 4051 \\ 4277 \\ 4102 \\ 4123 \\ 4056 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} Percent\\ 101.7\\ 101.2\\ 102.4\\ 101.4\\ 103.1\\ 101.8\\ 100.8\\ 101.0\\ 99.6\\ 99.8\\ 101.0\\ 99.8\\ 100.3\\ 101.9\\ 99.8\\ 100.6\\ 105.4\\ 99.9\\ 99.5\\ 100.7\\ 96.6\\ 105.4\\ 96.2\\ 93.9\\ 99.5\\ 100.3\\ \end{array}$

Note.—This table appears as table 9 in The Availability and Fuel Value of Food Materials (17). Figures for heat of combustion are in terms of small or gram calories rather than large or kilogram calories customarily used for foods. We have compiled gross calorie data for a number of samples of wheat and flours produced in this country. For 15 samples of wheat or wholewheat flour reported in the literature (164, 166, 168, 183, 194, 195) differences between determined and calculated gross heats varied from 0.1 percent to 1.9 percent and averaged only 0.6 percent. For 16 additional samples of wheat flour of varying degrees of refinement the average difference between gross calories obtained the two ways was slightly higher, 1.3 percent.

Other sources of energy

Two other sources of energy—organic acids and alcohol—are noted below since in some circumstances one or both may be important.

Organic acids

Occurrence of organic acids .-- Organic acids are widely distributed in foods but for the most part in small concentrations. Among the various acids that have been identified are: Malic, citric, isocitric, ascorbic, oxalic, lactic, succinic, acetic, quinic, tartaric, benzoic, glyoxalic, salicylic, aconitic, and malonic. As explained earlier, figures for the total carbohydrate content of a food, that is, "carbohydrate by difference," include organic acids. In a very few foods the acids are sufficiently abundant that they should be determined separately for estimations of energy values of those foods, inasmuch as they are distinctly different chemically from carbohydrates and their heats of combustion are lower than for carbohydrates generally. Total free acid is commonly deter-mined by titration against standard alkali and expressed as the predominant acid in the food. To the extent that the organic acids may be present in bound form the total acid value may be underestimated, but this error is ordinarily considered of little importance.

Fruits contain organic acids in more significant amounts than other food groups. In table 6 a number of fruits have been classified according to the total free organic acid content as reported in the literature. Citric and malic acids predominate in all fruits listed except grapes and tamarind. Tartaric acid accounts for most of the total in these two fruits. Other organic acids have been found present in small amounts in fruits. Of the fruits listed in table 6 only 7 have been reported to contain more than 2 percent organic acid; 15 contain from 1 to 2 percent; and more than 35 contain less than 1 percent. However, in proportion to the total solids, the organic acids may provide an appreciable percentage of the total energy value of some fruits. For lemon juice, it would amount to over half, but for peaches, only about a twentieth.

Less information is available on the acid constituents of vegetables, but the amounts in most vegetables tend to be less than 0.5 percent.

3 percent and over 3	2 to 3 percent	1 to 2 percent	0.5 to 1 percent	Less than 0.5 percent
Lemons (C). Limes (C). Tamarind (T).	Cranberries (C). Currents, red, black, and white (C). Gooseberries (C). Grandillas, purple, or passion fruit (C).	Apricots (M). Carissa or natal plums (C). Cherries, sour (M). Grapefruit, all (C). Groundcherries (in- cluding poha and cape-gooseberry) (C). Kumquats (C). Loquats (C). Loquats (C). Nectarines (M). Oranges (C). Plums, excluding prunes (M). Pomegranates (C). Raspberries, red and black (C). Strawberries (C). Tangerines, other Mandarin type oranges (C).	 Apples (summer) (M). Blackberries (C). Blueberries (C). Cherries, sweet (M). Crab apples (M). Grapes, pulp or juice, American type, all (T). Grapes, European type, all (T). Guavas (C). Mamey or Mammee apple (C). Mangos (C). Mulberries, black, white, and red (M). Peaches, all (M). Pineapples (C). Plantains (M). Prunes (M). Quinces (M). 	Apples (fall) (M). Apples (winter) (M). Bananas (M). Cherimoya (C). Feijoa (C). Figs (C). Jujubes (C). Limes, sweet (C). Muskmelons (C). Papayas (C). Pears, all (C). Persimmons, Japanese or Kaki (M). Persimmons, native (M). Prickly pears (M). Roseapples (C). Sapote or Marmalade plum (C). Sugar apples or sweetsop (C). Watermelons (M).

TABLE 6.—Fresh fruits classified as to organic acid content ¹

¹ Total free acid expressed in terms of the predominating acid as malic (M), anhydrous citric (C), or tartaric (T) in the edible portion of fruit. ² Lemons and limes, 6 percent; tamarind, ripe, 13 percent.

Hartman and Hillig (63), reporting results from analyses of organic acids in a large number of food products, included a table of 29 vegetables which showed a total malic and citric acid content (free and combined) ranging from 0.1 to 0.8 percent. Only for lima beans, cauliflower, white potatoes (Idaho), and tomatoes were the values above 0.5 percent.

In certain types of processing by fermentation the total acidity of the product is increased several fold over the original content of the food. Cabbage, for example, contains only a fraction of a percent of acid as malic and citric, while sauerkraut has around 1.5 percent lactic acid. Similarly apples contain less than 1 percent acid expressed as malic, but vinegar made from apples averages about 4.5 percent acetic acid.

Some of the acid constituents of food are available to the body as a source of calories; others are known to be unavailable or of doubtful availability. Oxalic acid is probably excreted in the form of its insoluble calcium salt; tartaric acid is thought to be either excreted unchanged or destroyed by micro-organisms. Little is known about the availability of such acids as glyoxalic, malonic, and aconitic, but since they occur in insignificant amounts they would make a negligible contribution to the total energy value of the foods in which they are found.

Heat of combustion.—For the several acids found in appreciable amounts and considered available, the heats of combustion or gross calorie values per gram of acid calculated from grammolecular weight data are as follows:

	Calories
Acid:	per gram
Acetic	
Citric	2. 471
Lactic	3. 620
Malic	 2 . 388

Organic acids contribute a very small portion of the total daily calorie intake, but in a few foods they are present in amounts that should not be overlooked as potential sources of energy. The gross energy value of organic acids in 100 grams of a few foods has been estimated as follows:

Food:	Calories
Lemons, limes	15
Currant's, gooseberries	6
Fruits, $1-2$ percent group (see table 6)	2.5 to 5
Apple vinegar	16
Sauerkraut	5

Alcohol

Alcohol, with a gross energy value of 7.07 calories per gram, is another source of energy which may be important in the diet of some individuals or some population groups. It is discussed in connection with the availability of energy from the various sources (p. 18) since the availability of its fuel value is the point of uncertainty.

PART II. DIGESTIBILITY AND AVAILABLE ENERGY OF FOODS

Definition of terms

Meanings of some of the terms necessary in a discussion of energy value of foods have changed over a period of years. In the following paragraphs terms of most importance are explained and attention is called to differences in past and present connotations.

Digestibility was the term Atwater used for the proportion of food material actually digested. If there had been a way to measure the undigested residue in the feces, digestible food would have been computed as the difference between the total food eaten and the undigested residue. However, as he pointed out, methods for distinguishing between metabolic products in the feces and undigested residue from the food were not sufficiently accurate to permit the determination of the undigested residue separately and he did not compute digestibility.

Availability was the term Atwater used to designate the quantity or proportion of the food or of the nutrients which could be used for building and repair of tissue and the yielding of energy. Some of the absorbed nutrients are used to form digestive juices and returned to the tract in the form of bile and other digestive secretions. Inasmuch as these metabolic products are not used for tissue building or as fuel, they are not available in the sense in which Atwater employed the term. He computed the amounts of available nutrients (protein, fat, carbohydrate) by sub-tracting the amounts in the feces from the amounts in the food. Availability as Atwater used the term is the same as apparent digestibility in more recent years and in current usage. He calculated the coefficient of availability, using nitrogen for illustration, as follows:

$$\frac{\text{N in food} - \text{N in feces}}{\text{N in food}} \times 100 = \text{coefficient of}$$

According to present usage this would be called the coefficient of digestibility, meaning of course apparent digestibility, and it corrects only for total fecal losses.

Heat of combustion data are obtained by burning samples of food in a bomb calorimeter. The heat of combustion is a measure of the gross energy value of the food.

Available energy of a food takes into account both fecal and urinary losses. The total available energy of the food is its heat of combustion less that of the urinary and fecal residues. For fat and carbohydrate the available energy is the gross energy of the amounts absorbed (intake-fecal fat and carbohydrate) since each nutrient is assumed to be completely oxidized. The incompletely oxidized matter of the urine is assumed to be of protein origin and the available energy of protein is the gross energy of the absorbed protein (intake—fecal protein) less the gross energy of the urine. Available energy of a food may be obtained entirely from data on heat of combustion or it may be calculated in part from analytical data on nitrogen according to the following procedures:

- 1. Gross energy of food-(gross energy of urine+ feces).
- 2. Gross energy of food (gross energy in feces + net absorbed grams $N \times 7.9$).
- Gross energy of food (gross energy in feces + urinary N in grams × 7.9).

If the subject is in nitrogen balance, no difference would be expected in the deduction for urinary loss between procedures 2 and 3. A discussion of the extent of the differences resulting from these methods of calculation under other conditions follows the section on calorie-nitrogen ratio of the urine, page 18.

Atwater distinguished between physical and physiological fuel values, the latter being the actual benefit gained by the body from the use of fuel for the different purposes served. This distinction was made in recognition of the possibility that the energy value of a gram of fat, for example, might be different for mechanical work from what it would be if used only for maintaining body heat. Atwater used the term fuel value as obtained by method 1, 2, or 3 described above to mean physical fuel value, not physiological fuel value. The latter term, however, has since been applied to his data and to his method of obtaining fuel values (55, 111, 159). Likewise, in the present publication physiological fuel value is the term used to connote energy value of a food obtained by subtracting energy lost in the excreta (feces and urine) from the total energy value of the food, no consideration being given to the specific functions served in the body.

Digestibility of fat, carbohydrate, and protein

On any diet some ether extractable matter, nitrogenous matter, and other organic matter are lost in the feces and must be taken into account in calculating the energy value of foods. The nitrogenous matter present in the feces may be due in part to undigested food residues, bacteria and their products, the residues of digestive juices, and mucus or particles of epithelium mechanically separated from the walls of the digestive tract. Numerous studies have been made to determine to what extent the nitrogenous matter in the feces under different kinds of dietary conditions is metabolic and to what extent it is undigested or unabsorbed food material. Some investigators have concluded that all the nitrogenous matter in the feces results from metabolic processes but that some foods cause greater loss than others (104, 106, 147). Other workers, including Murlin and coworkers (40, 127, 128) and Bricker, Mitchell, and Kinsman (31), as a preliminary step in obtaining biological values of proteins, have estimated the digestibility of foods with the assumption that part of the fecal nitrogen is metabolic in origin and part is from food eaten.

Since this publication is concerned primarily with estimation of energy value no attempt has been made to distinguish between metabolic and undigested food nitrogen appearing in the feces, because neither is available to the body as a source of energy. Actually, level of N intake may appreciably affect the apparent digestibility of protein; on low levels of protein intake the fecal N may represent chiefly metabolic N which, when charged against a specific test food, leads to low values for apparent digestibility of this food. Results reported in the literature in which digestibilities of test foods were measured under conditions of extremely low protein intake are therefore not satisfactory for application to a more normal level of protein intake. Even under conditions of higher protein intake, losses attributed to the protein of the test food by this method of calculation may actually be due to the influence of the test food on the digestibility of the entire diet. Similar problems occur in calculating the energy factors for carbohydrate and fat (188, 190, 191). More information or possibly an entirely different approach is needed to relate fecal losses directly to the test food.

Atwater assembled results of many digestion experiments on men in which the apparent digestibility of a food was studied. In some experi-ments a single food was fed and in others the test food was fed as part of a simple mixed diet. From these findings he developed tentative coefficients of digestibility. As they had been based largely on the digestibility of single foods in very simple diets. Atwater tested these tentative coefficients by applying them to the several foods in experiments in which ordinary mixed diets were eaten. In these latter experiments the amount of protein, fat, and carbohydrate in the feces was compared with that in the total food so that the "availability" measured applied to the whole mixed diet and not to nutrients in individual The results found for these actual exfoods. periments were then compared with the calculated results in which the various tentative coefficients for each kind of food had been applied to the quantities of the respective foods in the diet.

Atwater reported that some adjustments in the tentative coefficients were necessary and he altered them slightly in the way he considered most probable. The resulting average coefficients of apparent digestibility (availability as Atwater used the term) for the nutrients in different food groups and for nutrients in a mixed diet were as follows:

Food group Protein Fat Carbohy- drate Animal foods 97 97 98 Cereals 97 85 90 98 Legumes, dried 78 90 97 Sugars and starches 83 90 95 Fruits 85 90 90 Total food 1 92 95 97		1		
Animal foods Percent Percent Percent Percent Percent 99 98 Cereals 78 90 98 98 90 97 98 90 97 98 90 97 98 90 97 98 90 97 98 90 97 98 90 95 98 90 95 97 98 90 95 97 98 90 95 97 90 95 97 95 97 96 90 95 97 97 95 97 97 100 1 92 95 97 97 95 97 97 100 1 100 1 100 1 100 1 100 1 100 1 100 1 100 1 100 1 100 1 100 1 100 1 100 100 1 100 1 100 100 1	Food group	Protein	Fat	Carbohy- drate
	Animal foods Cereals Legumes, dried Sugars and starches Vegetables Fruits Vegetable foods Total food 1	Percent 97 85 78 83 85 84 92	Percent 95 90 90 90 90 90 90 95	Percent 98 97 98 95 90 97 97 97

 1 Weighted by consumption statistics based on a survey of 185 dietaries.

When these coefficients were applied to data in 93 digestion experiments on ordinary mixed diets very good agreement was found between calculated values and the results of actual determination. The calculated coefficient for protein in the whole diet was 93.6, and that found by actual determination, 93.3; for fat the calculated value was 94.5 and that found by determination, 95.0; for carbohydrate the calculated value was 98.1 and the actual value, 97.7. From this Atwater concluded that for average mixed diets the calculated coefficients were close enough to the determined so that the calculated could be used. But he pointed out that the calculated coefficients might not be applicable under all circumstances and might not apply to all foods in one class. Digestibility studies published since his time have indeed shown rather wide differences among foods within these groups.

A review of the literature shows that in most of the experiments very simple diets have been used in which the test foods made up a large proportion of the total diet. In experiments where the test foods were fed alone or contributed essentially all of the nutrients tested, the supplemental action of one food upon another cannot be observed. Woods and Merrill (193) reported that some of their early digestion experiments with men showed milk and bread to be more completely assimilated when fed together than when eaten separately. A similar conclusion was reached by Bryant (32) regarding milk and oatmeal when fed together and separately to infants. Unfortunately there is not adequate basis at this time for estimating how significant the differences in digestibility are under different conditions of diet intake.

Availability of energy from digested nutrients

Fat

Atwater illustrated his method of estimating the fuel value of fat (ether extract) with the fat of meat. The coefficient of digestibility (current usage) had been determined to be about 95 percent. As its heat of combustion was about 9.5 calories per gram, its fuel value was 9.0 calories per gram $(9.5 \times .95 = 9.02)$.

Carbohydrate

The fuel value of carbohydrates was determined in like manner. For example, cereal carbohyhydrate was considered about 98 percent available (absorbed) for use in the body, and using the heat of combustion of 4.2 calories per gram, the fuel value was 4.1 calories $(4.2 \times .98 = 4.12)$.

Protein

For protein (nitrogenous products), in addition to the use of the coefficient of digestibility, it was necessary to correct for the loss of incompletely oxidized nitrogen from the body. To do this Atwater determined the ratio of the nitrogen in the urine to the heat of combustion of the urine. The average of 46 determinations showed that for

every gram of nitrogen present in the urine there was sufficient unoxidized matter to yield 7.9 calories, the equivalent of approximately 1.25 calories $(7.9 \div 6.25)$ per gram of available (absorbed) protein. The heat of combustion of a gram of absorbed protein (nitrogenous compounds) was therefore reduced by 1.25 calories per gram to allow for incomplete metabolism. In the case of digestible meat protein, for example, the heat of combustion per gram is 5.65 calories. Of this number, 1.25 would be deducted for the heat of combustion of the unoxidized products in the This figure was derived from the ratio of urine. the calorie value of the urine to the nitrogen content of the urine on the assumption that the subjects were in N-equilibrium and that all of the nonmetabolized part of the available N was recovered in the urine. The fuel value, 4.40 calories, would then be applied to each gram of protein available as a source of fuel.

TABLE 7.—Factors for heats of combustion and fuel values of nutrients in different groups of food materials and in mixed diet

	Nutrients		Proportion	Total energy		Fuel value	
Kind of food material	furnished by each group per 100 grams Total	Heat of com- bustion per gram	of total nutrient actually available	per gram in available nutrients	Per gram available nutrients	Per gram to	tal nutrients
	A	В	С	$ = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{D} \\ \mathbf{B} \mathbf{x} \mathbf{C} \end{pmatrix} $	Еı	F 2	F revised ³
Protein	Grame	Calories		Calories	Calories	Calories	Calories
Meats, fish, etc	43. 0	5. 65	0.97	5. 50	4. 40	4. 25	4. 27
Eggs	6.0	5. 75	. 97	5. 60	4.50	4.35	4.37
Dairy products	12.0	5. 65	. 97	5. 50	4.40	4. 25	4. 27
Animal food	61.0	5. 65	. 97	5. 50	4.40	4. 25	4. 27
Legumes		5.80	. 85	4.95	4.55	3.70 2.20	3.87
Vegetables	5.5	5. 00	. 83	4.15	3. 75	3. 20 2. 90	3. 11
Fruits	. 5	5. 20	. 85	4. 40	3. 95	3.15	3. 36
Vegetable food	39. 0	5.65	. 85	4.80	4. 40	3. 55	3. 74
Total food	100. 0	5.65	. 92	5. 20	4.40	4.00	4.05
Fat							
Meat and eggs	60. 0	9. 50	. 95	9.00	9. 50	9. 00	9. 03
Animal food	32.0	9.25	. 95	8.80	9. 25	8.80	8. 79
Vegetable food	92.0	9.40	. 95 . 90	8. 95 8. 35	9.40 9.30	8.95 8.35	8. 93 8. 37
Total food	100. 0	9.40	. 95	8.90	9. 40	8. 90	8. 93
Carbohydrates							
Animal food	5. 0	3. 90	. 98	3. 80	3. 90	3.80	3. 82
Legumes	55.0	4.20	. 98	4.10	4. 20	4. 10	4.11
Vegetables	13. 0	4. 20	. 95	4.00	4.20	4.05	4.07
Fruits	5.0	4.00	. 90	3. 60	4. 00	3. 60	3. 60
Sugars	21.0	3. 95	. 98	3. 85	3. 95	3. 85	3. 87
Vegetable food Total food	95. 0 100. 0	4. 15 4. 15	. 97 . 97	4. 00 4. 00	4. 15 4. 15	4. 00 4. 00	4. 03 4. 03
	1			1			

¹ Values for fats and carbohydrates, same as corresponding values in column B. Values for protein, same as corresponding values in column B minus 1.25.

corresponding values in column D minus 1.25. ² Values for fats and carbohydrates, same as corresponding values in column D. Values for protein, same as corresponding values in column D minus 1.25. NOTE.bility and exception

³ Proportion of total nutrients available (column C) applied to heat of combustion values (column B). (Heat of combustion values for protein adjusted for energy loss in the urine by deduction of 1.25.)

NOTE.—This table appears as table 10 in The Availability and Fuel Value of Food Materials (17) with the exception of column F, revised. The figures in this column appear in tabular form in Investigations on the Nutrition of Man in the United States (98, p. 18). The basic data needed for computing fuel value of a diet were brought together by Atwater and Bryant in a table, reproduced here as table 7. They presented two sets of factors for use in estimating energy values. In column E of their table they listed the factors to apply to a gram of available protein, fat, and carbohydrate in each of the various food groups and the average calorie factors per gram, 4.40, 9.4, and 4.15, to apply to the total amounts of protein, fat, and carbohydrate available in a mixed diet. The factors in column E were therefore to be applied to absorbed nutrients.

The fuel value factors listed in column F included a correction for digestibility loss and were to be applied to grams of ingested protein, fat, and carbohydrate in each of the food groups; the average factors rounded to 4.0, 8.9, and 4.0 calories per gram were to be applied to the total amounts of the nutrients in mixed diets. These then were the factors that they considered could be applied directly to representative data on the chemical composition of foods.

For some time after the publication of this work of Atwater and Bryant, apparently no consistent policy was followed with respect to the factors used to estimate energy values of foods (6, 8, 10, 18, 19, 20, 68, 89, 157, 169, 171). For a period of time the Atwater and Bryant general factors appeared in the literature as 4, 8.9, 4; then a reference to a further rounding of the factors to 4, 9, 4 was made in the 1910 revision of Farmers' Bulletin 142 (11). The 4, 9, 4 factors later came into widespread usage in estimating calorie values of food and not only were applied to the total amounts of protein, fat, and carbohydrate (by difference) of a mixed diet as Atwater and Bryant had originally intended but also were used in assessing the fuel value of individual foods.

Following the publication of the 1899 report, it was realized that for protein the number of calories calculated by applying factors in column E to absorbed nutrients was not identical with the number derived by applying factors in column F to total nutrients. Results obtained by the latter were too low. The error resulted from the misuse of the factor 1.25 derived from a gram of protein. It had been applied to protein which, after digestion loss was taken into account, was less than 1 gram. To illustrate: If a subject ingests 1.0 gram of protein the gross fuel value of which is 5.65 calories, and if only 0.97 gram is digested, the gross available calories are 0.97×5.65 , or 5.48.

Since only 0.97 gram is available from each gram of ingested protein, only 0.97×1.25 or 1.21 calories should be deducted. Thus for 1 gram of ingested protein, the available energy value would be 5.48-1.21, or 4.27 calories. This is the same as 0.97 (5.65-1.25). Corrected values for column F were written in file copies ³ of the report and have been included as column F revised here in table 7. The corrected values were also published by Langworthy and Milner in 1904 in a summary of investigations on the nutrition of man in this country (98). This publication may not have had wide circulation and has seldom been cited. The revised values make for consistency in the use of columns E and F. It should be pointed out that the revised figures for column F were unrounded in contrast to the values in columns D and E in the original table.

The calorie value per gram of urinary nitrogen.— Several questions have been raised on the advisability of applying 7.9, the calorie-nitrogen ratio in urine published by Atwater (12, 17), to energy calculations for which dietary conditions may be greatly different. Lusk (101) summarized data showing that the ratio was affected by the proportion of dietary protein, fat, and carbohydrate. Other questions have been raised concerning the effect of negative or positive nitrogen balance, and of high-fruit diets having more than the usual amount of organic acid.

Unfortunately, at the present time no record is at hand showing the specific experiments from which Atwater derived the ratio of 7.9 calories per gram of urinary nitrogen and from it concluded that 1.25 calories per gram of available protein should be subtracted for loss of incompletely oxidized material in the urine.

As early as 1897 Atwater and Benedict in the Storrs Agricultural Experiment Station report for that year (12, p. 167), stated, "... the heat of combustion of the water-free substance of the urine will be 1.25 calories for each gram of digested (available) protein. This factor is the average found in a number of experiments in this laboratory, in which the heat of combustion of the water-free substance of the urine was determined."

At the time this statement was published, results probably were available from the first 16 of a series of 55 experiments on the metabolism of matter and energy in the human body conducted under Atwater's supervision. We found the ratio of the heat of combustion of urine to urinary nitrogen when calculated for these 16 experiments to average 7.9 calories, or the equivalent of 1.26 calories per gram of absorbed protein $(7.9 \div 6.25 =$ 1.26).

The study that included the 55 metabolism experiments was made at Middletown, Conn., during the years 1896-1902 under the auspices of the U. S. Department of Agriculture in cooperation with the Storrs (Conn.) Agricultural Experiment Station and Wesleyan University. The subjects were normal healthy men of similar weight, around 65 to 79 kg.

³ A note on one of the marked copies on file in U. S. Department of Agriculture reads, "A copy showing corrections as made on slips sent to Magnus Levy in letter of July 6, 1904."

TABLE 8.---Summary of data showing calorie-nitrogen ratio of urine based on early studies of energy metabolism and digestibility

[Respiration experiments—food, drink, feces, urine, and respiratory products were weighed, measured, and analyzed. Metabolism experiments—same determina-tions as made for respiration and in addition measurements of heat given off and heat equivalent of work. Digestion experiments—food, drink, feces, urine, were weighed, measured, and analyzed.]

	Front		NT 10				Daily nutri	ent intake			Composi	tion of dai	ly urine
Kind of experiment	ment number	Date	of days duration	Subject	Activity	Gross energy	Protein	Fat	Carbo- hydrate	Nitrogen balance	Nitro- gen	Heat of com- bustion	Cal./N ratio
Respiration	22211098224202011190141414 222109822405004051119 22210822405054054 22208240505054054 22208240505054054 22208240555 22208240555 22508240555 22508240555 22508240555 22508240555 22508240555 22508240555 22508240555 22508240555 22508240555 22508240555 22508240555 22508240555 22508240555 22508240555 22508555 22508555 22508555 225085555 225085555 225085555 225085555 2250855555 2250855555 22508555555 22508555555 22508555555555 225085555555555	Feb. 17–19, 1896 Mar. 16–21, 1896 Mar. 25–28, 1896 Mar. 25–28, 1896 Mar. 25–28, 1896 Mar. 25–28, 1896 Mar. 25–28, 1896 Mar. 28–31, 1896 Apr. 26–May 4, 1897 May 4–8, 1897 May 14–18, 1897 June 8–12, 1897 June 8–12, 1897 June 8–12, 1897 June 8–12, 1897 June 8–12, 1897 June 8–12, 1898 Jan. 10–14, 1898 Mar. 18–20, 1898 Mar. 18–20, 1898 Mar. 18–20, 1898 Mar. 12–16, 1898 Dec. 17–20, 1899 Jan. 12–16, 1899 Jan. 12–16, 1899 Jan. 12–16, 1899 Jan. 12–16, 1899 Jan. 12–16, 1899 Dec. 17–20, 1899 Jan. 12–16, 1899 Jan. 12–16, 1899 Jan. 12–16, 1899 Feb. 20–24, 1899 Feb. 26, 1899 Feb. 20–24, 1899 Feb. 10–12, 1899 Feb. 10–12, 1899		A A WSS A A WSS B C O C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	Rest	⁶ ⁶ ⁶ ⁶ ⁶ ⁶ ⁶ ⁶	G_{π}^{0} $G_{$	G_{128}^{0} G_{128}^{0} G_{128}^{0} G_{128}^{0} G_{128}^{0} G_{153}^{0} G_{1	0 0	84 84 94 94 94 94 94 94 94 95 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96 96	80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8	$\begin{smallmatrix} Calories \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 150 \\ 128$	۲۵۵۵۶۲۵۵۵۶۶۶۵۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶۶
Digestion	4 83	Mar. 9–13, 1899	·4	EO	Light	8 2, 650	120	<u>69</u>	281	+2.4	16.1	142	828

	5.22	10.54	8.96	8.07	0. 95 7. 95	8.37	8. 80	8. 48 48	8. 19 84	8. 24	7. 78	7.59	7.73	20 07 0	80 04 80 04	9.12	8.01	7.64	8.19	7.74	8. 51 2. 51	7. 90	1. 00 1. 11	7.82	8. 28	7.94	8.80 80	9. 06	9. UI	8.56	8. 71	8.74	× 16	20 20 20 20	00 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	7. 95	8.33	14 8, 89	14 0 76	8. 71	10.36
$137 \\ 141 \\ 136 $	127 84	173	147	130	124	128	132	134	140 130	126	119	129	126	13/	55	177	133	155	131	120	143	158	105	147	140	150	132	144	1691	137	135	118	102	133	1280	132	145	14 128	138	128	58
$ \begin{array}{c} 18.4\\ 19.0\\ 18.3\\ 28.3$	16. 3 11. 2	19.6 16.6	16.4	16.1	15.6	15.3	15.0	15.8	15.1	12.31	15.3	17.0	16. 3 16. 3	10.9		19.4	16.6	20.3	16.0	15.5	16.8	20.0	14. I 16 9	0 00 1 00 1 1	16.9	18.9	15.0	15.9	10.1	16.0	15.5	$\frac{13}{5}$	12.5	16.0	14. U	16. 6	17.4	1414. 4	10. 7 1419 6	14. 7	5.6
+ i +	+ - 2.8 4 8	+6.1	- 5	-2.0		7		9. '	ן ו- ו ו-	- x 	- 4	-2.2	-1.5		-11-2	- 5. 4	- I. 4	-4.6	-16.0	8	-1. x	-4.6	- 14	90 10 1	-1. 7	-3.7	ი. 	- 2		16 i	+1.1	-3.6	- 11. 5	0 - -	-1 F - + +	.0.	+.7		+1.2	+.4	
$\begin{array}{c} 276\\ 279\\ 409\end{array}$	$303 \\ 192$	488	312	264	247	375	470	471	041 343	362	354	355	478	122	017	419	601	296		559	803	318	006	383	902	391	374	367	040 281	677	975	234		414 426	400 083	438	435	(13)	450	1, 002	(13)
69 69 69	32 25	163	104	97 95	90 40	40	98	106	104	152	152	66	66	88	00		69	203		165	99	285	064	202	-67	289	$294 \\ 294$	302	006	86	95	137		767	- 400 96	346	334	(13)	347 (13)	86	(13)
$123 \\ 124 \\ 124 \\ 124$	$\begin{array}{c}111\\92\end{array}$	142	111	26 1001	001 001	66	- 96	100	101	96 101	100	100	100	94 194	90	92	100	103		102	102	103	0.6	104	104	105	102	103	103	104	111	65	n c	99	105	110	109	(13)	(13)	107	(13)
$\begin{array}{c} 5 & 3, 040 \\ 2, 550 \\ 3, 060 \end{array}$	2, 870 2, 260	3, 950 3, 950	2, 900 2, 900	2, 520	5 2, 490	2,490	3,400	3, 490	3 400	3, 490	3, 490	\$ 3, 490	3, 490	2, 220	070 '7	2.920	3, 710	3, 710		4, 360	$\frac{4}{510}$	4, 540		4, 870	$\frac{1}{4}$, 930	4,860	4, 830	4, 840	4, 860	5, 370	5, 500	2,600	10	5, USU	5.480	5, 510	5, 510	(13) 7 7 7 7	0, 5/0	5, 530	(13)
Rest		T isobe	Rest	Light	nesudo	do	Work	do	do	do	do	do	op	Rest	do	Light	Work	do	Rest	Work	op	do	Work	do	do	do	do	do	do	do	do	do	Rest	W 0FK	Work	do	do	do	do	do	Rest
E0.		IFS	JFS		MOL	JCW	JCW	JCW	JCW	JCW	JCW	TOW	10W	JCW	JCW	JCW	JCW		JCW	JCW	JCW	JCW		ICW	JCW	JCW	JCW.	JCW	JCW-	JCW	HF										
ოოო			* co	40	000	000	4		ი ი 	94	ç	က	- 07	41 4	+ –		4	4	-	4.	4.	4-	4	₽₽	4		4 -	* 4	۰. ۲	4	က : :	- c	N -	+ cc	ა ო			40	4	ŝ	
Mar. 13-16, 1899 Mar. 16-19, 1899 Mar. 19-22, 1899		Ian 10-23 1000	Jan. 23–26, 1900	Feb. 10–14, 1900	Feb. 17–20, 1900	Feb. 20–23, 1900	Mar. 12-16, 1900	Mar. 16-19, 1900	Mar. 22–25, 1900	Apr. 16–20, 1900	Apr. 20–23, 1900	Apr. 23–26, 1900	$ Apr. 26-29, 1900 \dots$	Dec 0-3, 1900	Dec. 13–14, 1900	Jan. 8–11, 1901	Jan. 11–15, 1901	Jan. 15–19, 1901	Jan. 19–20, 1901	Feb. 22–26, 1901	Feb. 20-Mar. 2, 1901	War 6-7 1001	Mar 25-29 1901	Mar. 29-Apr. 2, 1901	Apr. 2-6, 1901	Apr. 6-7, 1901	Apr. 29-May 3, 1901	May 7-11 1901	May 11-12, 1901	Mar. 23–27, 1902	Mar. 27-30, 1902	Mar. 30–31, 1902	Apr. 17-91 1009	Apr. 11-21, 1802	Apr. 24–27, 1902	Apr. 27–30, 1902	Apr. 30-May 1, 1902	Apr. 23-27, 1903	May 3-7. 1903.	May 7-10, 1903	1 Nov. 7-10, 1903
4 22 4 7 23 4 7 24		3 147	7 25	2 149 7 96	4 27	4728	² 153	4 20	4731	² 157	4732	+ ; ; ;	, 34 100	10.25	10 36	$^{2}192$	10 37	10 38	10 30	² 195	0 1 0	14 2	$^{2}198$	10 43	10 44	10 45	202 7	10 47	10 48	2 302	10 49	00 of	2 205	10.52	10 53	1054	10 55	12 614 12 56	12 616	12 57	71
Metabolism	Average * - Minimum -	Maximum -	Metabolism	Digestion	Do	D0	Digestion	Metabouism	Do	Digestion	Metabolism	D0	Discotion	Metabolism	Fasting	Digestion	Metabolism	Do.*	Fasting	Digestion	Metabolism	Fasting	Digestion*	Metabolism*	Dof	Do*	Lugestion*	Dot	Do*	Digestion †	Metabolism 7	Pacting	Digestion*	Metabolism*	Metabolism 7	Do*	Do*	Digestion*	Digestion 7.	Metabolism t	Ulgestion 7

See footnotes at end of table.

TABLE 8.—Summary of data showing calorie-nitrogen ratio of urine based on early studies of energy metabolism and digestibility-Continued

ily urine	Cal./N ratio	88888894 44748488888888 40484 400844 4008400000000
ition of da	Heat of com- bustion	Calorie: 76 133 133 133 133 133 133 136 136 136 13
Compos	Nitro- gen	G_{72}^{G}
	Nitrogen balance	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} -2.2\\ -2.2\\ -2.2\\ -1.6\\ -2.2\\ -2.0\\ -2.2\\ -$
	Carbo- hydrate	$\begin{array}{c} 67ams\\ 252\\ 252\\ 356\\ 376\\ 731\\ 731\\ 731\\ 323\\ 323\\ 323\\ 324\\ 324\\ 324\\ 324\\ 324$
ent intake	Fat	$egin{array}{c} G_{1ams} & G_{1ams} & 27 \\ & & 21 \\ & & 51 \\ & & 51 \\ & & 115 \\ & & 115 \\ & & 300 \\ & & 305 \\ & & 300 \\ & & 300 \\ & & 300 \\ & & 300 \\ & & 300 \\ & & 300 \\ & & 300 \\ & & & 300 \\ & & & 300 \\ & & & 300 \\ & & & & 300 \\ & & & & & 300 \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & $
Daily nutri	Protein	$\begin{array}{c} 6^{tams} \\ 40 \\ 40 \\ 101 \\ 101 \\ 101 \\ 101 \\ 101 \\ 103 \\ 103 \\ 88 \\ 88 \\ 68 \end{array}$
	Gross energy	$\begin{smallmatrix} Calories \\ 1,500 \\ 1,500 \\ 1,500 \\ 2,510 \\ 3,610 \\ 3,610 \\ 3,610 \\ 3,610 \\ 2,5,390 \\ 2,500 \\ 2,370 \\ 2,370 \\ 2,100$
	Activity	Rest-do Work-do Work-do Work-do Best-do Rest-do Light-
	Subject	HF BFD BFD ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL
	Number of days duration	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
	Date	Nov. 10–13, 1903 Jan. 21–27, 1904 Jan. 27–30, 1904 Jan. 30–31, 1904 Apr. 12–16, 1904 Apr. 19–22, 1904 Apr. 22–23, 1904 Apr. 23–24, 1904 Apr. 25–27, 1904
	Experi- ment number	12 65 13 61 13 61 13 61 13 61 13 62 13 62 13 62 13 62 13 65 13 65 15
	Kind of experiment	Metabolism Digestion Metabolism Digestion Digestion Do* Do* Do f

¹ Atwater, W. O., Woods, C. D., and Benedict, F. G. U. S. Dept. Agr., Off. Expt. Sta. Bull. 44, 1897. (23) ² Atwater, W. O. Fourteenth Ann. Rept. of the Storrs Agr. Expt. Sta. 1901, pp. 179-245, 1902. (7) ³ Atwater, W. O., and Benedict, F. G. U. S. Dept. Agr., Off. Expt. Sta. Bull. 69, 1899. (Rev. ed.) (13) ⁴ Atwater, W. O., and Benedict, F. G. Natl. Acad. Sci. Sixth Mem. Vol. 8, 1902. (15)

⁶ Included 512 calories from 72.5 grams alcohol. ⁶ Average of the 16 experiments believed used by Atwater to obtain his first estimate of the calorie-nitrogen ratio 7.9 in 1897. ⁷ Atwater, W. O., and Benedict, F. G. U. S. Dept. Agr., Off. Expt. Sta. Bul. 109, 1992. (14).

⁸ 512 calories supplied by 72.5 grams alcohol on last day of experiment. ⁹ Average of all metabolism and their preliminary digestion experiments

made in period 1896–1899. These 41 experiments believed to be included in the 46 experiments used by Atwater to confirm 1897 estimate of calorie-

initrogen ratio. In Atwater, W. O., and Benedict, F. G. U. S. Dept. Agr., Off. Expt. Sta. Bul. 136, 1903. (16) III. Experiment discontinued at end of first day, subject became nauseated and able to consume only part of food, worked 5 hours instead of customary and able to consume only part of food, worked 5 hours instead of customary B hours. Bul. 175, 1907. (27) III. Amount not reported, but essentially same as in following experiment. III. Amount not reported, but essentially same as in following experiment.

*High fat diet, fat supplying one-half to two-thirds of the total calories. †High carbohydrate diet, carbohydrate supplying two-thirds or more of the total calories.

A complete outline of the work, and the procedures followed were given in considerable detail by Atwater and Benedict (16). Those aspects of the study thought to have a direct bearing on the calorie-nitrogen ratio of the urine will be referred to here. Some additional details are given in the section on alcohol (p. 18). Selected data from the 55 experiments have been summarized in table 8 along with data from a later series in which Benedict and Milner continued the study.

Atwater designated the first four experiments of the series as "respiration experiments;" for these, analyses were made of food intake, drink, feces, urine, and respiratory products. No determinations were made of the heat given off from the body nor of the heat equivalents of external work in these experiments. He called the remaining experiments, Nos. 5 through 55, "metabolism experiments." They included measurements of energy in addition to the data obtained in the respiration experiments. Each metabolism experiment had two parts, a digestion experiment in which the subject lived under ordinary conditions and the metabolism experiment proper in which the subject lived in a respiration chamber. Digestibility data were available from the second part as well as from the first part of each metabolism experiment.

The respiration calorimeter, described in detail in U. S. Department of Agriculture Bul. 63 (21), was especially designed for this series of experiments. It included among other equipment a bed and a stationary bicycle with an ergometer for measuring external muscular work, thus providing for the study of metabolism of matter and energy under conditions of rest and strenuous activity.

In the so-called work experiments, the activity of the subject varied but in most cases he rode the stationary bicycle for 8 hours daily. During the preliminary digestion period, prior to the work periods within the calorimeter, the activity of the subject was sometimes comparable to that during the work period and sometimes was only his normal activity with some additional light exercise. This latter activity was designated as "light" in table 8 to differentiate it from the more strenuous activity of pedaling the stationary bicycle for 8 hours daily, referred to as a "work" experiment. In the "rest" experiments the subject remained quiet, avoiding all muscular activity as far as it was practical.

Certain precautions were taken to minimize errors in the nitrogen and energy determinations. The urinary nitrogen was determined in 6-hour intervals throughout the day, using the Kjeldahl method. A portion of each collection was reserved as part of a composite sample for the day. Nitrogen and the heat of combustion were determined on a portion of this composite and the remainder was preserved by adding formalin or thymol. This became a part of the composite sample for the whole period of usually 3 to 4 days. The analysis of the total composite sample checked closely with results obtained when the urine was analyzed each day. This assured the investigators that no significant error occurred from nitrogen or energy loss in the urine during storage.

The heats of combustion were determined by the Kellner method. A weighed absorption block of cellulose of known heat of combustion was saturated with a known amount of urine, dried at about 60° C., and burned in a bomb calorimeter. The results were corrected for the heat of combustion of the absorption block. This latter factor was an average of determinations for a number of similar blocks. The method was given in detail by Atwater and Snell, 1903 (22).

The investigators took into account the possibility that a lag in nitrogen excretion by the subjects would introduce some error in urinary estimations in the relatively short experimental periods of 3 to 4 days. This possible error was reduced by having periods on the same diet run consecutively. In addition to the incompletely oxidized matter lost in the urine the perspiration losses should be recognized. However, as nitrogen losses have been shown by 25 work experiments of Atwater and Benedict (16) to be small, averaging only 0.29 gram per day, and as data on comparable energy loss in the perspiration were lacking, the data in table 8 apply to urinary losses only.

The series was planned to study metabolism (1). while fasting, (2) when the proportions of fat and carbohydrate of an ordinary diet were varied, and (3) when a moderate amount of alcohol replaced fat and carbohydrate isocalorically. In the first 16 experiments rather simple mixed diets were used as shown in table 9. For these experiments the amounts of protein, fat, and carbohydrate, and the gross calories found by determination were reported. The amounts of other nutrients present in the diet have been calculated from tables of nutrient composition; these calculated values are shown in table 10.

In the annual report for 1899 (17) Atwater continued to use the same factor, 1.25 calories per gram of digested protein, in his calculations of available energy, although he recognized that this deduction was not accurate for all foods. Some error is introduced when this correction, based on the factor 6.25 to convert nitrogen to protein, is used with proteins or with nonproteins containing more or less than 16 percent nitrogen. In the same publication he mentioned briefly the derivation of the basic figure 7.9 calories per gram of urinary nitrogen. He stated that the figure was based on the average of 46 determinations. They were mainly from his laboratory with a few from Chas. D. Wood of the Maine Experiment Station. In addition to the first 16 experiments conducted prior to the 1897 report, the next 25 of the series may have been completed before the 1899 report was prepared. Possibly these 41 experiments, together with 5 unpublished from the Maine Experiment Station, made up the 46 experiments to which Atwater referred in the 1899 report.

	Re	spiration	experime	nts	м	etabolism	experime	ents	I)igestion (experimen	ts
Food item	1	2	3	4 ¹	5	6	7	8	37	39	41	43
Beef, fried	Grams 121	Grams 121	Grams 96	Grams 96	Grams 120 25	Grams 100	Grams 169 25	Grams 150	Grams 121 25	Grams 100	Grams 170 25	Gтат s 150
Ham, deviled						50	141		107	$52 \\ 52$	144	103
Milk, whole (assumed raw)	$98 \\ 1,000 \\ 75 \\ 75 \\ 75 \\ 75 \\ 75 \\ 75 \\ 75 \\$	$ \begin{array}{r} 101 \\ 500 \\ 75 \end{array} $	100 660	650	$\frac{95}{775}$	850	575	850	775	850	575	850
Butter	35^{75}	$\frac{75}{35}$	20	45	35	75	15	35	34	75	15	35
Bread, blown Bread, white Bread, rye	250	228	275	250 150	325	450	150	325	316	450	150	328
Oatmeal				40								
Wheat breakfast food Sugar Beans, baked	20	40	46	$20 \\ 120$	$\frac{35}{125}$	$50 \\ 125$	45 125	$\begin{array}{c} 40\\125\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} & 6 \\ & 38 \\ 125 \end{array}$	$50\\125$	45 125	$\begin{array}{c} 40\\125\end{array}$
Potatoes, boiled in skins	150	150	$\begin{array}{c} 270\\ 85\end{array}$	$\frac{100}{125}$				200				200
Peaches Pears, canned Alcohol			140 210		150	300	$150 \\ 72.5$		150	300	$150 \\ 72.5$	

TABLE 9.—Daily food intake in the experiments from which Atwater originally obtained the calorie-nitrogen ratio of 7.9 for urine

¹ Includes experiments 4P, 4A, 4B, 4C, and 4S. (See table 8.)

In the 25 additional published experiments in this series conducted prior to 1900, the diet was modified somewhat as compared with the first 16. It consisted of beef, whole or skim milk, butter, bread, cereal breakfast foods, graham crackers, ginger snaps, and sugar. The estimated nutrient intake was similar to that of the preceding experiments except that the ascorbic acid content was lower, probably only between 10 and 20 milligrams per day. The average calorie-nitrogen ratio for the 41 experiments, 7.88 (table 8), is not different from that found for the first 16 alone, 7.86.

The calorie-nitrogen ratio of the urine in these 41 experiments showed a wide variation with a range from 5.22 to 10.54. As the number of experiments under any one set of conditions was limited, it is scarcely feasible to conclude from this series how different factors such as level of intake, extent of digestibility, type of diet, and degree of activity influenced the calorie-nitrogen ratio of the To the data in table 8 already mentioned, urine. we have added data selected or calculated from the rest of the 55 metabolism experiments completed after 1899, and data from a series of metabolism experiments, numbers 56-67 by Benedict and Milner (27), which was actually a continuation of the earlier series of Atwater and Benedict. Benedict and Milner resumed the investigations of matter and energy in 1903. We have included data from these studies for reference since copies of the various publications in which the experiments were reported are no longer readily available and they furnish much valuable basic data.

The diets of the experiments conducted in 1900 and later showed very wide variations in gross calories and in the levels of protein, fat, and carbohydrate. The urinary calorie-nitrogen ratio for these experiments varied from 6.44 to 10.36. Both extremes were within those observed for experiments conducted prior to 1900; the average was 8.32, a little higher than for the preceding experiments.

Many other studies have been made in which data on urinary nitrogen and energy have been reported. To facilitate further study of this problem, some of these are noted below.

Rubner (148) determined the calorie-nitrogen ratio in urine on a variety of mixed diets, reporting an average ratio of 8.5. But a number of years later in a paper with Thomas (151) he reported that the ratio was between 7 and 8, although he had found variations outside this range. Among other problems Rubner (148) studied the influence of level of fat, single foods, and periods of rapid growth on calorie-nitrogen ratio of the urine and summarized the results as follows:

Food	Calories per gram N	Duration of experiment
Mother's milk Cow's milk, infants Cow's milk, adults Diet poor in fat Do Diet rich in fat Do Boys' mixed diet Boys' mixed diet rich in fat Potatoes	12. 10 6. 93 7. 71 8. 57 8. 33 8. 87 8. 44 6. 42 7. 50 7. 69 7. 85	Days 7 7 7 2 4 2 4 4 4 4 1 1
	1	

AT 144 Sec.		Respiration	ı experiments	~		Metabolis	m experiments			Digestion	experiments	:
109130 N	1	3	3	14	5	9	7	80	37	39	41	43
Gross energy	$\begin{array}{c} 3,230\\ 1.42\\ 1,882\\ 4,700\\ 1.26\\ 4,700\\ 1.26\\ 12.87\\ 1.26\\ 12.87\\ 12.6\\$	2, 920 120 112 112 112 14 14 1, 290 140 1, 05 32 32 1, 05 32 32 1, 05 32 32 1, 05 32 32 1, 05 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32 32	2, 640 2, 640 3, 612 3, 612 3, 612 1, 35 1, 35 1	2,740 1,328 3,040 3,040 1,0 3,040 1,0 3,040 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,0 1,	2,660 119 119 15,12 1,137 1,137 3,520 3,520 1,17 15,4 15,4 21 21	$\begin{array}{c} 3, 680\\ 119\\ 153\\ 1, 269\\ 4, 490\\ 2, 378\\ 1, 269\\ 1, 269\\ 1, 269\\ 1. 04\\ 1. 04\\ 1. 04\\ 1. 35\\ 2. 35\\$	2 2, 460 2 104 104 190 891 3, 050 3, 050 14, 4 16, 4 16, 4 16, 9 16, 9 16, 9 16, 9 16, 9 16, 10 17, 0 18, 0 19, 0 10, 0 10, 0 10, 0 10, 0 11, 0 10, 0 10, 0 11, 0 10, 0 10, 0 11, 0 10, 0	2, 900 96 96 308 308 3, 820 3, 820 3, 820 2, 43 10. 26 16. 2 2, 43 20 2, 43 20 2, 43 20 2, 43 20 2, 43 20 2, 40 2, 40 3, 40 3, 40 4, 40 4, 40 3, 40 3, 40 4, 40 3, 40 3, 40 4, 40 3, 40 3, 40 3, 40 4,	$\begin{array}{c} 2, 680\\ 1, 145\\ 1, 145\\ 3, 620\\ 3, 620\\ 3, 620\\ 1, 20\\ 1.20\\ 1.20\\ 1.20\\ 1.20\\ 1.20\\ 218\\ 218\\ 218\\ 218\\ 218\\ 218\\ 218\\ 218$	$\begin{array}{c} 3,680\\ 3,680\\ 154\\ 1,214\\ 381\\ 1,214\\ 1,214\\ 2,13\\ 2,33\\ 2,$	$^{2}2,470$ 104 102 192 192 $^{3},090$ $^{3},090$ $^{3},090$ $^{2},04$ $^{14},4$ $^{16},4$	$egin{array}{ccccc} 2,930\ 1022\ 1022\ 1,230\ 1,230\ 3,900\ 2,18.1\ 2,330\ 2,243\ 2,243\ 2,243\ 2,29\ 2,29\ 2,29\ 2,29\ 2,30$

9)
å
÷.
ä
8
9
5
0;
\sim
5
~
a
٤
ű
ge
0
iti
ä
0
3.
10
ä
с С
he
t_{i}
p
re re
υ'n
ta
ob
~
บบ
a
.Ľ
, Ĝ
2
0
er
rt
ă
$ t_{1}\rangle$
∇
4
.2
i'a
n
я
6
F.
ം
rt.
es
т
2
i) G
ц
e,
ő
th
¢
\dot{z}
\hat{s}
$\mathfrak{I}k$
υtı
in
t
us
ٽي.
ιth
n
-
l_{y}
\mathbf{x}_i
Ã
. 7
I,
Ö.
E
ЗL
ÅΕ
Г,
÷.

.

¹ Includes experiments 4P, 4A, 4B, 4C, and 4S. (See table 8.)

 $^{\rm z}$ Includes about 500 calories from 72.5 gm. alcohol in diet.

Rubner and Thomas (151) found the urinary calorie-nitrogen ratio for a subject on a diet solely of potatoes to be 9.04, 11.92, and 10.09 for the 1st, 4th, and 6th days respectively—ratios which were much higher than Rubner had observed in earlier experiments except for the infant on mother's milk. Sherman (156) reported a series of metabolism experiments on very simple diets of crackers and milk and in some cases butter. In one series periods of restricted and liberal intakes were alternated. Experimental periods of 3 to 5 days followed consecutively, two series for 12 days each and a third series for 20 days, to provide a better basis for following and interpreting changes in the composition of the urine. There was no apparent difference in the calorie-nitrogen ratios found for the periods on restricted and liberal intakes. The range was 7.39-8.00. In general the ratio was somewhat lower than that found by Atwater and coworkers for subjects on mixed diets.

Benedict made an extensive investigation of nitrogen and energy losses in the urine under fasting conditions, reporting his results in two publications (25, 26). When body material is metabolized the calorie-nitrogen ratio appears to be even more variable than that found for different kinds of mixed diets but the average ratio is higher. He reported ratios in the range of 8 to 10 for the first day of fasting, increasing with each successive day until after several days some were in the range of 14 to 18.

Several investigations have been made in which calories and nitrogen in the urine of children have been reported, notably those of Macy (111, 112). Her studies provided data on a group of children ranging from 4 to 12 years of age over an extended period of time. From the composition of the urine reported the calorie-nitrogen ratio has been calculated for each child. The ratio does not appear to differ appreciably from that obtained by Atwater for adults. Related problems have been studied by Folin (54), Rubner (149), Rubner and Heubner (150), and Tangl (180).

In view of the wide variation observed for the calorie-nitrogen ratio of the urine, the use of an average calorie value per gram of nitrogen may be questioned. Data providing a measure of the magnitude of the discrepancies when the available energy of the whole diet is calculated by the three procedures outlined on page 8 have been brought together in table 11. The experiments selected represent the more extreme conditions on record as follows: (1) Those in which the actual calorie-nitrogen ratio of the urine was considerably above or below the average; (2) those in which the subject was in different states of N-balance; and (3) those in which the subjects had diets of widely different composition with respect to proportions of calories from fat, protein, and carbohydrate.

of calories from fat, protein, and carbohydrate. The data show that although the amount of energy lost in the urine is highly variable, on the whole it is small compared with the gross energy of the food eaten. This is not surprising since less than a third of the gross calories from the digestible protein is involved, and digestible protein makes up only 10 to 25 percent of the total calories in these diets. Consequently, an error introduced by the use of an average calorie value per gram of either urinary nitrogen or net absorbed nitrogen does not affect greatly the calculated available energy of the whole diet.

For individual high-protein foods such as lean meat and some defatted nut and legume products, urinary loss might be a much more significant factor in determining available energy. If suitable data were available not only for foods of high nitrogen content but for all foods having some nonprotein nitrogen, and if data were available on the digestibility and utilization of the various nitrogenous compounds, a more accurate procedure for calculating available energy could be developed. Such data are not available and we are continuing to use Atwater's correction of 1.25 calories per gram of available protein (nitrogen content 16 percent).

For purposes for which the calculation of urinary energy loss from nitrogen in the usual way is not satisfactory, attention is called to the work of Rubner (149) and of Benedict (25, pp. 490-492). Benedict found less variability in the ratios of calories to either carbon or organic matter than in the ratio of calories to nitrogen. He found closer relationship when he related the energy to carbon but in view of the difficulty in determining carbon he suggested as a more feasible procedure, using the somewhat less constant calorie-organic matter ratio of the urine. The latter was largely proportional to the carbon content of the urine and far more readily determined. Benedict's suggestion for making the urinary energy deduction on this basis rather than using the more variable calorie-nitrogen ratio in estimating available calories in foods should be given further consideration.

Alcohol

The perplexing subject of the energy value of alcohol has been investigated from time to time for more than 50 years. Investigations have included such problems as the extent to which alcohol can spare protein for building or maintenance of body tissue, and the use of alcohol for muscular activity, deposition of fat, and generation of heat for maintenance of body temperature. Particularly controversial has been the question of the body's use of alcohol for muscular work.

The gross energy value of alcohol is 7.07 calories but its physiological energy value has been assessed variously by different groups of investigators. Daniel, 1951 (45) suggested using about 5.0 calories per gram, since from animal experiments and various biochemical studies it

Г
lculation
ca
by
part
\dot{in}
and
only
ution
nin_{c}
terı
t de
lirec
y a
p
ine
obta
gy e
ner
ee
abl
linc
, aı
fon
ata
f d
o u
iso
par
om.
C I
E 1
BL
T_{A}

	Average	gross energy	per day	Nitrogen	Mithao	Heat of combus-		A vailable data in	e energy obta preceding co	ined from lumns	Deviation obtained terminati calculated	from values by direct de- on, of values i by
experiment number and kind (See table 8)				absorbed (net) per day	in urine per day	tion of urine per gram	Daily N-balance	By direct determina-	In part by	calculation		
	Food	Feces	Uriae			úrinary N		Procedure 1 (2) $-[(3)+(4)]$	$\begin{array}{c} \text{Procedure 2} \\ (2)-[(3)+\\ 7.9 \ (5)] \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} {\rm Procedure \ 3} \\ (2)-[(3)+\\ 7.9 \ (6)] \end{array}$	Procedure 2	Procedure 3
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(2)	(9)	(1)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)
65 (Metabolism)	Calories 2, 369	Calories 92	Calories 176	Grams 14.5	Grams 24. 0	Calories 7.33	Grams - 9.5	Calories 2. 101	Calories 2, 162	Calories 2.087	$\frac{Percent}{+2.9}$	Percent -0.7
66 (Metabolism)	2, 062	$\frac{92}{2}$	157	13. 3	22. 3	7.04	-9.0	1, 813	1, 865	1, 794	+2.9	-1.0
41 (Matabolishi)	Z, 114	26	130	10.1	17.4	$\frac{7}{2}$ 47	-7.3	1, 892	1, 942	1, 885	+2.6	. 4
38 (Metabolism)	4, 039 3, 708	231	155	15.4 15.4	20.0	7. 90	-4.6	4, 150	4, 186	4, 150	6. +-	0
43 (Metabolism)	4, 867	224	147	15.1	0 00 7 00 7 00 7 00	7.82	-1 C	o, ±00 4, 496	o, 401 4, 524	0, 390 4 494	ي م + +	- 0
45 (Metabolism)	4, 860	256	150	15.2	18.9	7.94	- 3.6	4, 454	4,484	4, 455	×	0
198 (Digestion)	4, 258	246	105	13.9	16.3	6.44	-2.4	3, 907	3, 902	3, 883	—	9
48 (Respiration)	$\frac{4}{2}, 850$	280	162	15.0	17.2	9. 42 r 93	- 5 - 1 - 1	4,414	4, 458	4, 440	-1- +	9 t +'
8 (Metabolism)	2, 897	117	152	19.5	19.5	7. 79	0. - -	2, 628	2, 504	2,494 2,626		 -
25 (Metabolism)	2,896	111	147	16.7	16.5	8.91	+.2	2,638	2,653	2, 655	. 9. +	. 9 . +
10 (Digestion)	2, 622	11	111	18.3	18.0	6.17	+ . 3	2, 440	2,406	2,409	-1.4	-1.3
49 (Metabolism)	5, 499	172	135	16.6	15.5	8. 71	+1.1	5, 192	5, 196	5, 205	+	+.3
00 (Digestion)	2, 638	96	121	15.9	13.0	9.31	+2.9	2, 421	2,416	2, 439	2	+. 7
40 (Direction)	2, 935	158	140	ະ ເ ນີ້ ເ ນີ້ ເ	14.2	9.80	+4.1	2, 637	2, 632	2,665	2	+1.1
13 (Digestion)	3, 800	261	130	100	12.9	10.54	+5.1	3, 526	3, 520	3, 560	2	+1.0
08 (101368/1011)	3, 084	192	96	17.2	11.1	8. 65	+6.1	3, 396	3, 356	3,404	-1.2	+. +
		_					_					

¹ Data selected from more extensive list of experiments shown in table 8. The reader is referred to that table for further information and for source of original publication.

appears that only 65 to 70 percent is available for muscular work. The Food and Agriculture Organization Committee on Calorie Conversion Factors and Food Composition Tables did not publish a review of the literature but suggested in their report (55) that alcohol be omitted in computations of energy value of diets for two reasons—it is seldom possible to estimate alcohol consumption accurately, and little is known regarding its physiological energy value.

The gross potential energy value of alcoholic beverages was estimated by Atwater and Benedict to be as much as 500 calories per day for an individual's consumption described as "moderate." Statistics based on alcohol tax receipts in this country indicate that the average per capita consumption (man, woman, and child) of alcoholic beverages in recent years is approximately equivalent to 76 calories (gross value) per day. Were children and all other nonusers eliminated, the average consumption for users would be much higher. For numerous purposes, therefore, some assessment must be made of the energy value of alcohol.

The question is still being debated whether the energy of alcohol can be used for various physiological processes to the same extent as the organic constituents of food or whether its use is limited entirely or partially to providing heat. Reviews of the different aspects of alcohol utilization have been published by Carpenter (35), Mitchell and Curzon (122), Keys (80), and Klatzkin and others (82).

To be accurate, the assessment of food energy should take into account the site of the energy conversion and physiological destination of the nutrients. Up to the present no such additional refinements have been attempted in any common method of estimating energy values of foods. Attempts to do so with a view to obtaining calorie values to apply to foods under real life situations would be very complex. To illustrate, Keys (81) pointed out that when starch is hydrolyzed to glucose in the gastro-intestinal tract, approximately 14 calories per 100 grams are released in the body. This is available only as body heat and for no other purpose. If the hydrolysis occurs during cooking, these calories are lost before ingestion. In estimating calorie values of starch this type of difference is not taken into account. Of more importance, he pointed out, is the demonstration that, calorie for calorie, fat is about 12 percent less efficient for production of external muscular work than carbohydrate, yet there is no difference if calories are needed solely to maintain body temperature.

The potential energy of moderate amounts of alcohol may have a more limited usefulness in body metabolism than energy from proteins, fats, and carbohydrates. In view of the fact that in estimating calorie values, differences in availability and efficiency of use of the energy from these common sources are not considered, it does not seem necessary at present to discount the energy value of alcohol, particularly since there is considerable evidence that when intake is moderate a large part may be available for muscular work and that all of it may serve as a source of body heat.

The calorie factor for alcohol used in the tables in this publication is the one proposed by Atwater and Benedict (15), 6.9 calories per gram, based on respiration calorimeter studies in which they found that 98 percent of the heat of combustion (7.07 calories per gram) was utilized by the human body in its combined needs for energy in muscular work, building tissue, and maintaining body temperature.

Altogether Atwater and Benedict conducted 26 experiments, each lasting 2 to 4 days, in which they compared the metabolism of man on diets with and without alcohol. These experiments were part of the long series already referred to and are included in table 8.

In three groups of the first experiments, the periods with and without alcohol were not as directly comparable as in the six groups of later experiments, owing largely to lack of means for providing a food supply of uniform composition. By the time the later experiments were conducted, ways of preserving considerable quantities of food by canning and by cold storage had been devised. Three men in good health and with apparently normal digestion served as subjects in these 26 rest and work experiments. The respiration calorimeter used (see p. 15) was so constructed and equipped as to permit measurement and sampling for analysis of ventilating air, food, and excreta, and also for measurement of heat given off and external work performed by the subject.

The experimental plan for these 26 experiments was in general the same as that for the other experiments in the entire series. One difference was that in this group of experiments all periods were more than 1 day.

A preliminary digestion experiment of 3 or 4 days preceded each metabolism experiment. Each subject was on the experimental diet he was to have in the following period in the respiration chamber. During this preliminary period the subject made adjustments considered necessary in the diet and controlled his activity as much as possible to that he would have during the metabolism experiment in the calorimeter. The amounts, heats of combustion, and composition of food, feces, and urine were determined.

During the metabolism experiment these determinations were continued and in addition determinations were made of the water and carbon dioxide content of the ventilating air entering and leaving the respiration chamber, the heat given off by the body, and the heat equivalent of the muscular work performed during the work experiment. These data made it possible to determine the carbon, nitrogen, and hydrogen balance, the potential energy of food and unoxidized excreta, the kinetic energy of heat given off, and the external work performed.

Each subject had a rather simple diet consisting of such ordinary foods as meat, milk, bread, cereals, butter, sugar, and in some cases, coffee. During the rest experiments the diet supplied about 2,500 calories and during the work experiments, about 3,900 calories. In the rest experiments the subject performed as little activity as possible in addition to the necessary motions of dressing, undressing, handling of samples, recording of data, and the daily setting up and taking down of his cot. Most of the day was spent sitting, reading, or writing. In the work experiments he rode a stationary bicycle for a total of 8 hours a day.

Alcohol was substituted for either carbohydrate or fat or a mixture of both in 13 experiments, including rest and work experiments. About 72 grams (about 500 calories) were given in 6 small doses, 3 with meals and 3 at regular intervals between meals. Thus it furnished about a fifth of the calories during the rest experiments and between a seventh and an eighth of the calories during the work experiments.

The data showed that alcohol had no practical effect on digestibility except possibly in the case of protein. The coefficient of apparent digestibility of protein was a little larger in the experiments when the diet included alcohol than in comparable experiments without alcohol, 93.7 percent as compared with 92.6 percent.

The amounts of unoxidized alcohol given off by the kidneys, lungs, and skin were measured and deducted from the amount ingested. The difference was taken as the amount of alcohol oxidized in the body. Previous research by another worker had indicated that alcohol was not excreted by way of the intestine even when con-siderable quantities were taken. Therefore, no analysis of feces for alcohol was made. In these experiments only small amounts of unoxidized alcohol (0.7 to 2.7, averaging 1.3 grams) were recovered. The authors concluded that not more than about 2 percent would be given off unoxidized when taken in amounts comparable to those in these experiments. They suggested using 98 percent of the gross heat of combustion as the value of alcohol.

From these results Atwater and Benedict compared the energy of the daily net income and the outgo for subjects on diets with and without alcohol. The net income was the energy of the material actually oxidized in the body, and was determined by adjusting the available energy (gross food energy minus total calories in urine and feces) for calorie equivalent of loss or storage of body protein and fat. The total heat outgo was the energy measured by the apparatus as the heat given off plus the heat equivalent of the work performed by the subject. Whether or not the diet contained alcohol, the average energy outgo was equal to the average amount of energy of the net income. Atwater and Benedict concluded that the energy of alcohol oxidized was transformed completely into kinetic energy and appeared either as heat or as muscular work, or both.

Atwater and Benedict made some deductions concerning the protecting effect of alcohol on body material, based on the carbon, nitrogen, and hydrogen balances of the subjects. From these balances they estimated the daily gains and losses of body fat and protein, assuming that the glycogen stores for each individual at the beginning and end of the experiment were the same. They found some gains and some losses of body fat on either kind of diet but on the average there was a gain. This gain was slightly larger when the subjects were on the diets including alcohol than when on the ordinary diets; 2.4 grams daily as compared with 1.1 grams of fat in comparable experiments with and without alcohol. Storage and loss of body protein also was calculated. Comparisons made between the ordinary and alcohol periods indicated that alcohol was slightly inferior to carbohydrate or fat in protecting body protein; that is, a larger average daily loss, 6.9 grams, of body protein occurred in the alcohol periods than the average loss, 3.5 grams per day, for the ordinary periods.

Loss of the energy of alcohol by radiation of heat seemed to account for only a small proportion of the calorie value. Atwater and Benedict found that the radiation of heat from the body was only slightly greater with the alcohol diet than with the ordinary diet, and amounted to not more than 6 percent of the energy of alcohol.

Some of the results of the six groups of experiments (totaling 15 balances on 2 men) in which the alcohol and nonalcohol periods were more nearly comparable are shown in table 12. As the protein intake within each group of comparable experiments with and without alcohol is nearly constant, these data indicate approximately the effect of alcohol on both the apparent digestibility of protein and on the retention or loss of digested protein. There was a small increase in apparent digestibility and also some increase in urinary nitrogen excretion when the diets included alcohol. The heats of combustion which Atwater and Benedict applied in experiments 9 and 10 to changes in body protein and fat were 5.65 and 9.54 calories per gram, respectively. In their later experiments they changed the figure for body fat as they considered 9.4 calories per gram more nearly correct. The net effect of alcohol on gain or loss of body protein and fat in terms of total energy change is shown in column 13. The last two columns of the table show excellent agreement between energy expenditures obtained in two entirely different ways: by adjusting available energy of food intake for changes in amounts of body protein and fat, and by direct measurements of the heat given off by the body plus the heat equivalent of the muscular work performed (in the work experiments).

2
0
2
в
Ś
20
at
45
p_{i}
r u
0
ite
ra
\boldsymbol{q}
ŝ
0
rb
8
0
ĥ.
aı
et
is:
5
Ś
Ŕ
.2
Ţ,
õ
Ø,
Ó
.S
Š
ľa
e.
re
4
0,
Я
્રેટ
3
20
a,
et
E
-
6
6
ŭ
é
ч
0
S
3
Æ
E
1
ci.
-
도
Ē
eg .
~

•

~

		Daily	intake	Daily N e	xcretion				Gross hea	t values			Energy	ralue of oxidized
													in bo	dy
Subject, dict, and experiment number	Activity	Drotain	Rhorgy	soco H	[Trino]	Nitrogen balance	Det	ermined for	J	Calculate b	d for gain o ody tissue ²	or loss of	Energy of net income	Body heat loss plus
			10101 m		- 91110		Feces	Urine	Excreted alcohol	Protein	Fat	Net change	$\frac{4-(cols.)}{8+9+10+6}$	near squivalent of work
(1)	(3)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(9)	(٤)	(8)	(6)	(10)	(11)	(12)	(13)	(14)	(15)
EO on a mixed diet: 9 Without alcohol ³ 10 With alcohol replacing 520 cal- mies from the diet (37 cm	Rest	Grams 119. 6 123. 5	Calories 2, 717 2, 709	Grams 1. 3 1. 4	Grams 18.4 19.5	Grams -0.6 -1.1	Calories 142 127	Calories 149 147	Calories	Calories - 20 - 38	Calories + 174 + 200	Calories +154 +162	Calories 2, 272 2, 265	Calories 2, 309 2, 283
EO on a mixed diet: 11 Without alcohol 4	Work	124. 1 120. 6	3, 862 3, 891	2.2 1.3	18.1 18.2	. 22 . 1	219 136	133 130	11		374 302	$-391 \\ -308$	3, 901 3, 922	3, 932 3, 927
EO on a mixed diet: 24 Without alcohol 4	Rest	123. 6 123. 2	3, 061 3, 044	1.3 1.1	18. 2 18. 5		116 114	136 138	15	$^{+10}_{+8}$	+561 +589	+571 +597	2, 238 2, 180	2, 272 2, 258
JFS on a mixed diet: 26 Without alcohol, supplement of 63.5 gm. butter, supplying	do	99.6	2, 490	1.1	15.4	9	106	128		-20	+233	+213	2, 043	2, 085
508 calories. ⁴ 28 Without alcohol, supplement of 128 gm. cane sugar, supplying	do	98.6	2, 489	1.2	15. 3	7	112	128		-26	+208	+182	2, 067	2, 079
507 calories. ⁴ 27 With alcohol ⁵	do	98.6	2, 491	1.1	15.7	-1.0	67	124	9	35	+174	+139	2, 125	2, 123
31 Without alcohol, supplement of 63.5 gm. butter, supplying	Work	100. 9	3, 495	×.	15.6	е. Г	16	129	; ; ; ; ; ; ; ;	- 13	-151	-164	3, 439	3, 420
29 Without alcohol, supplement of 128 gm. sugar, supplying	do	100.1	3, 487	×.	16. 0	8	93	134		-28	227	-255	3, 515	3, 589
30 With alcohol supplement (72 gm.), supplying 509 calories. ⁶	do	99. 2	3, 458	2.	17. 3	-2.1	11	140	ũ	- 74	163	-237	3, 479	3, 470

3, 573 3, 629 3, 669	-
	.
333 334 366	
	1
ى ي	
119 126 129	
142 126 125	
- 2. 5	-
15. 7 16. 7 17. 3	-
1. 2 1. 2 1. 2	
3, 487 3, 493 3, 486	
100. 5 99. 7 99. 7	-
ob	
 S on a mixed diet: 32 Without alcohol, supplement of 63.5 gm. butter, supplying 510 calories. 34 Without alcohol, supplement of 128 gm. sugar, supplying 507 calories. 33 With alcohol supplement (72 gm.), supplying 509 calories. 	

¹ Includes 0.2 gm. nitrogen per day from loss in perspiration for work experiments 11, 12, 29, 30, 31, and 0.4 gm. nitrogen per day for work experiments 32, 33, and 34. No correction for nitrogen loss in perspiration during rest experiments.

rest experiments. ² In these experiments the heats of combustion used by Atwater and Benedict were 5.65 calories per gram of body protein, and, except in experiments 9 and 10, 9.40 calories per gram for body fat; in experiments 9 and 10, 9.54 calories per gram of body fat was used.

^a Atwater, W. O., and Benedict, F. G. U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. 69, 1899. (Rev. ed.) (13) • Atwater, W. O., and Benedict, F. G. U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. 109, 1902. (14) • Atwater, W. O., and Benedict, F. G. National Academy of Science Sixth Mem., vol. 8, 1902. (15) A comparison of the experiments with and without alcohol (column 13) indicates that within each group, when 72 grams of alcohol (509 calories) replaced an approximate calorie equivalent of fat and/or carbohydrate, the calculated net gain or loss of energy value in the form of body tissue was sometimes a little larger and sometimes a little smaller than the change calculated for the comparable experiments when no alcohol was included. In these six groups of experiments the calculated calorie change of body tissue varied from an additional gain of 83 calories to a larger loss of 95 calories as compared with the

PART III. DERIVATION OF CURRENT CALORIE FACTORS

Since Atwater first proposed his individual food group factors and his general factors for estimating the fuel value of mixed diets as a whole (17), enough data for a number of foods have accumulated to make possible some revisions and additions. For other foods more data are urgently needed.

Prior to 1947 the Bureau of Human Nutrition and Home Economics had summarized the available information on digestibility by man of bread made from wheat of three levels of extraction and had compiled preliminary material for potatoes. Since then, study of the scientific literature has been continued, permitting the addition of coefficients of digestibility for many more items. Data from the digestibility studies reviewed are given in appendix tables 23 and 24. The resulting summary of data on human digestibility and heat of combustion needed for deriving specific calorie values of individual items of food or of small food groups is given in table 13. Where further information was lacking, Atwater's data were taken from the revised figures for column F in table 10 of his report cited above and reproduced as table 7, page 10. The figures in columns 4, 7, and 10 are the specific factors to be applied to the grams of protein (nitrogenous material), fat (usually ether extract), and carbohydrate (determined by differences) in the food to obtain the physiological energy value.

Before discussing the derivation of the specific calorie factors shown in table 13, a few general observations should be made regarding the basis of the data.

The basis for the coefficients of digestibility in table 13 could have been broadened greatly, if the large volume of work with experimental animals in the literature had been included. Some work has been done to compare digestibilities of man and experimental animals. Brierem and NicoURRENT CALORIE FACTORS laysen (30) compared utilization of protein and dry matter in wheat and rye brans by man with utilization by sheep and swine. Later Crampton and others (43) compared man's use of several grain products with that of rats, sheep, and swine. However, there is insufficient evidence at present for concluding that digestibility of nutrients by experimental animals can be used to predict that of man. If a relationship could be established, research in this field could proceed more economi-

corresponding nonalcohol experiment. Under the

conditions of these experiments Atwater and

Benedict concluded that alcohol must have been

used by the body about as efficiently as the nutri-

ents from ordinary food it replaced. In the most

extreme case, alcohol calories were only about

four-fifths as well used as the food calories which

alcohol replaced. These experiments do not prove but suggest that under the conditions

comparable to those in these experiments much

of the energy of alcohol can be used in the body

for internal or muscular work.

cally and more rapidly. The energy factors shown in table 13 do not rest on equally reliable information. The number of subjects for different foods varied considerably. In general, no information was available on the possible departure of the test diet from the previous dietary pattern. Lack of uniformity was observed in the experimental procedures used, including lengths of the preliminary and experimental periods, choice of marker, and the relative proportion of the diet furnished by the test food. The foods tested, expecially in the early digestion experiments, were not always adequately de-scribed, nor was the chemical composition of the sample always reported. In some cases, reasonable assumptions could be made as to the identity of the samples. For a few foods neither descriptive nor composition data were reported by the investigators, and energy factors derived from digestibility data in those experiments may be shown by future work to need considerable revision. Grain products of various degrees of milling as described some 50 years ago have presented particularly knotty problems. Although the products were identified by extraction and other recognized milling terms, composition data in addition were necessary to classify them in terms of the most nearly comparable products on the market today.

		Protein			Fat		C	arbohydra	ate
Food or food group	Coeffi- clent of digesti- bility	Heat of combus- tion less 1.25 ²	Factor to be applied to in- gested nutri- ents	Coeffi- cient of digesti- bility	Heat of combus- tion	Factor to be applied to in- gested nutri- ents	Coeffi- cient of digesti- bility	Heat of combus- tion	Factor to be applied to in- gested nutri- ents
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)
Eggs, Meat products, Milk products: Eggs Gelatin	Pct. 97 97	Cal./gm. 4.50 4.02	Cal./gm. 4.36 3.90	Pct. 95 95	Cal./gm. 9.50 9.50	Cal./gm. 9. 02 9. 02	Pct. 98	Cal./gm. 3.75	Cal./gm. 3.68
Glycogen Meat, fish Milk, milk products	97 97	4. 40 4. 40	$\begin{array}{c} 4.\ 27 \\ 4.\ 27 \\ 4.\ 27 \end{array}$	95 95	9. 50 9. 25	9. 02 8. 79	98 <u>98</u> -	4. 19 - 3. 95	$ \begin{array}{c} 4. 11 \\ (^3) \\ 3. 87 \end{array} $
Pais, separateu: Butter	97 97	$ \begin{array}{c c} 4. 40 \\ -\overline{4. 40} \\ -\overline{4. 40} \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 4.\ 27\\ -4.\ 27\\ \end{array}$	95 95 95	9. 25 9. 50 9. 30	8. 79 9. 02 8. 84	98 98	3. 95 3. 95	3. 87
Other vegetable fats and oils Fruits: All (except lemons, limes)	85 85	3. 95	3.36	95 90	9. 30 9. 30 9. 30	8.84 8.37 8.37	90	$\begin{vmatrix} \\ 4.00 \\ 2.75 \end{vmatrix}$	3.60
Lemons Limes Grain products: Barley, pearled	85 85 78	3. 95 3. 95 4. 55	3. 36 3. 55	90 90 90	9. 30 9. 30	8. 37 8. 37	98 98 94	2. 75 2. 75 4. 20	2. 70 2. 70 3. 95
Buckwheat flour, dark Buckwheat flour, light Cornmeal, whole ground Cornmeal, degermed	$ \begin{array}{r} 74 \\ 78 \\ 60 \\ 76 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c} 4.55\\ 4.55\\ 4.55\\ 4.55\\ 4.55\end{array}$	3. 37 3. 55 2. 73 3. 46	90 90 90 90	9. 30 9. 30 9. 30 9. 30 9. 30	8. 37 8. 37 8. 37 8. 37 8. 37	90 94 96 99 98	4. 20 4. 20 4. 20 4. 20 4. 20 4. 11	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Dextrin Macaroni, spaghetti Oatmeal, rolled oats Rice, brown Rice, white or polished Rye flour, dark Rye flour, whole grain Rye flour, medium Rye flour, light Sorghum (kaoliang), whole or nearly whole meal Wheat, 97-100 percent extraction	86 76 75 84 65 67 71 75 20 79	$\begin{array}{c} 4.55\\ 4.55\\ 4.55\\ 4.55\\ 4.55\\ 4.55\\ 4.55\\ 4.55\\ 4.55\\ 4.55\\ 4.55\\ 4.55\\ 4.55\\ 4.55\\ 4.55\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 3. \ 91 \\ 3. \ 46 \\ 3. \ 41 \\ 3. \ 82 \\ 2. \ 96 \\ 3. \ 05 \\ 3. \ 23 \\ 3. \ 41 \\ . \ 91 \\ 3. \ 59 \end{array}$	90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90	9. 30 9. 30	8. 37 8. 37	98 98 98 99 90 92 95 97 96 90	$\begin{array}{c} 4. 20 \\$	$\begin{array}{c} 4. \ 02\\ 4. \ 12\\ 4. \ 12\\ 4. \ 12\\ 4. \ 16\\ 3. \ 78\\ 3. \ 86\\ 3. \ 99\\ 4. \ 07\\ 4. \ 03\\ 3. \ 78\\ 3. \ 78\\ 3. \ 78\\ 3. \ 78\\ 3. \ 99\\ 4. \ 07\\ 4. \ 03\\ 3. \ 78\\ 3. \ $
Wheat, 85–93 percent extraction Wheat, 70–74 percent extraction Wheat, flaked, puffed, rolled, shredded, whole meal	83 89 79	4. 55 4. 55 4. 55	3.78 4.05 3.59	90 90 90	9.30 9.30 9.30	8. 37 8. 37 8. 37 8. 37	94 98 90 56	4. 20 4. 20 4. 20 4. 20	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$
Wheat bran (100 percent) Other cereals, refined Wild rice Legumes; Nuts:	40 85 78	4. 55	3. 87 3. 55	90 90	9. 30 9. 30 9. 30	8. 37 8. 37	98 94	4. 20 4. 20	4, 12 3, 95
Mature dry beans, cowpeas, peas, other legumes; nuts	78 78 78	4. 45 4. 45 4. 45	$\begin{array}{c} 3.\ 47\\ 3.\ 47\\ 3.\ 47\\ 3.\ 47\end{array}$	90 90 90	9. 30 9. 30 9. 30	8. 37 8. 37 8. 37	97 97 97	4. 20 4. 20 4. 20	4. 07 4. 07 4. 07
Sugars: Cane or beet sugar (sucrose) Glucose						 	98 98	3. 95 3. 75	3. 87 3. 68
Vegetables: Mushrooms Potatoes and starchy roots Other underground crops ⁴ Other vegetables Miscellaneous foods:	70 74 74 65	3. 75 3. 75 3. 75 3. 75 3. 75	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	90 90 90 90	9. 30 9. 30 9. 30 9. 30 9. 30	8. 37 8. 37 8. 37 8. 37 8. 37	85 96 96 85	4. 10 4. 20 4. 00 4. 20	3. 48 4. 03 3. 84 3. 57
Alcohol ⁶ Chocolate, cocoa Vinegar Yeast	42 80	4. 35	1. 83 3. 00	90 90	9. 30 9. 30 9. 30	8. 37 8. 37	32 98 80	4. 16 2. 45 4. 20	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.33\\ 2.40\\ 3.35 \end{array} $

¹ In a few cases values in columns 4, 7, and 10 are slightly different from those shown in table 7, column F, revised, because of different methods of rounding figures. ² The correction, 1.25 calories, has been subtracted from the heat of combustion. This gives values applicable to grams of digested protein and identical with Atwater's factors per gram of available protein.

³ Carbohydrate factor, 3.87 for brain, heart, kidney, liver; 4.11 for tongue and shellfish. ⁴ Vegetables such as beets, carrots, onions, parsnips,

⁶ Coefficient of digestibility, 98 percent; heat of com-bustion, 7.07 calories per gram; factor to apply to ingested alcohol, 6.93 calories per gram.

Physiological Fuel Values of Foods of Animal Origin

For determining physiological fuel values of foods of animal origin, Atwater's factors for the different categories are still being used with only slight changes. His coefficient of digestibility of 97 percent for the protein of meat, fish, eggs, and dairy products has been used without change in this publication (see table 7).

Many items of animal origin contain small amounts of carbohydrate to which Atwater applied the energy factor 3.82 calories per gram. He obtained this using 3.90 calories as heat of combustion and a coefficient of digestibility of 98 percent. Some small revisions in this factor are indicated in view of current information on the form of carbohydrate predominating in the different kinds of foods of animal origin. These revisions, which are very minor, are noted in the following paragraph.

For the carbohydrate of milk and milk products, we have used for the physiological energy factor, 3.87 calories per gram. This is based on the heat of combustion for lactose, 3.95 calories per gram, and Atwater's coefficient of digestibility, 98 percent.

Eggs contain a small amount of carbohydrate, chiefly glucose, bound in a large complex. The energy factor used in this publication, 3.68 calories per gram, was obtained by applying the coefficient of digestibility, 98 percent, to 3.75, the heat of combustion of glucose. Perhaps this figure is too low for simple sugars which require no digestion.

Appreciable amounts of glucose and glycogen have been found in tissue of brains, heart, and glandular organs, the relative amounts varying according to metabolic conditions at the time of slaughter and conditions of storage. For heat of combustion, 3.95 calories per gram, a figure intermediate between the heats of combustion of glucose and glycogen, has therefore been selected, resulting in a physiological energy factor of 3.87 calories per gram.

Analyses have shown glycogen to be the main carbohydrate constituent of tongue and some kinds of shellfish. Hence, to derive an appropriate energy factor, we applied the coefficient of digestibility, 98 percent, to 4.19, the heat of combustion of glycogen, and the resultant factor was 4.11 calories per gram.

For animal fats we have used Atwater's energy factors, 8.79 calories per gram for fats in dairy products and 9.02 for fats from other animal sources.

Physiological Fuel Values of Plant Products

Separated fats of plant origin are important items today but were practically unknown 50 years ago. For them we have used the digestibility coefficient, 95 percent, that Atwater used for butter and other animal fats. For the heat of combustion of fat in plant products, whether or not separated, we have continued the use of Atwater's factor, 9.3 calories per gram.

Margarine as manufactured in the United States of America may be made of either animal or vegetable fats, and a few States have laws requiring a specified high proportion of animal fats. However, as most margarine in this country is made with vegetable oils, the factor 8.84 calories per gram for fat in margarine shown in table 13 was based on heat of combustion of 9.3 calories per gram and a coefficient of digestibility of 95 percent. Margarine of either type and butter contain small amounts of protein and carbohydrate carried over from the milk in which the fats were blended or churned. The calorie factors for protein and carbohydrate of milk were used for those constituents of margarine.

For fat as it occurs in cereals and other plant sources Atwater assumed the apparent digestibility to be 90 percent and we have continued this practice. The energy factor for fat in plant foods is therefore assumed to be 8.37 calories per gram.

The revisions we have made in the Atwater factors for the physiological fuel values of protein and carbohydrate of plant products have resulted mainly from adding data from a comprehensive review of digestibility studies reported since 1875. For a few foods the revisions result from changes in the heat of combustion factors used. More specific energy factors, together with the average coefficients of digestibility and heats of combustion from which they were derived, are presented in The basis for the differences in these table 13. figures as compared with Atwater's factors for food groups is discussed in the remainder of this section. In some instances the values may prove to need further revision as the result of future research, but we believe them to be better approximations for individual foods than either the general, overall factors or the food group factors that were developed in 1899. The basic data on digestibility from which the factors were obtained have been compiled in table form (appendix table 23).

This compilation is not entirely complete for studies reported in foreign languages, but we believe it covers the bulk of early and recent research in which apparent digestibility of the test food was measured. Articles in which apparent digestibility was not reported or could not be calculated for the test food alone were not included. By this criterion, digestion experiments such as those of F. Erismann (52) were excluded. His coefficient of digestibility for protein has been quoted by various authors in early publications as applying to peas, but a translation of the original article shows that the coefficient was applied to bread made of 50 percent pea meal and 50 percent rye flour without adequate basis for calculating the digestibility of the pea meal alone. Included in the compilation are several experiments which contribute useful information although for various reasons they have been excluded from the data used for obtaining average coefficients.

The derivation of the energy factors in table 13 is discussed in the following paragraphs by food groups since foods within a group have certain characteristics and problems in common. Where no mention is made of the derivation of factors, Atwater's data considered most applicable to the particular item or small food group have been used.

Products of wheat

By far the largest proportion of the digestion experiments reported have been concerned with foods of the cereal group. Of the cereal foods wheat has been studied in most detail.

Flours

Digestibility of wheat flour was studied first by Rubner and other European scientists during the latter part of the 19th century. As milling practices and the terms used have changed over the years, we encountered problems in deciding how to combine and group the large volume of data on the digestibility of wheat flours. Wheat flours milled commercially and experimentally have been studied extensively since 1900, particularly in the United States and in Great Britain. For a great many of these flour samples, enough information is available so that the flours can be arranged in three groups according to degree of extraction from the kernel. These three groups were described by United States scientists in the early part of the 20th century as graham, entire wheat, and as straight or standard patent. Not all the terms used then still apply but the data are usable.

Graham was essentially whole-wheat meal, but may have had a very small amount of coarse material removed. The straight and standard patent flour group contained the first and second patent flours and the first clear flour and made up about 70 to 72 percent of the wheat kernel, which is in line with modern-day yields of straight grade flour. Data on the composition of the straight patent flours used in the early experiments when reported also indicated that from the standpoint of proximate constituents the straight patent flours were similar to those produced in recent years. In some instances the standard patent was blended with small amounts of the low-grade flours, second clear and red dog.

More variation existed in the flours included in those of the intermediate extraction. The socalled entire-wheat flour as described by Woods and Merrill (194) included patent, first clear, second clear, red dog, and shorts, indicating that it may have been somewhat more than 85 percent of the kernel. For comparison, the average milling yields from several commercial millings of cleaned wheat reported in 1941 by Sherwood and others (160) have been included here as follows:

N.C.11. 1.C. (1	Percent
Milled fraction:	nield
Patent flour	62 0
First clear flour	. 03.0
Second clear flour	. 1.0
Red dog flour	- 4.0
Germ	. 4.0 0
Shorts	10.2
Bran	. 12.3
Dian	. 9.0

If it could be assumed that the sum total of the fractions comprising the entire-wheat flour of the early experiments was comparable to the fractions reported by Sherwood, theoretically the yield of entire-wheat flour would have been close to 91 percent. Usually the entire-wheat flour was referred to as being of about 85-percent extraction. Probably this was a little low. Woods and Merrill (194) stated that 100 pounds of cleaned No. 1 wheat would make 85 to 88 pounds of entire-wheat flour, that the large mills gave rather larger yields than small mills, and that a starchy wheat yielded 1 to 3 pounds more than a hard wheat. They also stated that the ash content of the entire-wheat flour was about half that of the whole-wheat flour.

Snyder (164, 166, 168) also conducted experiments in that period and used entire-wheat flours, but the indications are that the flours he used were of somewhat longer extraction than those described by Woods and Merrill. The flours of longer extraction were obtained by removal of part of the coarser bran by screening and the inclusion of fine bran, shorts, and germ. The amount of coarse bran removed varied from a small proportion to over half the total amount present. Data he reported showed that the ash content of the entire-wheat samples ranged from 51 to 92 percent of that in the wheats from which they were milled. In view of this kind of information it is likely that entire-wheat flour represented about 90 percent of the cleaned wheat. The latter figure was also arrived at independently by a milling expert in the United States Department of Agriculture who estimated the probable extractions of a number of samples of entire-wheat flour from their ash contents in relation to the ash content of the whole-wheat from which each was milled.

Since such estimates may be more or less in error, and since the information on the milling and composition of the flours suggests that the entire-wheat flour was not always of uniform extraction, we have considered it preferable in this publication to assume that the data applied to flours within the range of 85- to 93-percent extraction. Likewise for flours designated as standard patent we have assumed that the data applied to flours of 70- to 74-percent extraction. With regard to the whole-wheat or graham flours used in the early studies, it has been assumed that flour so designated may have been of from 97- to 100percent extraction, since there was some evidence that a small amount of the outer portion of the kernel may have been removed.

The average coefficients of digestibility of protein and of carbohydrate for wheat flours of these three extraction ranges are based on more than 70 digestibility trials on whole-wheat and near whole wheat flours, more than 50 trials on wheats of intermediate extractions, and over 100 digestibility trials on straight and patent flours. The average coefficients of digestibility are shown in table 14. The variation in digestibility found for the protein of wheat was much greater than that for the carbohydrate, and there was greater variation in the digestibility of longer extraction flours than for refined flours of shorter extraction.

The wheat samples used in the digestibility studies were largely hard wheats, both spring and winter varieties; a few soft wheats were included. Data on proximate composition were available for the whole-wheat flours used in 18 digestibility trials, for the flours of intermediate extraction in 22 trials, and for the straight and patent flours in 28 trials. Average values for the flours of known composition are shown in the first column of table 14. Within each of the three groups there was much variation. The protein content of the whole-wheat samples, for example, ranged from 8.5 to more than 15 percent, the majority containing over 12 percent. At present, data are inadequate to determine to what extent variation observed in digestibility within groups and between groups may have been due to differences in protein and carbohydrate content of the flours.

TABLE 14.—Energy values of wheat flours calculated by use of specific energy factors for protein, fat, and carbohydrate

Type of flour	Composition 1	Coefficient of digestibility	Energy value of available nutrient	Energy fac- tors to be applied to ingested nutrients (col. 3 × col. 4)	Available energy of food using specific factors (col. 2 \times col. 5)
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
Essentially whole wheat ² (97–100 percent extraction): Protein (N × 5.83) Fat Carbohydrate by difference	Percent 12. 6 1. 9 71. 8	Percent 79 4 90 90	Cal./gm. ³ 4. 55 9. 30 4. 20	Cal./gm. 3.59 8.37 3.78	Cal./100 gm. 45. 2 15. 9 271. 4
Total					332. 5
Intermediate extraction (85-93 percent): ² Protein (N × 5.7) Fat Carbohydrate by difference Total	12. 0 1. 8 73. 0	83 4 90 94	³ 4. 55 9. 30 4. 20	3. 78 8. 37 3. 95	45. 4 15. 1 288. 4
Patent ² (70-74 percent extraction): Protein (N × 5.7) Fat Carbohydrate by difference	11. 7 1. 3 74. 5	89 4 90 98	³ 4. 55 9. 30 4. 20	4. 05 8. 37 4. 12	47. 4 10. 9 306. 9
Total					365. 2
					1

¹ Composition data are calculated to a 12-percent moisture basis.

 2 The ash content found for the wheat flours are 1.7 percent for essentially whole wheat, 1.2 percent for wheat of intermediate extraction, and 0.5 percent for patent flour.

The average digestibility of the protein and of the carbohydrate for any one type of flour of known chemical composition showed differences of less than 1 percent from average coefficients of digestibility obtained by using data from all of the samples of that type. The coefficients based on all the samples within the group, therefore, were used for deriving the energy factors shown in table 14. It is unlikely that the heat of combustion value would be the same for the nitroge³ 1.25 calories have been deducted from the heat of combustion of 1 gram of protein to correct for loss of incompletely metabolized products in the urine.

⁴ Assumed coefficient of apparent digestibility for fat in plant products, 90 percent, used because actual data for wheat were unsatisfactory.

nous portion of flours of different extraction rates. The relative proportions of protein and nonprotein nitrogen compounds and the composition of the protein fraction itself are each known to vary. These changes would be expected to affect the heat of combustion and hence the energy factors also. However, in preparing table 14, no attempt was made to adjust the heat of combustion data for differences in the heat values of the protein or fat mixtures in the flours of different extractions. Energy values for flours at each of the three extractions have been worked out from the composition data of the known samples. The results, shown in the last column of table 14, are considered suitable for flours of the extractions and compositions specified.

From time to time calorie values for flours of other extractions are needed. To estimate coefficients of digestibility for protein and carbohydrate in such flours, the digestibility data estimated at the three extraction rates, 100, 90, and 70 percent, for the wheat flours just discussed were used. This was done inasmuch as the few scattered digestion experiments reported on flours of other specified extractions of wheat were so varied in conditions and methods that the results were not usable for this purpose. The relationship between the percent extraction and coefficient of digestibility of wheat can be expressed in the form of the equation $y=a+bx+cx^2$, where x=percent extraction and y = coefficient of digestibility. By the method of least squares the constants for this equation, fitting the three points based on average digestibility data for wheat of 100-, 90-, and 70-percent extraction, were as follows for. protein and carbohydrate:

Fountien constant	Value of	constant
	Protein	Carbohydrate
a b c	0.890 .00233 0000333	0. 700 . 00867 —. 0000667

Digestibility coefficients (y) for other extraction percentages (x) were computed by solving the equation.

From this equation, coefficients of digestibility of protein and carbohydrate were calculated for flours of 95-, 85-, 80-, and 75-percent extraction. The values found are shown in table 15. Additional intervening points may be determined from the equation or read from a curve. For convenience the energy factors to apply to the protein and carbohydrate in wheat flours of the specified extractions are also included in table 15.

Degree of extraction has been accepted here as the most important influence on digestibility of wheat flour. To test this assumption an estimate was made of the extent to which rate of extraction was associated with coefficients of digestibility. The relationship of these two, based on those subjects for whom data were available at each of three extraction rates, was found to be represented by an equation of the form $y=a+bx+cx^2$, where the extraction rate is the independent variable. R^2 , the variance, was 44 percent, showing that almost half of the variation in the coefficient of digestibility was associated with variation in extraction. This indicates that the assumption was warranted since 56 percent of the variation remained to be distributed among such factors as level of protein intake, level and nature of carbohydrate, length of experimental period, the variation characteristic of each subject, and fineness of grind of the flour.

Percent Extraction	Coefficient bili	of digesti-	Specific en	ergy factor
I GIGENT EXTRACTION	Protein	Carbohy- drate	Protein	Carbohy- drate
100 95 85 80 75 70	Percent 79 (81) 83 (85) (86) (86) (88) 89	Percent 90 (92) 94 (96) (97) 1 (98) 98	Cal./gm. 3. 59 3. 69 3. 78 3. 87 3. 91 4. 00 4. 05	Cal./gm. 3. 78 3. 86 3. 95 4. 03 4. 07 4. 10 4. 12

TABLE 15.—Apparent digestibility and physiological fuel value of wheat flours

¹ 97.5 by calculation from equation, page 29.

Since 1945, when the relationship between coefficient of digestibility and degree of extraction were worked out rather hastily for wheat of 100-, 90-, and 70-percent extractions, some additional data have been located in the literature and others have become available as the result of more recent research. The inclusion of these additional values did not warrant any change in the coefficients of digestibility published earlier (55, 118), and we have continued to use them.

Methods of determining energy values used in Great Britain.—McCance and his associates have given special attention to assessing the energy values of cereals (102, 104, 108). They differentiated between available and physiological calories and as both procedures differ from the one used in this country and as certain of the terms have different meanings we include here a brief discussion of their work—particularly as it relates to wheat.

The consideration given carbohydrate has been an important difference between the systems for estimating energy used by English and American scientists. Data on carbohydrate in British tables of food composition are based on "available carbohydrate," which includes sugars, starches, and dextrins—carbohydrates assumed to be fully utilized by the body, but exclude as unavailable, fiber and nonfermentable sugars or pentoses. The analytical determination of available carbohydrate has been discussed by McCance and Lawrence (103).

Available calories as calculated by McCance are the sum of the gross calories in the available carbohydrate fraction of the food, plus the calories from fat after deduction for fecal lipids, plus the calories from protein after deduction for fecal and urinary losses of nitrogenous matter. In principle this procedure is similar to that used in this country but the method for determining available calories from carbohydrate is different; also there are some differences in the actual calorie factors selected for protein and fat.

To illustrate how the calorie factors for the calculation of available calories are obtained, data are presented here from a study of McCance and Walsham (104). Digestion and utilization of calories of two samples of whole-wheat flour, one made from a low-protein English wheat and the other from a high-protein Canadian wheat were determined for adult subjects. The calorie factors for protein were found by applying the coefficients of apparent digestibility of protein found in these digestion experiments to the factor 4.35 calories per gram (5.65 less 1.3 calories per gram for urinary loss) used by Sherman (158) for the heat of combustion of protein in a mixed diet. The apparent digestibility of protein was found from the experiment to be 84.9 percent for the Canadian flour and 74.2 percent for the English flour.

The calorie factor for fat was obtained by applying 58 percent, the coefficient of apparent digestibility found for fat, to 9.45 calories the heat of combustion per gram of fat in a mixed diet (158). From these experimental data the calorie factors to be applied to analytical data on the content of protein and fat to obtain the calories from these nutrients in the two samples of flour were thus found to be 3.65 and 5.5 calories per gram, respectively, for the Canadian and 3.21 and 5.5 calories per gram for the English wheat flours. Calories from carbohydrates were obtained by applying the gross calorie factor for starch, 4.2, to data on content of "available carbohydrate" expressed as starch for each wheat.

Physiological calories as calculated by McCance include only the gross calories from those fractions of the nutrients which definitely may be considered usefully available. Provision is made for excluding urinary calories, a loss resulting from incomplete combustion of protein, but no deduction is made for nitrogenous matter in feces; likewise no deduction is made for fecal fat. The fraction of the carbohydrate measured is considered completely available. It is treated in the same way for determining physiological calories as in the determination of available calories-the fraction of questionable value as a source of energy is excluded and a gross heat value is used with the data on available carbohydrate content. For use in food tables physiological calories are the values McCance considers most suitable (102, 104, 108), because, as he has pointed out (107), it is unusual to make allowance for losses in the feces in presenting data on composition of foods.

Physiological calories based on data from the experiment with the two whole-wheat flours were calculated to be the sum of the calories from carbohydrate obtained in the same way as for available calories above, plus the gross calories from fat $(9.4 \times \text{grams of fat in the wheat sample})$ present in the food, plus the gross calories from

protein present with a deduction for urinary loss $(4.35 \times \text{grams of protein content in the wheat})$.

Data reported by McCance and Walsham from this study for gross, available, and physiological calories for the two samples of whole-wheat flour (15 percent moisture) were as follows:

Type of data	Canadian wheat	English wbeat
Gross calories: Food (bomb) Fecal (bomb)	Calories 372 – 45	Calories 350 — 40
N)	-17	-8
Available calories (from above)	310	302
Available calories calculated by McCance procedure	299	304
Physiological calories calculated by McCance procedure	320	320

Additional calculations of energy values.-Using data from the same study, McCance and Walsham also made two additional energy calculations-one attributed to the Atwater procedure and one in which energy factors for wheat used by Food and Agriculture Organization were applied. We have not included results for these two calculations for two reasons. The application of the general calorie factors for protein, fat, and carbohydrate of a mixed diet to a specific food is not a procedure used by Atwater. Moreover, McCance and Walsham do not report values for ash content and thus it is not possible to determine the total carbohydrate (by difference), a value needed to use the Atwater procedure correctly. When assumed figures for ash suggested by the authors were used, gross calories calculated from composition were observed to differ from bomb determinations by 15 calories per 100 grams in the case of Canadian wheat and 24 calories per 100 grams in the case of English wheat. In view of the close agreement previously reported (p. 6) between calculated values for gross calories and bomb calorimeter determinations, the discrepancies of 4 percent for the Canadian and 7 percent for the English wheat seem too large to warrant the use of these assumed figures for a comparison between the two methods of calculation.

We have not located any reports in the literature in which adequate data are given for evaluating the two methods of assessing available calories in foods—the McCance procedure outlined above and the Atwater procedure as we use it. For a correct appraisal of the two methods by means of digestion experiments, the following data are essential: (1) Chemical composition of the food samples, which should include moisture content, nitrogen compounds, fat, ash, carbohydrate by difference, and available carbohydrate (starch and sugar); (2) bomb calorimeter determinations of the foods and excreta. Such an evaluation, if made for other types of food as well as wheat, would provide very useful information.

Alimentary pastes; other flour mixtures

Macaroni and other alimentary pastes usually are made with semolina or durum flour as the principal ingredient. This type of wheat has characteristics different from wheats used for preparing wheat flours for bakers and homemakers. Digestion experiments were carried out in 1905 by Snyder (168) and at an earlier date by several European scientists to determine the digestibility of macaroni and other kinds of alimentary pastes. Only in the study reported by Snyder was the flour used in making the pastes described in any detail. In this latter study the flour milled from durum wheat represented a somewhat larger portion of the kernel and was more granular in appearance than the patent flour used for bread making purposes. The average coefficients of digestibility found for macaroni, 86 percent for protein and 98 percent for carbohydrate, seemed reasonable in view of other studies indicating that both degree of extraction and coarseness of grind affected the apparent digestibility, particularly of the protein, of flours.

The specific energy factors for protein and carbohydrate calculated by use of these coefficients of digestibility and the heats of combustion of wheat flours were 3.91 and 4.12, respectively. The factors are considered applicable to the various alimentary pastes made from flour and water. Digestibility of those containing eggs or milk might be somewhat different, but as only small amounts of these optional ingredients are present separate factors are not proposed.

The digestibility of some other products in which flour is the main ingredient has been studied also. Deuel published results found for a variety of baked products including yeast breads, baking powder biscuits, cakes, cookies, crackers, and others (48). The coefficient of digestibility of protein ranged from 85 to 94 percent and that for carbohydrate from 97 to 99 percent.

For flour mixtures that vary considerably with respect to ingredients, such as cookies, energy factors were calculated for each product, weighting the energy factors of each ingredient in proportion to the amounts present in the product. This procedure is explained in more detail on page 42.

Bran

Differences in apparent digestibility between whole-grain flours and those of short extraction suggested that bran might have a low coefficient of digestibility. Some investigations have been undertaken to determine the digestibility of bran alone, and while the results were variable, the coefficients were in every case very low. The average apparent digestibility found for the protein of bran was 40 percent based on 14 digestion experiments, and for carbohydrate, 56 percent based on 16 experiments. These coefficients were applied to the heats of combustion of protein (nitrogenous matter) and carbohydrate of wheat, and the energy factors obtained for the two nutrients after customary deduction for urinary nitrogen loss were 1.82 and 2.35.

Wheat breakfast foods

Foods in this group have been studied in some detail in digestion experiments, but as the experimental diets were low in protein the apparent digestibility as determined may have been too low. Hence, rather than use these data in estimating digestibility, we used the coefficients of digestibility of whole-wheat flour (table 13) to obtain tentative estimates for whole-wheat meals and other whole-wheat cereals. Likewise for farina and other breakfast foods made from the endosperm we used the coefficients of digestibility of patent flour. These factors would result in some overestimation of energy values for foods subjected to special processing that reduces utilization of any of the organic nutrients and possibly for meals of a coarser grind than that of wheat flour. For breakfast foods that are mixtures, we derived weighted energy factors if we knew the kind and approximate proportions of ingredients. - i

Serveducts of grain other than wheat

The digestibility and physiological fuel values of corn, oat, rice, and rye products have been studied less than wheat but more than most of the remaining grains.

Although information on the various cereals is not strictly comparable, on the whole it indicates that differences in digestibility may be expected among grains and that neither the fiber content nor the level of protein intake alone appears to be adequate basis to explain differences observed among cereals when fed to human subjects.

Spriggs and Weir (172) found the digestibility of the protein in a mixed diet containing bread made with white flour to be 91 percent, but when only a third of the flour was replaced successively by oatmeal, barley flour, fine sifted corn flour or rice flour the digestibility was 87.6, 81.5, 86.7, and 89.7 percent, respectively. Jones and Waterman (77) observed significant differences in the extent to which proteins may be digested in vitro by pepsin and trypsin. They found that arachin, casein, and cooked phaseolin were 48, 61, and 58 percent digested and suggested that the order in which the amino acids were united to form the proteins might be responsible for the incomplete digestion; some linkages are more resistant than others to the hydrolytic action of digestive enzymes.

Other workers studying the physiological availability of purified proteins have observed wide differences in the proportions of a given amino acid in the feces of experimental animals, depending on the protein fed. These and other studies indicate that among the various kinds of cereals considerable difference in digestibility and physiological fuel values may be expected. Digestibility data from the literature for the various kinds of cereals have been summarized separately. The average coefficients of digestibility and the number of experiments on which each was based are shown in table 16. As more research becomes available these data will no doubt need revision. Data on wheat have been included in the table for ease in comparison.

TABLE 16.—Coefficients	of apparent digestibility for	or
grain	products	

	Pro	tein	Carboh	ydrate
Grain Product	Coeffi- cient of di- gestibility	Experi- ments	Coeffi- cient of di- gestibility	Experi- ments
Cornmeal, whole- ground, bolted Cornmeal, degermed Oatmeal, rolled oats Rice, brown Rice, white or milled Rye flour: Dark Whole-grain Medium Light Wheat flour: Essentially_whole	Percent 60 76 75 84 65 67 71 75	Number 3 21 48 22 119 (¹) (¹) (¹) (¹)	Percent 96 99 98 98 99 90 92 95 97	Number 3 21 24 22 119 (¹) (¹) (¹) (¹)
ion Intermediate, 85-	79	72	90	72
93 percent ex- tion Straight or patent.	83	53	94	53
70 – 74 percent extraction	89	104	98	104

¹ Coefficients of digestibility for rye flours were derived less directly as explained on pages 33 and 34. Therefore, the number of experiments is not indicated.

Cornmeal

The cornneals studied have been of two general types, whole meal, sifted through a 16-mesh sieve which may have removed a small amount of the bran, and degermed commeal which probably had most of the bran, as well as the germ, removed. In addition to the meals, digestibility data for several other corn products have been reported. Although most of these other studies were not used directly they have been included in appendix table 23 and were helpful in that the digestibility coefficients tended to confirm the averages for the two commeals shown in table 16. Data for only three digestion trials were found in which sifted whole-ground cornmeal was used. The average coefficient of digestibility of the meal eaten in the form of cornbread by three subjects was close to 60 percent for protein and 96 percent for carbohydrate. These values are in line with digestibility coefficients for field corn (pressurecooked) and for hulled corn (hominy), which, judging by their fat and fiber content, must have contained the germ and more of the branny portion than degermed corn products. Degermed cornmeal prepared as mush or as cornbread has been used in several studies. Average coefficients based on 21 digestibility trials were found to be 76 percent for protein and 99 percent for carbohydrate. Hence, the energy factors suggested and used here for protein and carbohydrate in whole grain corn products are 2.73 and 4.03 calories per gram, and in degermed corn products, 3.46 and 4.16.

Data on apparent digestibility of frozen raw commeal and toasted corn breakfast products also were found in the literature or could be calculated from the information reported by the authors. They have been recorded in appendix, table 23, for reference but for various reasons were not used in assessing the energy value of corn products.

Oatmeal

Several studies have been made of oat products, mainly oatmeal or rolled oats, which were either cooked and used as a porridge or baked as oat cakes. Also recorded in this appendix table but not used in obtaining average digestibility figures were a few experiments on ready-to-eat cereals.

Based on 48 digestibility trials, the average coefficient of digestibility for protein was found to be 76 percent and that for carbohydrate, based on 24 trials, 98 percent. As was the case with most of the cereals there was more variation in the coefficients of digestibility for protein than for carbohydrate. Using the average coefficients of digestibility, the energy factors for protein and carbohydrate are 3.46 and 4.12 calories per gram, respectively.

In most cereals the quantity of fat present is so small that the use of Atwater's assumed digestibility coefficient of 90 percent for fat in plant foods introduces very little error in the total energy value of the food. Oatmeal, which contains 7 to 8 percent fat on an average, has more fat than most cereals and its digestibility is of more significance. In 28 experiments in which the digestibility of fat in oatmeal has been reported or could be calculated from data given, the results have varied from 56 percent to complete digestibility. These values were largely from two studies, a recent report by McCance and Glaser, 1948 (102), and a much earlier article by Harcourt and Fulmer, 1907 (62). The range in digestibility of fat found by McCance and Glaser was 62.5 to 77.6 percent. In their experiments, six subjects were fed a mixture of two oatmeals, having an average fiber content of 0.9 percent, supplemented with a little bramble jelly or sirup. The daily intake of 34 to 67 grams of fat was supplied entirely by the oatmeal.

A very wide range, 57.6–97.9 percent, in the coefficients of digestibility for fat in oatmeal was calculated from data in 16 experiments published by Harcourt and Fulmer $(\hat{62})$. These investigators tested four oatmeals with fiber contents of 1.94, 1.15, 1.12, and 1.04 percent. Seven subjects participated but not all subjects ate each of the four meals. The daily intake of 58–120 grams of fat was supplied by oatmeal and either milk or cream, with about one-fifth to one-third of the total contributed by oatmeal. For the purpose of calculating the digestibility of the fat in oatmeal from data supplied we assumed the digestibility of the fat of milk and cream to be 95 percent. To the extent that this average figure may not be applicable for these specific experiments there may be some error in our calculated results for oatmeal.

The data from Harcourt and Fulmer suggest that there may be some relation between digestibility and fiber content of oatmeal. When the oatmeals of both 1.94 percent fiber and lower fiber contents were fed to the same subjects under similar experimental conditions, the fat digestibility of the oatmeal of 1.94 percent fiber was lower than that of samples with the much smaller percentages of fiber. Of interest in this connection are results reported by McCance and Glaser (102) who found that the substance or mixture of substances which they estimated as fiber in oatmeal passed through the gut almost without change. The results raise several questions. Is intestinal motility related to the fiber content of oatmeals and if so does this affect the digestibility of fat? Τo what extent do metabolic products account for fat (ether-extractable matter) in the feces? The experimental evidence is inadequate either to interpret the widely divergent coefficients found or to determine which part of the range would be closer to the true value. The Atwater energy factor, 8.37 calories per gram of fat in cereals and other plant foods in general, therefore has been used without change for fat in oat products.

Rice

The most extensive study on digestibility of rice was carried out in a series of experiments by Sugimoto, Higuchi, Momyeda, Tonaka, Yasuda, and others and was published by Saiki in 1926 (178). The subjects, all Japanese men, ate rice as part of a mixed diet. The rice used was of four categories which were described in one of the articles as follows:

(a) Unpolished rice.—Rice from which the husk had been removed, but which still retained the outer layer, or silver skin, and the embryo or germ.

(b) 50% polished rice.—Rice which had been milled and polished but which retained half of the outer layer and germ.

(c) 70% polished rice.—Rice which still retained about 30 percent of the outer layer and germ. (d) Polished rice (white rice).—Rice which had been polished perfectly, so that the germ was almost entirely rubbed off in the milling.

The actual amounts of the rice kernel removed in the polishing differ somewhat with the variety of rice, but about 4 percent of the unpolished rice kernel was removed in making the half-polished rice, nearly 6 percent in making the 70-percent polished rice, and approximately 7 to 8 percent in making the fully polished white rice. According to one article in the series no polishing powder was used. Judging from other information given, the unpolished rice may have been the same as brown rice; the half-polished rice may be considered as an extraction of about 96 percent of the brown rice; the 70-percent polished, as an extraction of about 94 percent; and the polished rice, about a 92-percent extraction. On the basis of paddy or rough rice, which is a more common basis for expressing milling yields, the extraction rates, assuming the loss in removing the hulls to be 21 percent, would be about 79 percent for brown rice, 76 percent for half-polished, 74 percent for 70-percent polished, and 73 percent for polished white rice.

Rubner (144) and also Snyder (167) studied the digestibility of rice when eaten by men subjects as part of very simple diets. Probably the rice used was ordinary white rice but it was not described in either study. The number of experiments in which Europeans and Americans were subjects was too small to permit a good comparison but there appeared to be no marked difference in digestibility of the rice for the Japanese men who very likely were accustomed to eating it as a major item in their diet and for the German and American subjects who probably had it only occasionally.

The average coefficients of digestibility for the unpolished (brown) rice, based entirely on the Japanese studies, were 75 percent for protein and 98 percent for carbohydrate; for half-polished rice the coefficients were 82 and 99 percent, respectively, for the two nutrients, and for 70-percent polished rice, 83 and 99 percent. For white rice the average coefficients of digestibility based on data reported by Saiki, Rubner, and Snyder were 84 percent for protein and 99 percent for carbohydrate.

The energy factors we suggest for the protein and carbohydrate of brown rice are 3.41 and 4.12 calories per gram respectively, and for white rice, 3.82 and 4.16.

Rye

Many of the digestion experiments on rye flours were conducted years ago in Germany. In some studies the diet was simple rye bread or rye bread and beer. Some of the subjects who were unaccustomed to eating large quantities of rye bread experienced pain in the digestive tract and in some cases diarrhea, a factor that might vitiate the digestibility figures. But on the basis of the data reported there was no clear-cut means of
eliminating this factor. In other experiments there was more variety in the diet but on the whole the diets were simple. Results indicate no appreciable differences in digestibility when subjects had rye bread alone or as part of simple mixed diets.

Rye flours have been described as dark, wholegrain meal, medium, and light, and the following data on their composition have been cited as being representative for these products (100, 185):

Constituent	Dark	Whole- grain meal	Medium	Light
Water	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent
Protein	11. 0	11. 0	11. 0	11. 0
Fat	16. 3	12. 1	11. 4	9. 4
Ash	2. 6	1. 7	1. 7	1. 0
Fiber	2. 0	1. 8	1. 1	. 7
Carbohydrate, total by dif-	2. 4	2. 0	1. 0	. 4
ference	68. 1	73. 4	74. 8	77. 9

As there are no standards of identity for these products, much variation may be expected in appearance and composition of samples of a given designation or grade. For example, light rye has been described as ranging from white to mediumlight flour with a comparatively wide range in proximate composition. In these circumstances, it was difficult to determine the type of flour used in the early digestibility studies. However, there is a marked decrease in fiber and ash content with increasing degree of refinement, and the ash content reported for the rye flours studied in the digestion experiments served as a criterion for deciding in which of the above four categoriesdark, whole-grain, medium, or light-to include the data.

Little information is available on digestibility of medium and dark rye flours. However, the relationship of the digestibilities of either protein or carbohydrate observed between the light and whole-grain rye flours was similar to that observed between the straight patent and whole-wheat flours. On the assumption that this similarity in ratios can be extended to include intermediate extractions of rye and wheat flours, digestibility values for other extractions of rye flour were imputed from ratios for wheat flours where data on rye flour were lacking. Ash content was taken as a general index of the degree of extraction of the flour. Thus for rye flours of the composition shown in Agriculture Handbook No. 8 (185) and described as dark, whole-grain, medium, and light we estimated the digestibility of protein as 65, 67, 71, and 75 percent and the corresponding digestibility of the carbohydrate as 90, 92, 95, and 97 percent, respectively. Using these coefficients of digestibility and Atwater's heats of combustion for cereals, the energy factors to apply to dark, whole-meal, medium, and light rye flour are, respectively, 2.96, 3.05, 3.23, and 3.41

calories per gram of protein and 3.78, 3.86, 3.99, and 4.07 per gram of carbohydrate.

Other grains

For grains and grain products not included in table 16, very few digestibility data are available. Among the reports on these products is one by Woods and Snyder (196) which summarizes the results of the digestion experiments on cereal foods at the Connecticut (Storrs), Maine, and Minnesota Agricultural Experiment Stations.

For pearled and flaked barleys, Woods and Snyder applied their estimated coefficients of digestibility of barley products, 78 percent for protein and 94 percent for carbohydrate. These products had undergone some refinement and in chemical composition were much like modern pearled barley (185). The energy factors for pearled barley, calculated from these coefficients by use of the customary heat of combustion of grain products, are 3.55 and 3.95 calories per gram of protein and carbohydrate, respectively.

For buckwheat flour, "farina," and groats, Woods and Snyder estimated digestibility at 78 percent for protein and 94 percent for carbohydrate. Their composition data indicated that the products were refined forms, the fat, fiber, and ash content of 1.2, 0.4, and 0.9 percents for the flour being comparable to composition of modern light buckwheat flour. The above coefficients of digestibility applied to the heats of combustion for protein and carbohydrate in cereal products result in the following energy factors, 3.55 calories per gram of protein and 3.95 calories per gram of carbohydrate.

For dark buckwheat flour, we have found no experimental work on digestibility. The figures in table 13 have been calculated arbitrarily from data for light buckwheat flour, assuming that the ratio of digestibility of dark to light flour would be the same as that between whole-wheat flour and wheat flour of intermediate extraction. Judging by the ash content, the light buckwheat flour may have been comparable in degree of refinement to wheat flour of intermediate extraction and the The dark buckwheat flour to whole-wheat flour. coefficients of digestibility thus assumed for dark buckwheat flour were 74 percent for protein and 90 percent for carbohydrate, and the energy factors were 3.37 and 3.78 calories per gram, respectively.

For wild rice, data are also to be found in the summary by Woods and Snyder. They report the coefficients of digestibility to be 78 percent for protein and 94 percent for carbohydrate, which would result in energy factors of 3.55 and 3.95 calories per gram, respectively.

Sorghums and millets, while little used in this country for human consumption, are important foods in some parts of the world. In sections of the Far East, both grains are used extensively, frequently prepared as a mush or ground into meal and used in bread. Langworthy and Holmes (93) conducted experiments with the dual purpose of comparing the digestibilities of the different kinds of sorghums kaoliang, feterita, kafir, and milo—and the digestibility of sorghums in general with that of other cereals, namely, wheat and corn. The grains were ground in the same mill and put through a 16-mesh sieve. By this treatment 5 percent of the bran was removed from kaoliang, 15 percent from feterita, 19 percent from milo, and 21 percent from kafir.

The differences in the structure of the grains probably account for the different amounts of the ground meals that passed through the 16-mesh sieve, being largest for the softer kaoliang which grinds more readily than the corneous types. The portions of the wheat and corn kernels removed was not stated. Each of the sorghums was used as bread or as mush; the corn and wheat as bread only.

In one of the kafir bread series the remainder of the diet consisted of milk, orange, and sugar. Otherwise, the diets in the bread series had in addition to the bread, applesauce, butter, sugar, and in most cases, potato. In the series containing mush, the diet was similar except that potato was omitted so that essentially all of the protein would be supplied by the test food.

Whether a sorghum meal was served as bread or as mush appeared to have had little influence on its digestibility. The carbohydrate was well utilized in all the sorghums; the average digestibility for the kaoliang was 96 percent and the carbohydrate of the other sorghum meals was as well or better utilized. Using 96 percent and 4.20 calories per gram as the heat of combustion, the energy factor for carbohydrate is 4.03.

The digestibility of the protein was extremely variable but considerably lower than that found for the protein in either wheat meal or cornmeal. Feterita and kafir, both hard corneous types of sorghum, showed similar average protein digestibility, approximately 50 percent. Milo is a somewhat softer type with a larger proportion of starchy endosperm; its average protein digestibility was about 40 percent. Kaoliang, which is very soft and has a high proportion of starchy endosperm, had a very low digestibility, slightly less than 20 percent.

In an experiment conducted by Abe and others (1) with Japanese subjects, when kaoliang was the main food in a mixed diet, the average digestibility of the protein in the total diet was 77 percent and the carbohydrate, 99 percent. No estimate was made for the sorghum alone. For the total diet the averages in the Langworthy and Holmes experiments were lower, only 24 percent for protein in the diets containing kaoliang, and 42 to 64 percent for protein in the other sorghum diets; for carbohydrate, 96 percent in the diets containing kaoliang and a range of 96 to 97 percent in the diets containing the other sorghums. The digestibility was lower in the experiments of Langworthy and Holmes, particularly for the protein.

We question whether the higher coefficients for protein indicated by the experiments on Japanese subjects, possibly accustomed to eating kaoliang, are applicable for measuring digestibility in persons with an entirely different dietary pattern. Furthermore, we need to consider the composition of the other foods used with the sorghums. In many of the experimental diets of Langworthy, fruit, either oranges or applesauce, was a major item.

The data available do not provide a good basis for deriving a satisfactory figure for the apparent digestibility of sorghum protein. The variations observed were extremely wide and the particular extractions used for the Langworthy experiments may not be typical of the sorghum meals ordinarily used for food. A kaoliang meal of 95-percent extraction may not be typical but is not far short of whole meal. If a factor for whole-meal sorghum or nearly whole meal is needed, we suggest as a tentative factor 0.91 calorie per gram of protein. This is based on a digestibility of 20 percent, indicated by the work of Langworthy and Holmes for kaoliang and the usual heat of combustion for protein in cereals. We recognize the possibility that for persons accustomed to eating kaoliang, this factor may be too low and that it may not be equally applicable to sorghums other than kaoliang.

Millet also was studied by Langworthy and Holmes (94). The experimental plan was similar to that used for sorghums. Two millets were studied, common millet, *Setaria italica*, from which 40 percent of the bran portion was removed, and proso millet, *Panicum miliaceum*, from which 29 percent bran was removed by sifting the meals through a 16-mesh sieve. Both were fed as bread in a simple mixed diet of potato, orange, butter, and sugar.

The utilization of carbohydrate in millet was about like that for the sorghums. The average digestibility observed for the carbohydrate of each of the millets was high, 96 percent. The digestibility of protein of both millet meals was variable but was low, averaging approximately 40 percent for each kind. For millets as for the sorghums these samples prepared as described may not be at all comparable to the millet meals actually used. We have not attempted to derive calorie factors for millets but have called attention to this work since anyone needing data on millets may be able to adapt the information to their purpose.

Other grain products include various refined cereal foods such as breakfast foods prepared from a mixture of grains and also starches and flour mixtures. For some a few scattered data are available but the experimental conditions were not always such as to make them suitable for use in obtaining representative coefficients of digestibility. In lieu of satisfactory data, Atwater's group factors for cereals were used for these various products. His factors, 3.87 calories per gram for protein, 8.37 for fat, and 4.12 for carbohydrate, were predominantly weighted by refined cereals and therefore should give a fairly close approximation of the energy value of foods that have undergone considerable refinement.

Legumes

The array of data on digestibility of foods in the dry legume group (see appendix table 23) shows that several studies have been made of the more important items. For beans, peas, and soybeans the digestibility of carbohydrate (determined by difference) was high, averaging 96 to 98 percent; for cowpeas it was lower, about 90 percent. These high coefficients suggest possible utilization of some of the fiber and pentosans. Data are inadequate to explain the disappearance from the gut of much of the complex carbohydrate matter. Results of some investigations indicate that some are split by means of bacterial action into their simpler components and ultimately into end products that may be discarded by the body. To what extent intermediate products are absorbed is an unanswered question.

Both the kinds and amounts of carbohydrates present are of particular interest in comparing digestibilities of various legumes. Some legumes are similar in their content of moisture, protein, fat, ash, and total carbohydrate by difference, but several experiments indicate that the similarity does not hold for individual carbohydrates. Differences in digestibility might be more easily understood had more information been obtained on the makeup of the carbohydrate fraction in the legumes samples used. Data in the literature indicate that the proportion of the total carbohydrate (by difference) in the form of the so-called available carbohydrates, mainly starch, sugar, and dextrin, is less than one-half for cowpeas, twothirds to more than three-fourths for beans (kidney, lima, mung) and chickpeas, 85 to 90 percent for lentils and peas. The total amount of crude fiber plus pentosans varied for the several foods, 12 percent for kidney beans, 10 percent for mung beans, 13 percent for chickpeas, 10 percent for cowpeas, and 7 percent for lentils. The undetermined fraction makes up a relatively large portion of the total carbohydrates in beans and cowpeas but only a small percentage in the other legumes.

For soybeans as much as two-thirds of the total carbohydrate is made up of the carbohydrate fraction usually considered to be of questionable availability. In this fraction a variety of substances has been found in widely varying amounts. These include raffinose, stachyose, pentosans, galactans, arabans, cellulose, lignin, organic acids, phytin, and glycosides. In addition to these some waxes, color principles, tannins, and undetermined hemicelluloses are believed to be present.

Bowers (29) studied the composition of the complex carbohydrate fraction of defatted soybean meal and the digestibility of separate con-

stituents with a healthy man engaged in moder-Theately active laboratory work as the subject. digestion experiment was carried out according to customary procedures. The methods of analyses employed were those used by Street and Bailey (174). Analyses were made of both the soybean meal and the feces resulting from a ration of soybean meal porridge, milk, butter, and cane sugar. Bowers reported the coefficients of digestibility for the carbohydrates of cooked soybean meal as follows: Dextrin and starch, 99 percent; sucrose, 100 percent; raffinose, 100 percent; organic acids, 99 percent; pentosans, 93 percent; galactans, 96 percent; cellulose, 79 percent; and the remaining fraction, presumably waxes, color principles, and possibly undetermined hemicelluloses, 94 percent by difference. By calculation from the separate constituents he arrived at a digestibility coefficient of about 94 percent as compared with 96 percent which he found independently for total carbohydrate by difference.

Entirely different results for digestibility of the carbohydrate of uncooked soybeans were obtained by Adolph and Kao (3) in a series of in vitro experiments in addition to in vivo digestibility experiments with rats. They estimated the availability of soybean carbohydrate to be about 40 percent. This figure has been widely used in assessing soybean carbohydrate (36, 37, 185), but its application to soybeans for human use seems questionable.

In the manufacture of soybean curd and milk, much of the carbohydrate fraction is removed and the carbohydrates that are left appear to be almost completely digested. A digestibility coefficient of 98 percent was found for curd in a Japanese experiment (134).

Although there may be significant differences in digestibility of carbohydrates in different legumes as indicated in the very few studies available, it does not appear wise at the present time to depart from the group factor, 4.07 calories per gram, originally used by Atwater for carbohydrate in legumes.

A large number of studies has provided data on the apparent digestibility of protein in legumes. Although some variation may be observed (see appendix table 23) the data on the whole support the digestibility and energy factors used by Atwater. They are suggested here for use with soybean curd and milk because the data in the literature are too variable to indicate whether or not the factors for either protein or carbohydrate are applicable to these two products.

Nuts

Nuts present problems regarding digestibility and composition similar to those of the legume group. Little has been reported on their digestibility and it is difficult to evaluate the few results that have been published. The most extensive work on this food group was reported by Jaffa (75), who determined the digestibility of diets composed of fruit and nuts in 28 experiments. The kinds of nuts studied were almonds, Brazil nuts, coconuts, pecans, walnuts, and peanuts, a legume which is used like nuts. In 20 of these experiments, in which most of the protein was supplied by nuts, the average digestibility for the dietary protein was about 75 percent. This value is lower than usually is found for mixed diets in which plant foods predominate. Possibly the low apparent digestibility was the result of the large quantities of fruit consumed. Unfortunately the effect of the amount and kind of carbohydrate from the fruit on the digestibility of the protein and fat in the nuts could not be determined from these studies.

The apparent digestibility of the protein of nuts alone estimated from these 20 experiments by use of Atwater's figure of 85 percent digestibility for fruit protein, ranged from 54 to 87 percent, averaging 70 percent for nuts as a group. From the two experiments on peanuts the calculated coefficient of digestibility for protein would be 81.5 percent. These figures may be too low since the calculation is dependent on the digestibility of the whole diet. \overline{Holmes} (70, 72) found a much higher digestibility, 92 percent, for peanut protein. He used a simple mixed diet which included either pressure-cooked peanuts or baking powder biscuits that had been made with peanut The peanuts Jaffa used were not described; flour. presumably they were ordinary roasted peanuts. In diets in which boiled or roasted chestnuts contributed most of the total protein intake Heupke and others (67) found that the digestibility of chestnut protein ranged from 68 to 79 percent.

In view of the variable results for peanuts and for nuts, there is no good basis for estimating digestibility of protein in nuts of different kinds or even as a group. It is preferable to continue to use, as an interim value for nuts, Atwater's group coefficient for protein in legumes, 78 percent. The grouping of nuts with legumes is a common practice and has some basis since there are many points of similarity in the proximate composition of these two food groups.

Very little work on the digestibility of carbohydrate material in nuts has been reported. Only a small fraction of the total carbohydrate intake was furnished by nuts in Jaffa's series of experiments and the digestibility of carbohydrate in nuts has not been estimated. Merrill (120) found that more than 98 percent of the carbohydrate in chestnut flour was digested. Heupke and others (67) found digestibilities ranging from 96.5 to 99.9 percent in several experiments in which chestnuts were fed as raw flakes or cooked by boiling or roasting.

Data reported in the literature on the composition of various nuts indicate that most nuts contain from one-half to two-thirds of their carbohydrate in the form of sugars or starch or both, up to one-third as crude fiber, pentosans, and similar complex carbohydrate constituents, and a like amount as undetermined matter. Almonds appear to have a lower proportion of sugar and starch, averaging around 40 percent, and have about 25 percent in the form of complex carbohydrates that are of questionable availability. The nature of the remaining portion is undetermined. The limited data for peanuts are too variable to estimate the proportions in which the carbohydrate components are distributed.

As readily can be seen, the information on the composition and the digestibility of the carbohydrate fraction of nuts is far from complete. Therefore, it appears best to continue to use the coefficient, 97 percent, assigned by Atwater to carbohydrate in legumes and nuts, and his energy factor, 4.07 calories per gram.

Vegetables

Very few digestibility studies of vegetables had been made when Atwater proposed for all vegetables as a group the factors 3.11 calories per gram for protein and 3.99 calories per gram for carbohydrate. Data accumulated since are still limited but provide some basis for separate factors that may be applied to smaller groups of vegetables.

Potatoes

Potatoes have been studied more than other vegetables; results from 10 investigations have been noted in the literature. In most cases the composition of the samples used in the digestion experiments was not reported.

The average digestibility coefficients found for protein by the 10 investigators were from 64 to 85, averaging 74 percent. These are surprisingly low values and we consider them tentative estimates. In several of the experiments the total protein intake was low. Potatoes contain very little nitrogen, only about 0.3 percent, but several observers have pointed out that subjects have remained in generally good physical condition for long periods of time on diets in which potatoes are practically the sole source of protein.

One such study was reported by Kon and Klein, 1928 (83), who conducted digestion experiments over a period of 167 days. The two subjects, a man aged 25 and a woman aged 28, remained in nitrogen equilibrium and in apparent good health on a very simple diet with the daily intake of nitrogen chiefly from potatoes, averaging only 5.7 grams for the man and 3.8 grams for the woman. The coefficients of digestibility of the potato protein were 66 and 62 percent respectively for the man and woman.

Three subjects in the study by Hindhede, 1913 (69), also were on simple diets in which potatoes contributed nearly all the protein over an extended period of time. The diets were planned to provide the minimum protein intake at calorie levels just sufficient to maintain nitrogen equilibrium. The digestibility of the potato protein ranged from 71 to 86 percent. For 9 months one subject, M, had a daily nitrogen intake from 5.8 to 8.4 grams and maintained a schedule of varying activity, including 3 months of hard labor, without any apparent ill effects—his excellent physical condition at the end of the period was confirmed by four physicians. The apparent digestibility of the potato protein in this case averaged 84 percent.

In a 10-day experiment Rose and Cooper (142)also observed good utilization of potato protein. The subject, a woman, was able to maintain nitrogen equilibrium, after the third day, on a diet of potato, sugar, and agar-agar, which provided a relatively low nitrogen intake, 4.8 grams per day. The apparent digestibility was 74 percent.

The detailed analyses reported on the nitrogenous fractions of potatoes by Street, Kenyon and Watson, 1946 (173), Crook and Watson, 1948 (44), Neuberger and Sanger, 1942 (130), and Headden, 1927 (65), indicated that most of the nitrogenous matter in potatoes would be available. The proportions of the different nitrogenous materials vary greatly from sample to sample, the coagulable fraction (proteins, proteoses, peptones) ranging from 30 to almost 75 percent and averaging around 40 percent, and the amino acid fraction from 30 to 60 percent, averaging about 50 percent. Of the small remaining fraction, 2 to 6 percent has been determined as ammonia and nitrate nitrogen.

Of more practical significance than nitrogenous compounds in estimating energy values for potatoes are data on digestibility and composition of the carbohydrate fractions. Results from six studies reporting digestibility of total carbohydrate ranged from 92 to more than 99 percent, with an average of 96 percent. These values appear reasonable in view of the high proportion of starch, sugar, and dextrin in potatoes. Also

present are lignin, cellulose, pentosans, pectins, and other hemicelluloses, but the complex carbohydrate constitutents of doubtful availability appear to make up only about 1 to 3 percent of the potato. Sugars have been found in varying amounts, from less than 1 to as much as 6 percent. The quantities of dextrin present are small. Starch makes up nearly all of the remaining carbohydrate.

In a recent compilation the following average data were obtained: Carbohydrate by difference, 19.1; starch, 17.1; sugar, 0.3; crude fiber, 0.6; and undetermined, 1.1 percent. This last fraction may contain pentosans, pectins, and other carbohydrate constituents not determined as crude fiber by the Weende method. It has been shown by Remy (139), Williams and Olmsted (187), and Weinstock and Benham (186) that this method fails to measure much of the total fiber.

For potatoes it seems to us best to continue the use of the heats of combustion which Atwater assumed for potatoes and other vegetables (see table 7). As a check on the application of these factors to potatoes, we calculated gross heats from the chemical analyses of three samples and compared the results of our calculation with values determined in the bomb calorimeter for the same samples. Data from protein, fat, and carbohydrate analysis and from the bomb calorimeter were taken from a study of Bryant and Milner (33). Close agreement between the determined and calculated heats of combustion was found as shown in table 17. The energy factors we derived for potatoes were 2.78 calories per gram for protein and 4.03 calories per gram for carbohydrate. They were derived by applying average coefficients of digestibility found in the literature, 74 percent for protein and 96 percent for carbohydrate, to the heats of combustion, 5.00-1.25 and 4.2 calories per gram, respectively.

TABLE 17.—Comparison of	f determined a	and calculated	gross energy	values of	potatoes
-------------------------	----------------	----------------	--------------	-----------	----------

			Comp	osition			Heat of comb gra	ustion per 100 ms
Sample number	Water	Protein	Fat	Carbohydrate by difference	Fiber	Ash	Bomb calo- rimeter	Calculated ¹ from com- position
1 2 3	Percent 79. 5 78. 3 81. 2	Percent 2. 2 2. 3 1. 9	Percent 0. 1 . 1 . 3	Percent 17. 4 18. 4 15. 5	Percent 0. 4	Percent 0. 8 . 9 1. 1	Calories 84. 8 90. 0 78. 2	Calories 85. 0 89. 7 77. 4

¹ For heat of combustion factors used see table 13, page 25.

Other vegetables

Because published data are lacking on the digestibility of many vegetables, we have applied group factors—the energy factors for dried legumes to immature shelled beans, peas, and other legumes, those for fruit to rhubarb and tomatoes, and those for potatoes to starchy roots and tubers. For other underground vegetables such as beets, carrots, onions, parsnips, and radishes we have applied the energy factor for protein and the coefficient of digestibility for the carbohydrate in potatoes, but have made an adjustment in the heat of combustion factor generally used for vegetable carbohydrate to correct for the relatively large proportion of sugar. We assumed that from two-thirds to three-fourths of the carbohydrate in most of these underground vegetables is present as sugar, and one-third to onefourth as starch and fiber. On this basis the weighted heat of combustion would be 4.00 calories per gram and the energy factor calculated for carbohydrate, 3.84 calories per gram.

Coefficients of digestibility for protein and carbohydrate in the few vegetables for which data have been reported vary widely. We rounded the median figure for digestibility of protein, based on 14 experiments, to 65 and have used it rather than Atwater's figure of 83 percent. The carbohydrate fractions are an important source of energy in some vegetables. We have used a coefficient of 85 percent, the median value for 13 experiments on a variety of vegetables, in place of Atwater's figure of 95 percent. For the remaining vegetables except mushrooms we have calculated the energy factors by applying digestibilities of 65 percent for protein and 85 percent of carbohydrate to the heats of combustion used by Atwater for vegetables. The energy factors obtained in this way are 2.44 calories per gram for protein and 3.57 calories per gram for carbohydrate.

Mushrooms

Reports in the literature cover various aspects of the composition of the nitrogenous matter of mushrooms, but as yet there is no complete picture of the quantitative distribution of the various constitutents. From 63 to 72 percent of the total nitrogen has been termed "protein nitrogen" (53, 123, 175). Other known constituents are free amino acids, amides, purines, and ammonia. In some instances appreciable amounts of urea have been determined. Iwanoff (73) reported that amino acids are formed autolytically during the ripening period before spore formation and are in turn changed into urea. He found that urea was several times as high at the ripened stage as in the young immature mushrooms, and that in some samples the urea nitrogen approximated half of the total nitrogen. Mendel (116) suggested that some of the nitrogen in mushrooms is bound with cellulose and that all attempts to separate the nitrogenous constituent from the portion that yields sugar on hydrolysis had failed.

Thus it is apparent that use of the conventional factor 6.25 to convert nitrogen to protein introduces an error in the value for the nitrogenous matter, but at present there are insufficient data to provide a better factor. Hence, we have continued to use the factor 6.25 in calculating total nitrogenous material in mushrooms, although we realize that the error involved may be of some significance.

Urea, as well as some of the other nitrogencontaining substances, has a lower heat of combustion than protein, but since we could make no accurate estimate for these substances we have used the heat of combustion of vegetables, 5.00 calories per gram, for nitrogenous matter in mushrooms.

Very little work has been noted on the digestibility of the nitrogenous matter of mushrooms. Saltet (152), in a 2-day study of a 31-year-old man, found that his subject digested 69 percent of the nitrogenous matter when mushrooms combined with a little butter and seasonings were fed. A similar result, 72 percent digestibility, was obtained by Skinner, Peterson, and Steenbock (161) when mushrooms were fed to albino rats.

A digestibility coefficient of about 70 percent seems a reasonable estimate and following the usual procedure of applying it to the heat of combustion, 5.00 calories less 1.25, we derive an energy factor of 2.62 calories per gram of nitrogenous matter.

The carbohydrate fraction of mushrooms also includes a variety of components, not all of which have been determined quantitatively.

One of the most complete analyses of carbohydrates in mushrooms reported to date was made by McConnell and Esselen (109), but 51.8 percent of the total carbohydrate was still unidentified. The data from this study expressed as percent of fresh mushrooms and as percent of total carbohydrate follow:

Carbohydrates in mushrooms (Agaricus campestris)	Content on fresh basis	Proportion of total carbo- hydrate
Total carbohydrate (by difference)	Percent	Percent
Mannitol	5. 75	100. 0
Reducing sugars (as dextrose)	. 95	16. 5
Pentoses, methyl pentoses, hex-	. 28	4. 9
uronic acids	. 04	. 7
Glycogen	. 59	10. 3
Crude hemicellulose	. 91	15. 8

Other carbohydrate constituents that have been reported as occurring in mushrooms include cellulose, lignin, trehalose, indican, and amino-hexose. The published data indicate that not only is the total carbohydrate fraction complex but also that some of the components vary in amounts, possibly depending on variety and other factors such as drying and storage.

There is no very reliable information from which an estimate of the digestibility of the carbohydrates in mushrooms can be obtained. Only one digestion experiment, reported by Oshima (134), has been noted in the literature. The subject, a Japanese army surgeon, was on an experimental diet consisting of 74 grams of dried mushrooms (Agaricus sitake) and 40 grams of soy sauce for 1 day. According to the author a satisfactory separation of the feces was obtained by the use of buckwheat flour. The digestibility found for the carbohydrate was 84 percent

For calculating the energy value of the carbohydrate in mushrooms, Watt and Merrill (185) used a factor of 1.35 on the assumption that only mannitol, reducing sugars, and glycogen, which account for approximately 33 percent of the total carbohydrate, were available for absorption. The data from the one digestibility study which has since been located suggests that such a procedure may underestimate appreciably the energy value. Until additional digestibility data on mushrooms are available, it therefore seems preferable to use a digestibility coefficient of 85 percent as for most vegetables and to apply it to a heat of combustion value which corrects for the presence of appreciable amounts of sugars. Using the composition data of McConnell and Esselen and assigning heat of combustion values of 3.75 to mannitol, reducing sugars as dextrose, and pentoses, 4.19 to glycogen, and 4.20 to the remaining fraction, the resulting heat of combustion value for total carbohydrate becomes 4.1 calories per gram. By applying the coefficient of digestibility, 85 percent, to this value the energy factor for carbohydrate in mushrooms is 3.48 calories per gram.

Fruits

The energy from fruits comes largely from carbohydrate. The energy factor, 3.60 calories per gram, was applied by Atwater to carbohydrate, based on the heat of combustion figure of 4.00 calories per gram and digestibility of 90 percent. In arriving at this heat of combustion value he took into consideration that the carbohydrates of fruits are a mixture of sugars, mainly levulose and dextrose, but that starch, cellulose, pentosans, and other complex carbohydrates are also present.

We consider 3.60 a reasonable group factor and have applied it to most individual fruits, but with full recognition of the possible inaccuracies involved. For example, the coefficient of digestibility 90 percent is probably too low for fruit juices and for sweetened canned or cooked fruit.

The group factor for heat of combustion of carbohydrate in fruits will not apply equally well to individual fruits. A compilation of the proximate composition of fresh fruits (38) showed considerable variation among fruits in the proportions of sugar, starch, acid, and crude fiber present. There is need for revision and extension of this compilation to include data available since its publication, particularly with respect to the carbohydrate constituents, before further estimates for heats of combustion of carbohydrate in individual fruits are made.

Attention is called to lemons in particular, since they have considerable citric acid with a heat of combustion of only 2.47 calories per gram. As the value 4.00 would be too high for the heat of combustion for total carbohydrate (by difference) in lemons and lemon juice, we have used 2.75 derived by the following calculations:

Constituent	Grams per 100 grams	Calories per gram	Total calories
Invert sugar Citric acid (anhydrous)	$ \begin{array}{c} 1.5 \\ 6.0 \end{array} $	3.75 2.47	$5.6 \\ 14.8$
Fiber and unknown constit- uents	. 2	4. 2	. 8
Total carbohydrate (by difference)	7. 7		21. 2
1 gram carbohydrate $=2$.75 calorie	es (21.2 \div	7.7).

Since the fiber fraction of lemon juice is very low and since both invert sugar and citric acid may be completely utilized, we took the figure recommended by Atwater for sugar, 98 percent, as a reasonable value for apparent digestibility. The resultant energy factor was 2.70 calories per gram of carbohydrate. Since limes are similar to lemons in carbohydrate constituents we have applied the same energy factor for carbohydrate.

[•]For lack of better data for other fruits we have continued to use the carbohydrate factor, 3.60 calories per gram, derived by Atwater. Likewise, his factors for protein and fat in all fruits have been used.

Miscellaneous foods

Many specific foods have not been studied in human digestion experiments, as can be seen from the compilation on digestibility coefficients (appendix table 23). In many cases when digestibility data on individual foods were lacking, we have used a general value for a group of foods for each food in that group. In other instances when a food has undergone some treatment to change its form, the energy factor of the food in its original form has been applied to the product or products. These procedures no doubt result in some errors.

Where the above procedures were not applicable and when the methods of estimating the energy factors differed in some respects from the general procedure usually followed, these deviations will be explained in turn for the several miscellaneous foods.

Chocolate and cocoa

Chocolate and cocoa present a variety of problems in regard to both chemical composition and digestibility. Determinations of various nitrogen-containing compounds have been made in a few studies. It appears that from 12 to 23 percent of the total nitrogen present is in the form of alkaloids, mainly theobromine and caffeine, and 1 to 9 percent as ammonia, and that the remainder, although not clearly identified, may be in the form of protein or protein derivatives.

Data reported by Stutzer (177) are the most complete of the analyses located and seem to be representative values when compared with several less complete analyses made by other investigators. Stutzer analyzed several kinds of cocoa. For one product which had not been treated with alkaline chemicals (potash, soda, or ammonia) he found that 16.6 percent of the total nitrogen was from alkaloids (mainly theobromine), 1.4 percent from ammonia, 6.3 percent from amides, and 75.8 percent from other nitrogenous matter.

Using these data, we have calculated the gross energy per gram of total nitrogen in cocoa as follows:

Compound	Nitro- gen per gram	Conver- sion factor	Amount of com- pound	Heat of combus- tion	Gross energy equiva- lent
Protein Alkaloids as theo- bromine Ammonia Amides as asparagin	Gm. 0. 758 . 166 . 014 . 063	$\begin{array}{c} 6. \ 25 \\ 3. \ 22 \\ 1. \ 22 \\ 5. \ 35 \end{array}$	Gm. 4. 74 . 53 . 02 . 34	Cal./gm. 5. 80 5. 22 3. 45	$ \begin{array}{c} Cal. \\ 27. 5 \\ 2. 8 \\ \hline 1. 2 \\ \hline \end{array} $
Gross energ matter =	1. 001 y equiv 5.60 ca	valent lories.	of 1 gr	- n. nitro	31. 5 ogenous

Digestibility of the nitrogenous portion of cocoa was studied by some of the early German scientists. In these studies there was no attempt to distinguish between the protein and inonprotein fractions of the nitrogenous matter. In some of the studies cocoa supplied all of the nitrogen, and in some the diet included other protein foods in addition to the cocoa. Experiments conducted by Weigmann and by Lebbin, reported through Konig (84, pp. 244-245), showed the following results: For three kinds of cocoa fed in amounts of 188-304 grams per day along with sugar and water, Lebbin found protein digestibility coefficients of 41.1, 45.2, and 41.6 percent; for a diet of cocoa and beer or wine, Weigmann found a digestibility of 41.5 percent after correction for metabolic nitrogen. The apparent digestibility was calculated to be 12.7 percent. For two kinds of cocoa, Neumann (131) reported that Beddies found digestion coefficients of 55.3 and 54.1 percent. In these latter experiments, 150 grams of cocoa were consumed daily but no information was given on the remainder of the diet.

Several studies have been reported in which cocoa was eaten in combination with other proteincontaining foods. There is some indication that digestibility of the cocoa may be affected by the level of cocoa and its proportion of total dietary nitrogen, the combination of foods used with the cocoa and possibly its preparation—whether raw or cooked. Forster (56) found for a diet of milk alone that the protein digestibility was 93 percent as compared with 93.2 and 92.4 percent for diets of milk and cocoa, with the latter taken in amounts of 20 grams (2 to 3 cups of beverage) and 60 grams (8 cups), respectively. Schlesinger (153) found a digestibility of about 86.5 percent for protein in a mixed diet consisting of milk, meat, refined cereal, and fat, whereas when 60 grams of cocoa were eaten in addition the digestibility of the protein of the total diet was slightly lowered and was about 84 percent. Beddies, as reported by Neumann (131), also found the digestibility of protein to be about 84 percent for a mixed diet which included 50 grams of cocoa. Cohn (41) observed a lower digestibility coefficient, 75.5 percent. His diet differed from those of Schlesinger and Beddies mainly in that larger amounts of cocoa, 100 to 130 grams, were used and milk was not included.

Neumann has reported two studies on cocoa (131, 132). In one series of investigations he determined digestibility of diets made up of sausage, brie cheese, rye bread, lard, and sugar, in which cocoa replaced equivalent amounts of protein and fat of the diet. The digestibility of the protein of the diet without cocoa averaged 82 percent. When 35 grams of cocoa were included in the diet, the digestibility dropped to 75 percent, decreasing still further to 57 percent when the daily intake of cocoa was increased to 100 grams. In the second series, Neumann found very low digestibility of the cocoa protein, namely, 45 and 25 percent in two experiments in which 35 grams of cocoa were eaten with 350 grams of sugar as the only other food in the diet. Inasmuch as he corrected for the nitrogen in the digestive juices in getting his digestibility of cocoa, the apparent digestibility would be still lower. These results indicate that the digestibility of the nitrogen portion of cocoa is considerably lower than that of the other foods in the mixed diets studied. Unfortunately the digestibility of cocoa cannot be calculated in the several studies on mixed diets and there is no means of determining whether the utilization of cocoa as a flavoring ingredient in the diet as it is normally consumed is better than when it is used alone or with sugar only.

On the basis of studies in which cocoa was the chief source of nitrogen, we have used a digestibility of 42 percent, although this may be too low for ordinary application. When the 42 percent figure is applied to 5.6, the heat of combustion derived as shown above, less 1.25, an energy factor of 1.83 calories per gram of nitrogencontaining material results. Information on the utilization of the nonprotein nitrogen is needed before a more accurate factor can be developed.

The carbohydrates of chocolate and cocoa present problems similar to those for the nitrogenous matter. Some unpublished data ⁴ for chocolate liquor show the following complex composition: 28.4 percent total carbohydrate by difference; 8.0 percent starch; 2.8 percent fiber; 3.5 percent pentosans; 2 to 3 percent gums and hemicellulose;

⁴ Winkler, W. O. Unpublished data. Food and Drug Administration, U. S. Department of Health, Education. and Welfare [n. d.].

9.5 percent products such as tannins and cocoa red; 0.5 percent sugars, mainly glucose; 0.6 percent organic acids; and the remainder, undetermined matter. These data were used in estimating the heat of combustion for the total carbohydrate (by difference). The value obtained was 4.16 calories per gram, using as heats of combustion 3.75 calories per gram for sugar, 2.45 for organic acid, and 4.20 for the remaining constituents and weighting them according to the percentage composition above.

Digestibility data for the carbohydrate of cocoa are even less conclusive than those reported for protein. In the few experiments located in which cocoa was fed in mixed diets, the digestibility of carbohydrate could not be calculated for the total diet or for the cocoa. The experiments of Lebbin on diets of cocoa and sugar reported by Konig (84) indicated that probably less than a third of the total carbohydrate of cocoa is available to the body. Here, as in the case of protein, this estimate may be lower than actually found when cocoa is used in a mixed diet. As a tentative coefficient, until satisfactory data can be obtained on the digestibility of cocoa carbohydrate, we are using 32 percent. This was indicated both by the work of Lebbin and by the carbohydrate composition data above for chocolate liquor, assuming the starch, sugar, and organic acids to be almost completely digested and the remaining constituents to be undigested. The energy factor calculated from this coefficient and the heat of combustion factor 4.16 is 1.33 calories per gram.

Yeast

The utilization of yeast "protein" has been a matter of great interest. A number of studies have been reported on the digestibility of the nitrogenous matter in yeast, but in only a few of these were human subjects used. Kuen and Puringer (87) compared its digestibility in dried and fresh compressed yeasts, presumably baker's yeast, fed in a mixed diet that furnished 10 to 11 grams of nitrogen and 2,460 to 2,840 calories daily. The estimated digestibility was 90 percent for the nitrogenous matter of dried yeast but only 53 percent for the fresh compressed yeast.

Dirr (50) reported experiments in which either dried yeast or animal sources of protein were fed in alternate periods of 7 days each. The daily nitrogen intake in each case was 10.4 grams from the test food with additional 3.4 to 5.6 grams from plant foods. The calorie intake for the four subjects ranged from about 2,000 to 2,800. The digestibility of the nitrogen of the total diet averaged 87.5 percent in the period in which nitrogen was supplied largely from animal sources, and 83.4 percent in the period in which yeast predominated in the diet. These results indicate that the nitrogenous matter of the yeast was almost as completely absorbed as animal protein. Dirr and Soden (51) referred to the yeast as wood sugar dried yeast and estimated from analyses that 67 percent of the total N was amino N and 7.5 to 16 percent was ammonia N.

Funk, Lyle, and McCaskey (59) reported experiments in which a dried anaerobic yeast preparation was eaten in a diet consisting largely of vegetables and fruits. The daily nitrogen intake, mainly from yeast, was 5.9 grams and the digestibility of the nitrogenous matter was estimated to range from about 60 to 80 percent, averaging about 70 percent. Results of Murlin and others (125) indicated that the apparent digestibility of nitrogen in brewer's yeast was about 57 percent. The daily nitrogen intakes were very low, averaging 3.7 grams daily. The data indicate that the average apparent

The data indicate that the average apparent digestibility of the nitrogenous matter of dried yeast is probably in the range of 70 to 90 percent when the level of intake is fairly adequate. In deriving an energy factor, we have estimated the coefficient of digestibility as 80 percent.

According to an analysis of yeast reported by Frey (58) the nitrogenous matter is composed of 60 percent monoamino acids, 20 percent diamino acids, 12 percent purines and pyrimidine bases, and 8 percent ammonia. These data indicate that the heat of combustion is lower than if the nitrogenous matter of yeast were all protein. Therefore, for yeast protein we used the heat of combustion 5.00 calories per gram that we applied to vegetables. The digestibility coefficient, 80 percent, applied to 3.75 (5.00 less 1.25) results in an energy factor of 3.00 calories per gram.

Very little research has been noted on the composition of the different specific carbohydrate constituents in yeast and none at all on their digestibility. Frey (58) has reported that 81.5 percent of the total carbohydrate is glycogen and 18.5 percent, such substances as cellulose and gums. On the basis of these data we used 80 percent as a tentative coefficient of digestibility on the assumption that the glycogen is digestible and the other carbohydrates may not be. This coefficient applied to the heat of combustion 4.20 calories per gram, assumed for total carbohydrate (by difference), resulted in the energy factor 3.35 calories per gram.

Food mixtures

To keep pace with marketing practices as well as buying habits, successive editions of food composition tables contain a growing proportion of items that are food mixtures. Included are a wide assortment of baked goods, meat and cereal mixtures, salad dressings, and others that are combinations of ingredients. Because the many food mixtures vary so much in the kinds and proportions of ingredients used, information on their digestibility from experiments can scarcely be expected. If the weights of ingredients are known, calorie factors per unit weight of total protein, fat, and carbohydrate in the finished product may be calculated. For products in which the proportions of ingredients are fairly standard, the calorie factors once worked out may be applied directly to data on the amounts of protein, fat, and carbohydrate in the product.

To calculate the calories for any given weight of an item from its recipe, the weight of the finished product must be known in addition to the weights of the ingredients. Calculations indicating the derivation of energy factors and of calories per 100 grams of baking powder biscuits made with skim milk, item 98 in Agriculture Handbook No. 8 (185), are shown in the sample calculation below.

Sample calculation of energy factors for food mixtures (baking powder biscuits)

			Protein			Fat		Carbohy	drate (by di	fference)
Kind of data	Weight	Weight	Specific energy factor	Energy value	Weight	Specific energy factor	Energy value	Weight	Specific energy factor	Energy value
Ingredients: Wheat flour, patent. Fat Milk, skim Baking powder Salt	$Gm. \ 336 \ 55 \ 244 \ 16 \ 2$	Gm. 36.3 8.5	Cal./gm. 4. 05 4. 27	$\begin{array}{c} Cal. \\ 147. \ 02 \\ \hline 36. \ 20 \\ \hline \end{array}$	Gm. 3. 0 55. 0 . 2	Cal./gm. 8. 37 8. 84 8. 79	Cal. 25. 11 486. 20 1. 76	Gm. 255. 0 12. 4	Cal./gm. 4. 12 3. 87	Cal. 1, 050. 60 47. 99
Total	653	44. 8		183. 32	58. 2		513. 07	267. 4		1, 098. 59
Weighted facto (per gram) Baked yield: Total 100-gram portion	549 100	44. 8 8. 2	4. 09 4. 09	33. 54	58. 2 10. 6	8. 82 8. 82	93. 49	267. 4 52. 2	4. 11 	214. 54

The factors 4.09, 8.82, and 4.11 calories per gram were applied to the protein, fat, and carbohydrate values of the baked biscuits, with the resultant calorie value 342 calories per 100 grams. Similar calculations were made for the other units of weight given for this item in tables 2 and 3 of Handbook No. 8.

PART IV. APPLICATION OF CALORIE FACTORS

The physiological fuel value of a food resulting from applying the factors summarized in table $1\overline{3}$ to the amounts of protein, fat, and carbohydrate present is considered to be a measure of its available energy. Attention is again called to the interpretation of this term to connote that portion available to the body as a source of energy. The difference between total or gross calories of a food and available calories is the caloric value of the organic matter in the urine and feces. Whether this fecal organic matter is entirely of metabolic origin plus bacterial residues and desquamated tissue, or whether it usually or only under some circumstances includes undigested protein, fat, or carbohydrate residues also, is a question of very great importance in dealing with such problems as determining man's use of various foods as sources of specific nutrients. When this question is resolved the information should be helpful also in devising methods for estimating energy values of specific nutrients in food when fed in various combinations and at different levels; present methods are actually not completely satisfactory for estimating available energy from the different nutrients. As a result of changes in method, however, no big changes in actual total available calorie values for the foods are anticipated.

In using data on apparent digestibility for developing the energy factors shown in table 13, the assumption is made that the amount and character of the fecal matter (protein, fat, and carbohydrate) present is dependent on the food; a low apparent digestibility could result from greater excretion of metabolic products caused by that food, from incomplete digestion, or from a combination of several causes. Whatever the contributing factors are, the assumption is that the apparent digestibilities of the energy-yielding components of that food would not vary widely for a subject on a reasonably adequate intake of the nutrient. If the total intake of a nutrient in a diet is very low, the relative proportion in the feces is too high for satisfactory measurement by this procedure. More information is needed on the effect of level of the foods on utilization of Most studies in the literature at nutrients. present are on rather extreme diets, for example, either very high or rather low levels of protein, and moderately high and very high levels of the test food. Data are needed also for intermediate levels, those which are more realistic in terms of common food practices.

Comparison of calculated and determined available calories for diets

The end results obtained by use of the current factors (table 13) for estimating available energy of diets have been compared with results obtained by direct bomb calorimeter determinations. An experiment conducted by Snyder (164) with a subject on a very simple diet of whole-wheat bread and milk serves to illustrate the details of calculation (table 18). Snyder's protein figures based on the factor 6.25 for converting nitrogen to protein were recomputed with the factors 5.83 and 6.38 for the bread and milk, respectively; the necessary adjustments were made in the figures

for carbohydrate by difference. The gross calorie values for food and feces were from bomb calorimeter determinations and were found to be 4,143for the food and 418 for the feces. For comparison we also calculated the gross calories of the food. The heats of combustion, 5.80, 9.30 and 4.20 calories, were applied to the protein, fat, and carbohydrate (by difference), respectively, of whole-wheat bread; and 5.65, 9.25, and 3.95 to these nutrients in the milk. The calculated gross calories for bread (2,407) were a little higher than the determined (2,353), and the calculated gross calories for milk (1,737), a little lower than the determined (1,790), but for the total diet the calculated gross calories were in excellent agreement with the determined.

TABLE 18.—Summary of steps for checking available energy values calculated by factors from table 13

						Availab	le energy	Deviation of calculated
Type of data	Weight of material	Protein	Fat	Carbo- bydrate	Gross energy	Determined by bomb	Calculated by us of factors 1	available energy from determined value
Food consumed in 2-day period: Bread made from whole- wheat flour Milk Total	Grams 1, 020. 8 2, 500. 0	Grams 73. 9 75. 2 149. 1	Grams 12. 9 87. 5	Grams 442. 4 127. 2 569. 6	Calories 2, 353 1, 790 4, 143	Calories	Calories 2, 045. 5 1, 582. 5 3, 628	Percent + 1. 9
Excreta: Feces (water-free) Urine	97. 0	17. 6	10. 2	52. 1	418 3 164			
Total					582			

¹ Energy factors from table 13 applied to the protein, fat, and carbohydrate: 3.59, 8.37, and 3.78, respectively, for bread and 4.27, 8.79, and 3.87 for milk.

² Gross energy of food minus total energy of excreta (4,143-582).

The urinary calories were 181 when determined by bomb, but 164 when estimated according to Atwater's procedure by multiplying the grams of digested protein 131.5 by 1.25 calories. The wide range in the calorie to nitrogen ratio of the urine (p. 16) indicates that there is less satisfactory agreement between the usual calculation of urinary energy loss and direct determinations. In fact, with the difficulties of drying and burning urine, it may be that much of the discrepancy is in the bomb determination. We have considered it advisable when estimating available energy data to use the calorie-nitrogen factor based on a large number of samples rather than a bomb determination of the particular sample of urine.

The calorie-N ratio for this individual was 6.9, which is lower than the average but within the range found for a large number of studies, table 8, p. 12. This individual was also in negative balance, excreting 26.4 grams of nitrogen in the 2-day period during which he absorbed only 21.8 grams. However, the errors involved are insignificant when considered in terms of total available energy. 3 7.9 x difference between amount nitrogen in food and in feces.

NOTE.—Data used in this procedure taken from experiment No. 171, Studies of Bread and Breadmaking (164).

The available energy of the diet determined from gross energy values of food, feces, and urine was 3,561 calories. When the average wheat and milk energy factors for protein, fat, and carbohydrate shown in table 13 were applied to the nutrient intake in this experiment, the figure obtained for available calories was 3,628, differing from the determined figure by 1.9 percent. As pointed out earlier, the factor for fat in whole wheat may be too high since it is based on digestibility of 90 percent. If the average digestibility is nearer two-thirds, as indicated in a number of experiments, the energy factor would be approximately 5.95; the figure for available calories, 3,597, would then be in even better agreement with the determined value, differing by only 1.0 percent.

We have checked the results obtained by applying factors from table 13 to data in 108 digestion experiments which provided information on composition of the foods in the diet and data on bomb calorimeter determinations of the food and feces. Although the experimental data needed for using every factor in table 13 were not provided by these experiments, most of the factors could be tested in this way. The available energy calculated by applying the appropriate energy factors to the composition data for the various foods was in excellent agreement with the comparable values for the diets obtained by direct determinations of foods and feces and calculated urinary calories. The differences between the determined and calculated values, not taking direction into account, ranged from 0 to 5 percent and averaged 2 percent. The calculated values were in some cases higher and in some lower than the determined values. These positive and negative deviations were noted even in experiments in which the same type of diet and the same subject were used and suggest that the differences may be in part the result of experimental error.

The digestion experiments that were used to make this comparison include many types of diets: Ordinary mixed diets with foods of animal and plant origin; mixed diets containing large amounts of legumes; diets of fruits and nuts; very simple diets such as combinations of meat and bread, eggs, milk, and bread. whole-wheat bread and milk, bread made of lower extractions of wheat flour and milk, oatmeal and milk, or crackers and milk; other simple diets containing large amounts of rice, dry peas, vegetables, or fruit; and a few diets of single foods. The proportions of protein, fat, and carbohydrate in the food intake as well as the level of protein intake varied widely, the latter ranging from 14 to 184 grams daily. We have grouped some of the diets and summarized the differences we found between determined and calculated values as follows:

In 14 diets of fruits and nuts the absolute deviation (that is, not taking signs into account) ranged from 0 to 5 percent, averaging 2 percent.

In 16 diets containing large quantities of dry beans, peas, or cowpeas the absolute deviation ranged from 1 to 5 percent, averaging 2 percent.

In 7 diets containing a large proportion of rice or oatmeal the absolute values deviated by only 1 percent in all cases.

In 6 diets of whole-wheat bread and milk the absolute deviations ranged from 2 to 4 percent, averaging 2.5 percent.

In 11 diets containing a large proportion of cabbage, potatoes, beets, green corn, or applesauce, the absolute deviations ranged from 0 to 3 percent, averaging 1 percent.

In 6 ordinary mixed diets the absolute deviations ranged from 0 to 3 percent, averaging 1.5 percent.

In 36 simple diets in which lower extractions of wheat flours were fed as bread or crackers the absolute deviations ranged from 0 to 5 percent, averaging 2.5 percent.

Several general observations appear reasonable in view of these data:

1. That the energy factors in table 13 give an accurate estimation of the svailable energy

when applied in various diets containing foods of both animal and plant sources either as mixed diets or simple diets of two or more foods.

2. That the factors are equally suitable when applied to foods in diets in which various plant foods are predominant, as in fruit and nut diets, diets in which large amounts of beans or peas are eaten, and diets in which large proportions of the calories are supplied by rice, wheat, or vegetables.

3. That the factors applied to the several diets of single foods give results in good agreement with the determined values for available energy. This indicates that the factors are applicable to foods used alone in the diet, but further confirmation with additional data is needed.

4. That the level of protein fed apparently does not affect the extent of agreement obtained in estimating available energy by use of the factors. This was indicated particularly in the group of experiments in which fruit and nuts made up a large part of the diet and the daily protein intake ranged from as low as 14 grams to a maximum of 85 grams; there was no evidence of difference in the percentage deviations between calculated and determined values at the different levels of protein intake.

The energy values of the 108 diets were calculated also by applying the general factors, 4, 9, 4. There were larger differences between the direct determinations and calculated values than were observed when the values were calculated by use of the factors from table 13. The largest differences were noted in those diets in which foods of plant origin predominated.

Data have been summarized in table 19 from a few of the experiments selected to represent different types of diets. The data illustrate the extent of agreement between available calories directly determined and those calculated by use of the factors from table 13 and by use of the general factors 4, 9, 4. Although there is good agreement between the determined and the calculated available energy values as illustrated by the data in table 19, examination of the data show that for some kinds of diets similar agreement for the available energy value of specific nutrients does not necessarily follow. For example, in the case of experiment 388 which represents a diet low in protein and fat, the apparent digestibility of the total protein from the diet was 45 percent, with an estimated 25 calories available from protein instead of the 46 which would be calculated by the factors from table 13. Likewise, an estimated 146 calories would be available from fat if the calculation were based on the apparent digestibility for the total fat in the diet, instead of the 201 obtained by use of the factors with the individual foods. For carbohydrate, however, the data from this experiment would indicate approximately 861 available calories instead of the 823 obtained by application of the factors from table 13 to the carbohydrate of the items in the diet.

diets
s of
adh
us t
vario
of
values
energy
able
avail
tted
culc
cal
and
ed
min
deter
5
parison
Com
Ĩ.
H
TABLE

ation from	nnea value, nn a, of		a Value in column o	t Percent 2 +13	-1 + 9	6+		- 9 + 0	- 1 + 4	+6	
Devis	detern colur		Value • in columi b	Percen +				+		+	
from		fon by	General energy factors 4, 9, 4 c	Calories 1, 179	1, 904	2, 622		2, 342	3, 043	3, 259	
le energy	aily diet	Calculat use o	Euergy factors from table 13 b	Calories 1, 070	1, 741	2, 406		2, 268	2, 913	3, 121	
A wailał	þ		y bomb	Calories 1,047	1, 750	2, 399		2, 206	2, 936	3, 085	
	Urine		Gross b mergy 2	Calories 8	35	103		26	127	140	
			Gross energy	Calories 172	225	330		252	262	380	
L L	1	rdrate	Fiber	Grams 3. 4	2.6	 					
excretion	SS	Carbohy	Total	Grams 12. 7	13.4	25. 8		22.0	19. 0	36. 5	
Daily	Fece		Fat	Grams 7.5	10.4	13. 6		4 <u>.</u> 70	5. 2	3. 2	
			Protein	Grams 7.6	11. 4	12. 4		19. 2	20. 8	30. 5	
			Weight water- free	Grams 31.9	40. 8	58. 2		51. 5	53. 0	77. 2	
			Gross energy	Calories 1, 227	2, 010	2, 832		2, 555	3, 324	3, 606	
_	4	drate	Fiber	Grams 6. 0	10. 8	33. 8			1		
	цу плаке	Carbohy	Total	Grams 228. 6	206. 5	298. 8		420. 4 -	540. 5 -	574. 4 -	
	R I		Fat	Grams 23. 2	103. 8	120. 8		31. 2	45. 5	45. 2	
			Protein	Grams 13. 8	35. 7	84. 8		94. 9	118. 0	138. 6	
		Daily diet	Ţ	Diets of fruits and nuts (447 gm. grapes (European type), 13 gm. olive oil, 28 gm. olives, 14 gm. tomatoes (76), experiment No. 388. 95 gm. oranges, 1, 120 gm. bananas.	142 gm. pecans (75), experiment No. 409	gm. peanuls, 11 gm. tomatoes, 28 gm. granose (a whole wheat prod- uct), 7 gm. olive oil, 57 gm. milk (75), experiment No. 394	liets with large amounts of legumes	20 gm. whole wheat bread, 10 gm. butter, 250 gm. bananas, 20 gm. sugar, 15 gm. pork, 438 gm. dry white beans (beans supplied 68 per- cent of total organic matter) (184), experiment No. 335 20 gm. whole wheat bread, 30 gm. butter, 250 gm. bananas, 40 gm.	sugar, 12 gm. pork, 350 gm. beans (beans supplied 42 percent of total organic matter) (184) , experiment No. 338	butter, 250 gm. bananas, 40 gm. sugar, 11 gm. pork, 400 gm. dry cowpeas (peas supplied 46 percent of total organic matter) (184), experiment No. 344	³² gin. whole wheat bread, 0.90 gin. milk, 32 gm. butter, 20 gm. pork, 228 gm. bananas, 32 gm. sugar, 775 cm. drv. cowneas (freas sup-

Diets with large amounts of cereals $ $																	
433 gm. dry rice, 450 gm. cottage cheese, 30 gm. sugar, 1,607 gm. milk (rice supplied 50 percent of total organic matter) (167) , experiment No. 5.	165.9	110. 8	474. 3		3, 953	41. 6	15. 9	5.7	11. 1		223	189	3, 541	3, 587	3, 559	-2	+
796 gm. whole wheat bread, 2,400 gm. milk (bread supplied 64 per- cent of total organic matter) (193), evenement. No. 131	157 f	105 6	597.9		, 4 384	76 6	19 7	9 0	37. 6		318	179	3, 886 3, 886	3, 815	3, 770	-2	+
338 gm. oatmeal, 1,655 gm. milk (oatmeal supplied 62 percent of total organic matter) (164) , experi- ment No. 181.	92. 2	85.0	309.0		2, 651	31. 5	- 00 - 00	i vi	8		163	108	2, 380	2, 348	2, 370	1	. 0
Diets with large amounts of vegetables																	
Basal ration of meat, bread, butter, milk, sugar; 662 gm. beets supply- ing 24 percent of total organic matter (33), experiment No. 5 Basal ration of meat, bread, butter,	68. 2	76. 6	288. 1	6	2, 225	25. 3	6 0	Ф.	7. 3	1. 2	127	75	2, 023	2, 019	2, 115	0	+2
milk, sugar; 600 gm. potatoes sup- plying 23 percent of total organic matter (33), experiment No. 11 Basal ration of meat, pread, butter,	88. 4	69. 1	296. 0	4.6	2, 363	26. 1	11.6	5. 6	0 ന	9.	144	26	2, 122	2, 149	2, 159	 +	+3
milk, sugar; 1/2 gm. capbage sup- plying 7 percent of total organic matter, (33), experiment No. 8	85. 0	103. 5	306. 8	11. 2	2, 679	19. 1	6.4	3. 3	5.3	1. 3	101	66	2, 479	2, 481	2, 498	0	+
Mixed diets																	
 170 gm. beef, 120 gm. butter, 750 gm. skimmed milk, 300 gm. bread, 110 gm. maize breakfast food, 75 gm. ginger snaps, 110 gm. sugar, (14), experiment No. 11	121. 8	129. 1	488. 1		3, 862	41. 5	14.1	0 6	12. 4		219	139	2, 504	3, 578	3, 602	+	+
125 gm. baked beans, 150 gm. canned pears (7), experiment No. 37	118.4	95. 8	280.6		2, 683	25.0	so So	5.8	57 57		132	140	2, 411	2, 408	2, 459	0	+
Diets of a single food $2,173 \text{ gm. bananas } (75)$, experiment																	
No. 391	22. 0	 ಣ	3 276.	6.7.2	1, 280	19.1		5 7 9	x x	×.	66	21	1, 160	1, 098	1, 224	15	9+
(193), experiment No. 123	- 65.4	14. 2	436.8	8	2, 320	19.5	6.6	2.5	7.2	-	89	83	2, 148	2, 183	2, 136	+2	Ī
¹ The reported values for protein nitrogen to protein have been correc	based of ted when	n the f rever tl	actor 6 he conv	.25 for ersion f	convert actor fo	ing ra	foot	l is diffe carbohy	erent fr drate k	om 6.25 by differe	and the ence.	neces:	sary ad	justme	nt made	in the	values

47

for carbohydrate by dufference. ² 7.9 X difference between amount of nitrogen in food and in feces.

In this experiment these discrepancies in the values for available calories from the different nutrients are large, as is to be expected in view of the items in the diet and the very low level of protein and fat. This example is useful, therefore, to point out that although the calorie factors in table 13 are satisfactory for calculating total available calories in a diet of widely different composition and character from the ordinary mixed diet, under some conditions there may be considerable error in calculating available calories from specific nutrients. Caution should be used also in applying general digestibility coefficients to such diets with a view to obtaining data on available nutrients.

General factors and more specific factors for calculating calories in individual foods

When the factors shown in table 13 are applied to individual foods and the resulting calories compared with calories obtained by use of the general factors 4, 9, 4, very large differences are observed for some foods. A list of foods representative of different groups has been assembled below in tabular form to illustrate this difference:

	Energy va grams ed derived b	lue per 100 ible portion by use of—	Patio
Food	Specific factors	General fac- tors 4, 9, 4	$\frac{\text{col. b}}{\text{col. a}}$
	(a)	(b)	
Animal foods:	Calories	Calories	Percent
Beef	273	268	98
Salmon, canned	143	138	97
Eggs	162	158	98
Milk	68	69	101
Fats:	=10		
Butter	716	733	102
Vegetable lats and ons	884	900	102
Commonly whole ground			
(unbolted)	955	267	102
Common degermed	262	256	103
	300	206	102
Bice brown	360	356	102
Rice white or milled	362	351	99
Wheat flour, whole	002	001	51
wheat_	333	355	107
Wheat flour, patent	364	355	98
Legumes:			00
Beans, dry seeds	338	346	102
Peas, dry seeds	339	349	103
Vegetables:			
Beans, snap	35	42	120
Cabbage	24	29	120
Carrots	42	45	107
Potatoes	83	85	102
Turnips	32	35	109
Fruits:			
Apples, raw	58	64	110
Lemons, raw	32	44	138
reacnes, canned	68	75	110
ougar:	20F	200	100
Calle of Deet	909	598	103

The significance of some of the differences illustrated above becomes more apparent when related to emergency feeding problems. For example, the general factors overestimate the energy value of whole wheat by 22 calories per 100 grams, and a ton therefore would supply some 200,000 fewer calories than calculated. To supply the higher number of calories, estimated, however, 2,132 pounds instead of 2,000 would be needed.

Application of general factors to national food supplies

Although general factors 4, 9, 4 may not be suitable for estimating available energy values for individual foods, the question arises as to whether they may be suitable for calculating calories of presentday food supplies. Food consumption patterns have changed over the years (182). There have been major shifts in consumption of foods within groups and between groups. As a result there has been some shift in the proportions of protein, fat, and carbohydrate supplied by the different foods within a group, and also a shift in the proportions of these nutrients from the various food groups in the national food supply.

We have grouped the foods into a few large categories and have calculated average coefficients of digestibility and calorie factors for the protein, fat, and carbohydrate of each of these groups. For this we weighted data selected from table 13 by the amount of the nutrient each food in the group supplied. These food group averages are shown in table 20. The average or general calorie factors for the total food supply also weighted by current distribution data on nutrients were found to be 4.00, 8.92, and 3.97 calories per gram as shown in table 20. These factors if rounded to simple whole numbers are the same as the general factors that have been used nearly 50 years. No large error is introduced in the calculation of national per capita figures per day if general factors rounded to whole numbers are used instead of the unrounded 4.00, 8.92, and 3.97. The net result of applying these rounded factors to the amounts of protein, fat, and carbohydrate of the food supply would be to overestimate the total available calories from about one-half to less than 1 percent. On a 3,000-calorie diet this would be less than 30 calories.

General factors such as these provide a quick means of calculating the physiological fuel value from composition data of the total food supply in this country. They may be used with family or institutional diets also if the pattern is comparable in the types and proportions of food to those used in this country. However, for limited or unusual diets such as are found in some areas or for food supplies of totally different composition, these general factors might not be suitable. Data in table 21 illustrate the differences that may result from applying the rounded general factors

TABLE 20.—Factors for digestibility, heats of combustion, and physiological fuel values of nutrients in food groups as used in present-day mixed diets ¹

		Pro	otein			F	at		Carbohydrate			
Classes of food materials	Propor- tion of total in mixed diet	Appar- ent digesti- bility	Heat of combus- tion less 1.25 ²	Physio- logical fuel value	Propor- tion of total in mixed diet	Appar- ent digesti- bility	Heat of combus- tion	Physio- logical fuel value	Propor- tion of total in mixed diet	Appar- ent digesti- bility	Heat of combus- tion	Physio- logical fuel value
Meats, fish, poultry	Percent 31	Percent 97	Cal./gm. 4.40	Cal./gm. 4. 27	Percent 36	Percent 95	Cal./gm. 9. 50	Cal./gm. 9. 02	Percent	Percent	Cal./gm.	Cal./gm.
Eggs Dairy products Separated fats	$\begin{array}{c} 7 \\ 25 \\ \cdots \end{array}$	97 97 	4. 50 4. 40	4. 36 4. 27	$ \begin{array}{c} 4 \\ 18 \\ 19 \end{array} $	95 95 95	9.50 9.25 9.40	9. 02 8. 79 8. 93	8	98	3. 95	3. 87
Total food of animal origin	63	97	4. 41	4. 28	77	95	9.42	8.95	8	98	3. 95	3. 87
Cereals Legumes and nuts Vegetables Fruits Sugars and sirups Separated fats and oils	$\begin{array}{c} 23 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$	86 78 70 85	4. 55 4. 45 3. 75 3. 95	$ \begin{array}{r} 3. 91 \\ 3. 47 \\ 2. 62 \\ 3. 36 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{c}2\\3\\1\\1\\16\end{array}$	$90 \\ 90 \\ 90 \\ 90 \\ 90 \\$	9. 30 9. 30 9. 30 9. 30 9. 30	8. 37 8. 37 8. 37 8. 37 8. 37	$\begin{array}{r} 40\\ 3\\ 9\\ 8\\ 32 \end{array}$	98 97 93 90 98	4. 20 4. 20 4. 19 4. 00 3. 95	$\begin{array}{r} 4. \ 12 \\ 4. \ 07 \\ 3. \ 90 \\ 3. \ 60 \\ 3. \ 87 \end{array}$
Total food of plant origin	37	82	4. 37	3. 58	23	94	9. 30	8. 74	92	97	4. 10	3. 98
Total food	100	91	4. 40	4.00	100	95	9.39	8. 92	100	97	4. 09	3. 97

¹ Based on United States of America food consumption data, 1949 (182).

4, 9, 4 and the specific factors for individual foods or food groups to different kinds of diets. Diet A may be considered comparable to that used currently in this country. It has fairly large quantities of meat, milk, fats, and sugar, and relatively small quantities of cereals; the greater proportion of the cereals are refined products. Diet B, on the other hand, follows the dietary pattern of some of the Eastern European countries and has very high proportions of unrefined cereals and potatoes and relatively small amounts of meat, fat, eggs, and sugar.

Results of applying the general and specific factors in this example show that for Diet A either set of factors would be satisfactory. No significant error is to be expected from applying general factors in this case because the proportions of the different types of food are the same as ² Heat of combustion corrected for incompletely oxidized products in the urine.

those used in developing the general factors. In the case of Diet B, which is also a mixed diet but one in which the proportions of different types of food are very different, calories calculated by the use of the general and specific factors are not in as good agreement.

General factors may therefore be used for estimating the energy value of average family diets or of the national food supply of this country from the total quantity of protein, fat, and carbohydrate. The more specific factors should be used for most other calculations, such as those for experimental and therapeutic diets, individual foods, food supplies of a totally different character from that of this country, and particularly for areas of the world where the food supplies consist largely of unrefined cereals and vegetables.

Diet A Diet B	atrient intake Energy value by use of-Ouantity Total nutrient int	Fat Carbo- bydrate factors ² tors 4, 9, 4 Protein Fat	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	41. 5 428. 1 3, 339 3, 354 107. 5 48. 1	100 100.4
	Quan-	food Protein	$ \begin{array}{c} R_{G}/w, \\ R_{G}/w, \\ 3.5, \\ 8.5, \\ 8.5, \\ 9.8, \\ 8.8, \\ 8.8, \\ 8.8, \\ 8.8, \\ 8.8, \\ 2.5, \\ 4.4, \\ 1.3, \\ 2.6, \\ 4.1, \\ 2.5, \\ 1.3, \\ 2.6, \\ 1.3, \\ 2.5, \\ 1.3, \\ 2.5, \\ 1.3, \\ 2.5, \\ 1.3, \\ 2.5, \\ 1.3, \\ $	92. 1	
ogram as d 1	Carbo-	hydrate	$\begin{array}{c} & & & & & & \\ & & & & & & & \\ & & & & $		
ients per kil purchase	+**	2 8 7	1, 000 4 8 8 3 5 6 7 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		
Nutr	Drotoin	TIPOOLIT	$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ 0.0\\ \end{array}$		
Food			Whole wheat	Total	Percentage relationship

TABLE 21.—Comparison of energy values for different dietary patterns, calculated with specific and with general calorie factors

¹ Data on food composition adapted from U. S. Department of Agriculture Handbook No. 8 (185). ² Calorie factors given in table 13.

It is recognized that some of the physiological fuel factors for food groups and individual foods developed as shown in this publication and summarized in table 13 are based on a limited amount of data and that factors for food groups may not always be equally suitable for individual foods within the group. Also revisions are anticipated as more complete information becomes available on the various constituents in the nitrogenous matter, fat and carbohydrate of food, and on their heats of combustion and digestibilities. Moreover it is realized that there are problems with direct bearing on the digestibility of protein, fat, and carbohydrate that have not been resolved satisfactorily at this time. Although all of the calorie factors may not be entirely suitable as a result of the various limitations existent in the basic data, nevertheless when they were applied to the nutrients in foods fed alone or in various combinations, the estimated total available energy of the food was always in excellent agreement with the value determined by use of the bomb calorimeter.

In view of the agreement noted and until more basic information becomes available, the modification of the method of Atwater and Bryant as proposed in the present publication for estimating the available (or physiological) energy value of foods seems the most satisfactory procedure to use; the calorie factors presented in table 13 are recommended for calculating the total available energy value of foods until there is basis for further revision or refinement of the factors.

LITERATURE CITED

- (1) ABE, A., TAKEI, U., UENO, O., and others.
 - 1934. COEFFICIENT OF DIGESTIBILITY OF KAO-LIANG. Jour. Oriental Med. 21(1): 1001-1012. [In Japanese. Esperanto summary, p. 115.]
- (2) ADAMS, G., and CHATFIELD, C.
- 1935. CLASSIFICATION OF FRUITS AND VEGE-TABLES ACCORDING TO THEIR CARBO-HYDRATE CONTENT. Amer. Dietet. Assoc. Jour. 10: 383–390. (3) Adolph, W. H., and Kao, H. C.
- 1934. THE BIOLOGICAL AVAILABILITY OF SOY-BEAN CARBOHYDRATE. JOUR. Nutr. 7: 395 - 406.
- (4) ARKANSAS AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION.
- 1896. CONCERNING WHEAT AND ITS MILL PROD-UCTS. PARTS I AND II. (Appendix by G. L. Teller.) Ark. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 42, pp. [61]-104. (5) Association of Official Agricultural Chem-
- - ISTS, COMMITTEE. 1890. EDITORIAL NOTES. U. S. Off. Expt. Stas., Expt. Sta. Rec. 2: 185-190.
- (6) ATWATER, W. O. 1902. PRINCIPLES OF NUTRITION AND NUTRITIVE value of food. U. S. Dept. Agr. Farmers' Bul. 142, 48 pp., illus. (7) -
- 1902. ON THE DIGESTIBILITY AND AVAILABILITY OF FOOD MATERIALS. Conn. (Storrs) Agr. Expt. Sta. 14th Ann. Rpt. 1901, pp. 179-245.
- (8) -1903. THE DEMANDS OF THE BODY FOR NOURISH-MENT AND DIETARY STANDARDS. Conn. (Storrs) Agr. Expt. Sta. 15th Ann. Rpt. (1902–1903), pp. 123–146. (9)
- 1904. EXPERIMENTS ON THE DIGESTIBILITY OF CEREAL BREAKFAST FOODS. Conn. (Storrs) Agr. Expt. Sta. 16th Ann. Rpt. for the year ending June 30, 1904, pp. 180 - 209. (10) ·
 - 1906. PRINCIPLES OF NUTRITION AND NUTRITIVE VALUE OF FOOD. U. S. Dept. Agr. Farmers' Bul. 142 (First rev.), 48 pp., illus.

- (11) ATWATER, W. O.
 - 1910. PRINCIPLES OF NUTRITION AND NUTRITIVF VALUE OF FOOD. U. S. Dept. Agr. Farmers' Bul. 142 (Second rev.), 48 pp., illus.
- (12) -- and BENEDICT, F. G.
- 1897. EXPERIMENTS ON THE DIGESTION OF FOOD BY MAN. Conn. (Storrs) Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 18, pp. 154–167. - and BENEDICT, F. G.
- (13) -1899. EXPERIMENTS ON THE METABOLISM OF MATTER AND ENERGY IN THE HUMAN BODY. U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. <u>69</u> (rev. ed.), 112 pp.
- (14) -- and BENEDICT, F. G.
- 1902. Experiments on the metabolism of MATTER AND ENERGY IN THE HUMAN BODY, 1898-1900. U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. 109, 147 pp. - and BENEDICT, F. G.
- (15) -1902. AN EXPERIMENTAL INQUIRY REGARDING THE NUTRITIVE VALUE OF ALCOHOL. Natl. Acad. Sci. Sixth Mem., vol. 8, pp. 231-397.
- and BENEDICT, F. G. (16) -1903. EXPERIMENTS ON THE METABOLSIM OF MATTER AND ENERGY IN THE HUMAN BODY, 1900-1902. U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. 136, 357 pp., illus.
- (17) -- and BRYANT, A. P. 1900. THE AVAILABILITY AND FUEL VALUE OF FOOD MATERIALS. COND. (Storrs) Agr. Expt. Sta. 12th Anr. Rpt. (1899). pp. 73-110.
- (18) -– and Bryant, A. P.
- 1900. COMPOSITION OF COMMON FOOD MATE-RIALS-AVAILABLE NUTRIENTS AND FUEL VALUE. Conn. (Storrs) Agr. Expt. Sta. 12th Ann. Rpt. (1899), pp. 111-123.
- (19) -- and Bryant, A. P. 1902. DIFTARY STUDIES IN NEW YORK CITY IN 1896 AND 1897. U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. 116, 83 pp.
- and BRYANT, A. P. (20) -1906. THE CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF AMERICAN FOOD MATERIALS. U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. 28 (rev. ed.), 87 pp., illus.

- (21) ATWATER, W. O., and Rosa, E. B.
- 1899. DESCRIPTION OF A NEW RESPIRATION CALORIMETER AND EXPERIMENTS ON THE CONSERVATION OF ENERGY IN THE HUMAN BODY. U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. 63, 94 pp., illus. - and SNELL, J. F. (22) -
- 1903. DESCRIPTION OF A BOMB-CALORIMETER AND METHOD OF ITS USE. Amer. Chem. Soc. Jour. 25: 659-699, illus.
- Woods, C. D., and BENEDICT, F. G. (23) -
- 1897. REPORT OF PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATIONS ON THE METABOLISM OF NITROGEN AND CARBON IN THE HUMAN ORGANISM, WITH A RESPIRATION CALORIMETER OF SPECIAL CONSTRUCTION. U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. 44, 64 pp., illus.
 (24) BEAZELL, J. M., SCHMIDT, C. R., and Ivy, A. C.
- 1939. ON THE DIGESTIBILITY OF RAW POTATO STARCH IN MAN. JOUR. Nutr. 17: 77-83. (25) BENEDICT, F. G.
- 1907. INFLUENCE OF JNANITION ON METABOLISM. Carnegie Inst. Wash., Pub. 77, 542 pp. Washington.
- (26)
 - 1915. A STUDY OF PROLONGED FASTING. Car-negie Inst. Wash., Pub. 203, 416 pp., illus. Washington.
- (27) -- and Milner, R. D.
 - 1907. EXPERIMENTS ON THE METABOLISM OF MATTER AND ENERGY IN THE HUMAN BODY, 1903-1904. U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. 175, 335 pp., illus.
- (28) BLYTH, A. W.
 - 1889. EXPERIMENTS ON THE NUTRITIVE VALUE OF WHEAT MEAL. Proc. Royal Soc. London 45: 549-553.
- (29) BOWERS, W. G.
 - 1919. SOME STUDIES ON THE NUTRITIVE VALUE OF THE SOY BEAN IN THE HUMAN DIET. N. Dak. Agr. Expt. Sta. Spec. Bul., vol. 5, pp. [278]-327, illus.

(30) BREIREM, K., and NICOLAYSEN, R.

- 1942. INVESTIGATIONS ON THE DIGESTIBILITY OF RYE AND WHEAT BRAN IN DOMESTIC ANI-MALS AND HUMANS. In Avhandlinger (31) BRICKER, M., MITCHELL, H. H., and KINSMAN,
- G. M.
 - 1945. THE PROTEIN REQUIREMENTS OF ADULT HUMAN SUBJECTS IN TERMS OF THE PRO-TEIN CONTAINED IN INDIVIDUAL FOODS AND FOOD COMBINATIONS. JOUR. Nutr. 30: 269-283, illus.
- (32) BRYANT, A. P. 1897. Two digestion experiments with an INFANT. Conn. (Storrs) Agr. Expt. Sta. 9th Ann. Rpt., 1896, Second pt., pp. 181-185.
- (33) -- and MILNER, R. D. 1903. EXPERIMENTS ON THE DIGESTIBILITY OF VEGETABLES. Amer. Jour. Physiol. 10: 81-99.
- (34) CAHILL, W. M., SCHROEDER, L. J., and SMITH, A. H. 1944. DIGESTIBILITY AND BIOLOGICAL VALUE OF SOYBEAN PROTEIN IN WHOLE SOYBEANS, SOYBEAN FLOUR, AND SOYBEAN MILK. Jour. Nutr. 28: 209-218.
- (35) CARPENTER, T. M.
 - 1940. THE METABOLISM OF ALCOHOL: A REVIEW. Quart. Jour. of Studies on Alcohol 1: [201]-226.
- (36) CHATFIELD, C.
 - 1949. FOOD COMPOSITION TABLES FOR INTER-NATIONAL USE. Food and Agr. Organ. Nutr. Studies 3, 56 pp.

- (37) CHATFIELD, C., and ADAMS, G.
 - 1940. PROXIMATE COMPOSITION OF AMERICAN FOOD MATERIALS. U. S. Dept. Agr. Cir. 549, 91 pp.
- and McLaughlin, L. I. (38) -
- 1928. PROXIMATE COMPOSITION OF FRESH FRUITS. U. S. Dept. Agr. Cir. 50, 20 pp.
- (39) CHENG, L. T., LI, H. C., and LAN, T. H. 1941. BIOLOGICAL VALUES OF SOYBEAN PROTEIN AND MIXED SOYBEAN-PORK AND SOY-BEAN-EGG PROTEINS IN HUMAN SUB-JECTS. Chinese Jour. Physiol. 16: 83-89.
- (40) CLARK, L. C., RYER, H., and MURLIN, J. R. 1949. RELATIVE TO EGG BIOLOGICAL VALUES AND REPLACEMENT VALUES OF SOME CEREAL PROTEINS IN HUMAN SUBJECTS. JOUR. Nutr. 38: 405-420.
- (41) Cohn, H.
- 1895. UEBER CACAO ALS NAHRUNGSMITTEL. Ztschr. f. Physiol. Chem. 20: 1-27.
- (42) Constantinidi, A.
 - 1887. UEBER DIE AUSNÜTZUNG DES WEIZENKLE-BERS IM DARMKANALE UND ÜBER DIE VERWENDUNG DESSELBEN ZUR ERNÄH-RUNG DES MENSCHEN. Ztschr. f. Biol. 23: [433]-455.
- (43) CRAMPTON, E. W., IRWIN, M. I., LLOYD, L. E., and NEILSON, H. R. 1951. THE APPARENT DIGESTIBILITY OF ESSEN-
 - TIALLY SIMILAR DIETS BY RATS, GUINEA PIGS, SHEEP, SWINE AND BY HUMAN SUBJECTS. Jour. Nutr. 43: 541-550.
- (44) CROOK, E. M., and WATSON, D. J.
 - 1948. STUDIES ON THE STORAGE OF POTATOES. I. CHANGES IN COMPOSITION DURING STORAGE IN CLAMPS. JOUR. Agr. Sci. [London] 38: 440-457, illus.
- (45) DANIEL, L. J. 1951. THE NUTRITIVE VALUE OF ALCOHOL. N.Y. State Jour. Med. 51: 1283-1284.
- (46) DESIKACHAR, H. S. R., DE, S. S., and SUBRAHMAN-YAN, V.
 - 1948. PROTEIN VALUE OF SOYA-BEAN MILK: HU-MAN FEEDING EXPERIMENTS. Indian Jour. Med. Res. 36: 145-148.
- and SUBRAHMANYAN, V. (47) ----
 - 1949. INFANT FEEDING EXPERIMENTS WITH SOYA-BEAN MILK. Indian Jour. Med. Res. 37: 77-83.
- (48) DEUEL, H. J.
 - 1923. DIGESTIBILITY OF BAKED GOODS MADE FROM PATENT FLOUR. Jour. Home Econ. 15: [699]-701.
- (49) -1924. THE DIGESTIBILITY OF TEPARY BEANS. Jour. Agr. Res. 29: 205-208.
- (50) Dirr, K. 1942. über den wert der wuchshefen für DIE MENSCHLICHE ERNÄHRUNG. TT. MITTEILUNG: UBER DIE BIOLOGISCHE BRAUCHBARKEIT DER BERGIN-HOLZZUC-KERTROCKENHEFE. Biochem. Ztschr. 312: [233]-251.
- (51) ---- and Soden, O.
 - 1941. ÜBER DEN WERT DER WUCHSHEFEN FÜR DIE MENSCHLICHE ERNÄHRUNG. I. MIT-TEILUNG: AMINOSÄUREGEHALT VON BER-GIN-HOLZZUCKERTROCKENHEFE. Biochem. Ztschr. 309: [329]-336.
- (52) ERISMANN, F.
 - 1901. DIE BROTSURROGATE IN HUNGERSZEITEN UND IHRE AUSNUTZUNG IM MENSCH-LICHEN VERDAUUNGSKANAL. Ztschr. f. Biol. 42: [672]-709.

(53) FITZPATRICK, W. H., ESSELEN, W. B., JR., and WEIR, E.

1946. COMPOSITION AND NUTRITIVE VALUE OF MUSHROOM PROTEIN. Amer. Dietet. Assoc. Jour. 22: 318-323.

- (54) FOLIN, O.
- 1905. A THEORY OF PROTEIN METABOLISM. Amer. Jour. Physiol. 13: 117-138.
- (55) FOOD AND AGRICULTURE ORGANIZATION OF THE UNITED NATIONS.
 - 1947. ENERGY-YIELDING COMPONENTS OF FOOD AND COMPUTATION OF CALORIE VALUES. 23 pp. Washington.
- (56) FORSTER, J.
 - 1900. UEBER "HOLLÄNDISCHEN" KAKAO. Hyg. Rundschau 10: [305]-317.
- (57) FRAPS, G. S.
- 1946. COMPOSITION, DIGESTIBILITY AND ENERGY VALUES OF SOME HUMAN FOODS. Texas Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 680, 41 pp. (58) FREY, C. N.
- 1930. HISTORY AND DEVELOPMENT OF THE MOD-ERN YEAST INDUSTRY. Indus. and Engin. Chem. 22: 1154-1162.
- (59) FUNK, C., LYLE, W. G., MCCASKEY, D., and others. 1916. THE NUTRITIVE VALUE OF YEAST, POL-ISHED RICE, AND WHITE BREAD, AS DE-TERMINED BY EXPERIMENTS ON MAN. Jour. Biol. Chem. 27: 173-191.
- (60) FUNNELL, E. H., VAHLTEICH, E. McC., MORRIS, S. O., and others.
 - 1936. PROTEIN UTILIZATION AS AFFECTED BY THE PRESENCE OF SMALL AMOUNTS OF BRAN OR ITS FIBER. Jour. Nutr. 11: 37-45.
- (61) GROSZFELD, J.
 - 1917. ZUR FETTBESTIMMUNG IN BACKWAREN. Ztschr. f. Untersuch. der Nahr. u. Genussmtl. 34: 490–491.
- (62) HARCOURT, R., and FULMER, H. L.
 - 1907. BREAKFAST FOODS. THEIR CHEMICAL COM-POSITION, DIGESTIBILITY, AND COST. Ontario Agr. Col. Bul. 162, 56 pp.
- (63) HARTMANN, B. G., and HILLIG, F.
 - 1934. ACID CONSTITUENTS OF FOOD PRODUCTS. SPECIAL REFERENCE TO CITRIC, MALIC, AND TARTARIC ACIDS. Assoc. Off. Agr. Chem. Jour. 27: 522-531.
- (64) HAWLEY, E. E., MURLIN, J. R., NASSET, E. S., and others.
 - 1948. BIOLOGICAL VALUES OF SIX PARTIALLY-PURIFIED PROTEINS. JOUR. Nutr. 36: 153–169, illus.
- (65) HEADDEN, W. P.
 - 1927. EFFECTS OF NITRATES ON COMPOSITION OF THE POTATO. Colo. Expt. Sta. Bul. 325, 96 pp., illus.
- (66) HERTWIG, R.
 - 1923. DETERMINATION OF FAT IN ALIMENTARY PASTE, FLOUR AND DRIED EGG. Assoc. Off. Agr. Chem. Jour. 6: 508-510.
- (67) HEUPKE, W., MIESEN, G., and PREISER, A.
 - 1939. DIE AUSNUTZUNG DER EDELKASTANIE. Ernahr. [Leipzig] 4: 238-242.
- (68) HILLS, J. L., WAIT, C. E., and WHITE, H. C. 1909. DIETARY STUDIES IN RURAL REGIONS IN VERMONT, TENNESSEE, AND GEORGIA. U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. 221, 142 pp., illus.
- (69) HINDHEDE, M. 1913. STUDIEN ÜBER EIWEISS MINIMUM. Skand. Arch. f. Physiol. 30: [97]-182.
- (70) HOLMES, A. D. 1918. DIGESTIBILITY OF PROTEIN SUPPLIED BY SOYBEAN AND PEANUT PRESS-CAKE FLOURS. U. S. Dept. Agr. Bul. 717, **2**8 pp.

- (71) HOLMES, A. D.
 - 1919. EXPERIMENTS ON THE DIGESTIBILITY OF WHEAT BRAN IN A DIET WITHOUT WHEAT FLOUR. U. S. Dept. Agr. Bul. 751, 20 pp.
- (72) -
 - 1920. DIGESTIBILITY OF STEAM-COOKED SOY BEANS AND PEANUTS. Amer. Med. Assoc. Jour. 74: 798-801.
- (73) IWANOFF, N. N. 1923. ÜBER DIE ANHAUFUNG UND BILDUNG DES HARNSTOFFS IN CHAMPIGNONS. Biochem. Ztschr. 143: 62-74.
- (74) JACOANGELI, T., and BONANNI, A.
 - 1897. L'ALIMENTAZIONE CON LE PASTE ALI-MENTARI DI GRANTURCO E MISTE. Bol. di Not. Agr. 19 (Secondo Semes.): 434-448.
- (75) JAFFA, M. E.
 - 1903. FURTHER INVESTIGATIONS AMONG FRUI-TARIANS AT THE CALIFORNIA AGRI-CULTURAL EXPERIMENT STATION, 1901-1902. U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. 132, 81 pp.
- (76) JONES, D. B.
 - 1941. FACTORS FOR CONVERTING PERCENTAGES OF NITROGEN IN FOODS AND FEEDS INTO PERCENTAGES OF PROTEIN. U. S. Dept. Agr. Cir. 183, 22 pp. (Slightly rev. ed.)
- and WATERMAN, H. C. (77) -
 - 1922. STUDIES ON THE DIGESTIBILITY OF PRO-TEINS IN VITRO. III. ON THE CHEMI-CAL NATURE OF THE NUTRITIONAL DE-FICIENCIES OF ARACHIN. Jour. Biol. Chem. 52: 357-366.
- (78) JOSLYN, M. A.
 - 1950. METHODS IN FOOD ANALYSIS APPLIED TO PLANT PRODUCTS. 525 pp., illus. New York.
- (79) Kelsey, H. P., and Dayton, W. A., eds. 1942. STANDARDIZED PLANT NAMES. Ed. 2, 675 pp. Harrisburg, Pa.
- (80) KEYS, A. 1943. PHYSICAL PERFORMANCE IN RELATION TO DIET. Fed. Proc. 2: 164-187.
- (81) -
 - 1945. THE REFINEMENT OF METABOLIC CALCU-LATIONS FOR NUTRITIONAL PURPOSES AND THE PROBLEM OF "AVAILABILITY." Jour. Nutr. 29: 81-84.
- (82) KLATSKIN, G., GEWIN, H. M., and KREHL, W. A. 1951. EFFECTS OF PROLONGED ALCOHOL INGES-TION ON THE LIVER OF THE RAT UNDER CONDITIONS OF CONTROLLED ADEQUATE DIETARY INTAKE. Yale Jour. Biol. and Med. 23: [317]-331, illus.
- (83) KON, S. K., and KLEIN, A. 1928. XXXV. THE VALUE OF WHOLE POTATO IN HUMAN NUTRITION. Biochem. Jour. 22: 258-260.
- (84) Konig, J. 1904. CHEMIE DER MENSCHLICHEN NAHRUNGS-UND GENUSSMITTEL. vol. 2, 1,557 pp. Berlin.
- (85) KRAMER, M. M., and HALSTEAD, M. J.
 - 1925. THE INFLUENCE OF THE METHOD OF PREP-ARATION ON THE DIGESTIBILITY OF CORN MEAL. Jour. Home Econ. 17: 75-78.
- (86) KREBS, H. A., and MELLANBY, K. 1942. DIGESTIBILITY OF NATIONAL WHEATMEAL. Lancet [London] 242: 319-321.
- (87) KUEN, F. M., and PÜRINGER, K. 1934. ÜBER DIE AUSNUTZUNG VON FRISCHER HEFE UND TROCKENHEFE. Ztschr. 271: [152]-167. Biochem.

(88) KUETHER, C. A., and MYERS, V. C. 1948. THE NUTRITIVE VALUE OF CEREAL PRO-TEINS IN HUMAN SUBJECTS. JOUR. Nutr. 35: 651-667.

(89) LANGWORTHY, C. F.

- 1904. THE FUNCTIONS AND USES OF FOOD. U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Cir. 46 (rev.), 10 pp. - and DEUEL, H. J., JR.
- (90) -1919. THE EFFECT OF MILLING ON THE DIGESTI-BILITY OF GRAHAM FLOUR. Natl. Acad. Sci. Proc. 5: 514-517.
- (91) -- and DEUEL, H. J., JR.
- 1920. DIGESTIBILITY OF RAW CORN, POTATO, AND WHEAT STARCHES. JOUR. Biol. Chem. 42: 27-40. - and DEUEL, H. J., JR. (92) -
- 1922. DIGESTIBILITY OF RAW RICE, ARROWROOT, CANNA, CASSAVA, TARO, TREE-FERN, AND POTATO STARCHES. Jour. Biol. Chem. 52: 251-261.
- (93) -- and HOLMES, A. D. 1916. STUDIES ON THE DIGESTIBILITY OF THE GRAIN SORGHUMS. U. S. Dept. Agr. Bul. 470, 30 pp.
- (94) -– and Holmes, A. D. 1917. EXPERIMENTS IN THE DETERMINATION OF THE DIGESTIBILITY OF MILLETS. U. S. Dept. Agr. Bul. 525, 11 pp.
- (95) -- and HOLMES, A. D. 1917. THE DIGESTIBILITY OF THE DASHEEN. U.S. Dept. Agr. Bul. 612, 12 pp.
- and Holmes, A. D. (96) -
 - 1921. THE EFFECT OF A VARIATION IN MILLING ON THE DIGESTIBILITY OF WHEAT FLOURS. Natl. Acad. Sci. Proc. 7: 119-123.
- and MERRILL, A. T. (97) -
- 1924. DIGESTIFILITY OF RAW STARCHES AND CARBOHYDRATES. U. S. Dept. Agr. Bul. 1213, 16 pp. - and MILNER, R. D. (98) —
- 1904. INVESTIGATIONS ON THE NUTRITION OF MAN IN THE UNITED STATES. U. S. Off. Expt. Stas. Doc. 713, 20 pp., illus. (99) LEHMANN, K. B.
- 1902. HYGIENISCHE UNTERSUCHUNGEN ÜBER MEHL UND BROT. XI. ÜBER DIE BEDEU-TUNG DER SCHÄLUNG UND ZERMAHLUNG DES GETREIDES FÜR DIE AUSNUTZUNG (AVEDYK- UND STEINMETZVERFAHREN). Arch. f. Hyg. 45: 177-211. (100) LEUNG, W. W., PECOT, R. K., and WATT, B. K.
- 1952. COMPOSITION OF FOODS USED IN FAR EASTERN COUNTRIES. U. S. Dept. Agr. Handb. 34, 62 pp.
- (101) LUSK, G. 1928. THE ELEMENTS OF THE SCIENCE OF NUTRI-TION. Ed. 4, 844 pp., illus. Phila-delphia and London.
- (102) McCance, R. A., and Glaser, E. M.
 - 1948. THE ENERGY VALUE OF OATMEAL AND THE DIGESTIBILITY AND ABSORPTION OF ITS PROTEINS, FATS AND CALCIUM. Brit. Jour. Nutr. 2: 221-228. - and Lawrence, R. D.
- (103) -1929. THE CARPONYDRATE CONTENT OF FOODS. Brit. Med. Res. Council Spec. Rpt. Ser., No. 135, 73 pp. London.
- (104) -1948. THE DIGESTIBILITY AND ABSORPTION OF THE CALORIES, PROTEINS, PURINES, FAT AND CALCIUM IN WHOLEMEAL WHEATEN BREAD. Brit. Jour. Nutr. 2: 26-41, illus.
- and Widdowson, E. M. (105) -
 - 1940. THE CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF FOODS. Brit. Med. Res. Council Spec. Rpt. Ser., No. 235, 150 pp. London.

- (106) MCCANCE, R. A., and WIDDOWSON, E. M.
 - 1947. THE DIGESTIBILITY OF ENGLISH AND CANA-DIAN WHEATS WITH SPECIAL REFERENCE TO THE DIGESTIBILITY OF WHEAT PRO-
 - TEIN BY MAN. JOUR. Hyg. 45: 59-64. and Widdowson, E. M.
- (107) -1947. THE CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF FOODS. Ed. 2, rev. [Reprint of British ed. 2, 1946. No basic text changes.] 156 pp. Brooklyn, N. Y. - WIDDOWSON, E. M., MORAN, T., and others.
- (108) ---1945. THE CHEMICAL COMPOSITION OF WHEAT AND RYE AND OF FLOURS DERIVED THEREFROM. Biochem. Jour. 39: 213-(109) McConnell, J. E. W., and Esselen, W. B., Jr.
- - 1947. CARBOHYDRATES IN CULTIVATED MUSH-ROOMS (AGARICUS CAMPESTRIS). Food Res. 12: 118-121
- (110) MACRAE, T. F., HUTCHINSON, J. C. D., IRWIN, J. O., and others. 1942. COMPARATIVE DIGESTIBILITY OF WHOLE-
 - MEAL AND WHITE BREADS AND THE EFFECT OF THE DEGREE OF FINENESS OF GRINDING ON THE FORMER. JOUR. Hyg. 42: 423-435.
- (111) MACY, I. G. 1942. NUTRITION AND CHEMICAL GROWTH IN CHILDHOOD. VOL. I. EVALUATION. Springfield, Ill. and Baltimore, Md.
- (112) -[1946.] NUTRITION AND CHEMICAL GROWTH IN CHILDHOOD. V Springfield, Ill. VOL. II. ORIGINAL DATA.
- (113) MALFATTI, H.
 - 1884. ÜBER DIE AUSNUTZÜNG EINIGER NAHR-UNGSMITTEL IM DARMCANAL DES MEN-SCHEN. K. Akad. der Wiss. Sitzber. [Vienna] 90: 323-350.
- (114) MAYNARD, L. A. 1944. THE ATWATER SYSTEM OF CALCULATING THE CALORIC VALUE OF DIETS. JOUR. Nutr. 28: 443-452.
- (115) -1946. INTERNATIONAL FOOD-EVALUATION ACTIVI-TIES AND PROBLEMS. Fed. Proc. 5: 270-273
- (116) MENDEL, L. B.
 - 1898. THE CHEMICAL COMPOSITION AND NUTRI-TIVE VALUE OF SOME EDIBLE AMERICAN FUNGI. Amer. Jour. Physiol. 1: [225]-238.
- (117) -- and FINE, M. S. 1912. STUDIES IN NUTRITION. IV. THE UTILI-ZATION OF THE PROTEINS OF THE LEGUMES. Jour. Biol. Chem. 10: 433 - 458.
- (118) MERRILL, A. L., and WATT, B. K.
- 1948. PHYSIOLOGIC ENERGY VALUES OF WHEAT. Amer. Dietet. Assoc. Jour. 24: 953-956. (119) MERRILL, L. H.
- 1905. CEREAL FOODS. Maine Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 118, pp. [117]-135.
- (120) -1906. INDIAN CORN AS FOOD FOR MAN. DI-GESTION EXPERIMENTS WITH CHEST-NUTS. Maine Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 131: [133] - 148.
- (121) -
 - 1908. FOOD OF MAN STUDIES. Maine Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 158, pp. [219]-238.
- (122) MITCHELL, H. H., and CURZON, E. G.
 - 1940. THE FOOD VALUE OF ETHYL ALCOHOL. Quart. Jour. of Studies on Alcohol 1: [227]-245.
- (123) MÖRNER, C. T. 1886. BEITRÄGE ZUR KENNTNISS DES NÄHR-WERTHES EINIGER ESSBAREN PILZE. Ztschr. f. Physiol. Chem. 10: [503]-516 PILZE.

- (124) MURLIN, J. R., EDWARDS, L. E., HAWLEY, E. E., and CLARK, L. C.
- 1946. BIOLOGICAL VALUE OF PROTEINS IN RE-LATION TO THE ESSENTIAL AMINO ACIDS WHICH THEY CONTAIN. I. THE ENDOGENOUS NITROGEN OF MAN. JOUR. Nutr. 31: 533-554, illus.
- Edwards, L. E., FRIED, S., and others. (125) -1946. BIOLOGICAL VALUE OF PROTEINS IN RELA-TION TO THE ESSENTIAL AMINO ACIDS WHICH THEY CONTAIN. III, COM-PARISON OF PROTEINS WITH MIXTURES OF THE AMINO ACIDS. JOUR. Nutr. 31: 715-736.
- (126) -- LINE, W. R., PIPER, H. A., and PIERCE, H.B. 1929. THE NUTRITIVE VALUE OF CEREAL BREAK-FAST FOODS. I. COMPOSITION AND HEAT VALUE. JOUR. Nutr. 2: 83-90. — MARSHALL, M. E., and KOCHAKIAN, C. D.
- (127) -1941. DIGESTIBILITY AND BIOLOGICAL VALUE OF WHOLE WHEAT BREADS AS COMPARED WITH WHITE BREAD. JOUR. Nutr. 22: 573-588, illus.
- (128) -- and MATTILL, H. A. 1938. DIGESTIBILITY AND NUTRITIONAL VALUE
 - OF CEREAL PROTEINS IN THE HUMAN SUBJECT. JOUR. Nutr. 16: 15-35. — NASSET, E. S., and MARSH, M. E. 1938. THE EGG-REPLACEMENT VALUE OF THE
- (129) -PROTEINS OF CEREAL BREAKFAST FOODS, WITH A CONSIDERATION OF HEAT IN-JURY. JOUR. Nutr. 16: 249–269. (130) NEUBERGER, A., and SANGER, F.
- 1942. THE NITROGEN OF THE POTATO. Biochem. Jour. 36: 662-671.
- (131) NEUMANN, R. O.
- 1906. DIE BEWERTUNG DES KAKAOS ALS NAH-RUNGS- UND GENUFSMITTEL. I. TEIL. VERSUCHE ÜBER DEN EINFLUFS DER MENGE, DES FETTGEHALTES, DES SCHA-LENGEHALTES, DES KAKAOS UND DER MIT DEMSELBEN EINGEFÜHRTEN NAHR-UNG AUF DIE RESORPTION UND ASSIMI-LATION DESSELBEN. Arch. f. Hyg. 58: (Data from Beddies, A., pp. 1 - 62. 16 - 17.(132) -
 - 1906. DIE BEWERTUNG DES KAKAOS ALS NAHR-UNGS-UND GENUFSMITTEL. H. TEIL. VER-SUCHE MIT VERSCHIEDENEN KAKAO-HANDELSSORTEN. Arch. f. Hvg. 58: 63-124.
- (133) NEWMAN, L. F., ROBINSON, G. W., HALNAN, E. T., and NEVILLE, H. A. D.
 - 1912. SOME EXPERIMENTS ON THE RELATIVE DIGESTIBILITY OF WHITE AND WHOLE MEAL BREADS. JOUR. Hyg. 12: [119]-143.
- (134) Ознімл, К. 1905. A DIGEST OF JAPANESE INVESTIGATIONS ON THE NUTRITION OF MAN. U.S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. 159, 224 pp.
- (135) PITTMAN, M. S.
 - 1932. THE UTILIZATION BY HUMAN SUBJECTS OF THE NITROGEN, CALCIUM, AND PHOS-PHORUS OF THE NAVY BEAN (PHASEOLUS VULGARIS) WITH AND WITHOUT A SUP-PLEMENT OF CYSTINE. Jour. Nutr. 5: 277-294.
- (136) PLAGGE and LEBBIN.
 - 1897. UNTERSUCHUNGEN ÜBER DAS SOLDATEN-BROT. 234 pp., illus. Berlin. (Veröffentlichungen aus dem Gebiete des Militär-Sanitätswesens, Heft 12.)
- (137) PRAUSNITZ, W.
- 1890. DIE AUSNUTZÜNG DER BOHNEN IM DARM-KANALE DES MENSCHEN. Ztschr. f. Biol. 26: 227-232.

- (138) PUGLIESE, A.
 - 1915. LA VALEUR ALIMENTAIRE DES DIVERS TYPES DE PAIN. Rev. Gén. des Sci. Pures et Appl. 26: 612-617, illus.
- (139) REMY, E. 1931. EXPERIMENTELLE STUDIEN ZUR BIOCHEMIE UND BIOLOGIE DER ROHFASER. Biochem. Ztschr. 236: 1-18.
- (140) RICHTER, A. P. F.
 - 1903. ÜBER DIE AUSNÜTZUNG VON ERBSEN IM DARMKANAL DES MENSCHEN BEI WEI-CHEM UND HARTEM KOCHWASSER. Arch. f. Hyg. 46: 264-273.
- (141) ROMBERG, E.
 - 1897. DER NÄHRWERTH DER VERSCHIEDENEN MEHLSORTEN EINER MODERNEN ROGGEN-KUNSTMÜHLE. Arch. f. Hyg. 28: [244]-290.
- (142) Rose, M. S., and Cooper, L. F.
 - 1917. THE BIOLOGICAL EFFICIENCY OF POTATO NITROGEN. Jour. Biol. Chem. 30: 201-204.
- (143) - and MACLEOD, G.
- 1925 MAINTENANCE VALUES FOR THE PROTEINS OF MILK, MEAT, BREAD AND MILK, AND SOY BEAN CURD. JOUR. Biol. Chem. 66: 847-867.
- (144) RUBNER, M. 1879. UEBER DIE AUSNÜTZUNG EINIGER NAHR-UNGSMITTEL IM DARMCANALE DES MEN-SCHEN, Ztschr. f. Biol. 15: [115]-202.
- (145) -1880. UEBER DIE AUSNÜTZUNG DER ERBSEN IM DARMKANALE DES MENSCHEN. Ztschr. f. Biol. 16: 119-128.
- (146) -1883. UEBER DEN WERTH DER WEIZENKLEIE FÜR DIE ERNÄHUNG DES MENSCHEN. Ztschr. f. Biol. 19: 45-100.
- (147) -1885. CALORIMETRISCHE UNTERSUCHUNGEN. I AND II. Ztschr. f. Biol. 21: [250]-334; [337]-410.
- (148) -1901, DER ENERGIEWERT DER KOST DES MEN-SCHEN. Ztschr. f. Biol. 42: [261]-308.
- (149) -1930. ÜBER DIE ELEMENTARZUSAMMENSETZUNG DES HARNES BEI VERSCHIEDENER ERNÄHRUNG NACH VERSUCHEN VON ELMER BERRY M. P. E. Ztschr. f. die Gesam. Expt. Med. 72: [144]-177.
- and HEUBNER, Ô. (150) -1898. DIE NATÜRLICHE ERNÄHRUNG EINES SÄUG-LINGS. Ztschr. f. Biol. 36: 1-55.
- and THOMAS, K. (151) -1918. DIE ERNÄHRUNG MIT KARTOFFELN. Arch. f. Anat. u. Physiol. (Physiol. Abt.)
- (152) SALTET, R. H. 1885. UEBER DIE BEDEUTUNG DER ESSBAREN SCHWAMME ALS NAHRUNGSMITTEL FÜR DEN MENSCHEN. Arch. f. Hyg. 3: [443]-463.
- (153) SCHLESINGER, H. 1895. BEITRÄGE ZUR BEURTHEILUNG DES CACAOS BEI DER ERNÄHRUNG DES MENSCHEN. Deut. Med. Wchnschr. 21: 80-82.
- (154) Sсносн, Т. J. 1938. ABSENCE OF COMBINED FATTY ACID IN CEREAL STARCHES. (Communications to the Editor.) Amer. Chem. Soc. Jour 60: 2824-2825.
- (155) SEALOCK, R. R., BASINSKI, D. H., and MURLIN, J. R 1941. APPARENT DIGESTIBILITY OF CARBOHY-DRATES, FATS, AND "INDIGESTIBLE RESI-DUE" IN WHOLE WHEAT AND WHITE RREADS. JOUR. Nutr. 22: 589-596, illus

- (156) SHERMAN, H. C. 1902. THE METABOLISM OF NITROGEN, SULPHUR, AND PHOSPHORUS IN THE HUMAN ORGAN-ISM. U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. 121, 47 pp., illus.
- (157) -1911. CHEMISTRY OF FOOD AND NUTRITION. 355 pp., illus. New York.
- (158) -Ed. 1937. CHEMISTRY OF FOOD AND NUTRITION. 5 640 pp., illus. New York.
- (159) -1952. CHEMISTRY OF FOOD AND NUTRITION. Ed.
- 8, 721 pp., illus. New York. (160) SHERWOOD, R. C., NORDGREN, R., and ANDREWS, J. S.
 - 1941. THIAMIN IN THE PRODUCTS OF WHEAT MILLING AND IN BREAD. Cereal Chem. 18: 811-819, illus.
- (161) SKINNER, J. T., PETERSON, W. H., and STEENBOCK, Η.
 - 1933. NÄHRWERT VON SCHIMMELPILZMYCEL. Biochem. Ztschr. 267: [169]-178.
- (162) SNYDER, H. 1897. THE DIGESTIBILITY OF POTATOES AND EGGS. In U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. 43, Losses in Boiling Vege-tables and the Composition and Digesti-bility of Potatoes and Eggs, pp. 20-24. (163)
- 1899. MISCELLANEOUS ANALYSES: COMPOSITION OF TOMATOES. PROTEIDS OF WHEAT FLOUR. Minn. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 63, pp. [495]-533. (164) ·
- 1901. STUDIES ON BREAD AND BREAD MAKING AT THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA IN 1899 AND 1900. U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. 101, 65 pp., illus.
- (165) · 1902. HUMAN FOOD INVESTIGATIONS. Uriv. Minn. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 74, pp. [109]-174, illus.
- (166) -1903. STUDIES ON THE DIGESTIBILITY AND NUTRI-TIVE VALUE OF BREAD AT THE UNI-VERSITY OF MINNESOTA IN 1900-1902. U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. 126, 52 pp., illus.
- (167)1905. THE DIGESTIBILITY AND NUTRITIVE VALUE OF COTTAGE CHEESE, RICE, PEAS AND BACON. Univ. Minn. Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 92, pp. [259]-275. (168)
- 1905. STUDIES ON THE DIGESTIBILITY AND NUTRITIVE VALUE OF BREAD AND OF MACARONI AT THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA, 1903-1905. U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. 156, 80 pp., illus. (169) ·
- 1908. HUMAN FOODS AND THEIR NUTRITIVE vALUE. 362 pp., illus. New York. and Voornees, L. A.
- (170) -(170) — and voorhees, L. A.
 1899. STUDIES ON BREAD AND BREAD MAKING. U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. 67, 51 pp., illus. (Reprinted, 1903.)
 (171) SOUTHARD, L., RICHARDS, E. H., USHER, S., TER-RILL, B. M., and SHAPLEIGH, A.
 1903. DIETARY STUDIES IN BOSTON AND SPRING-DIETARY STUDIES IN BOSTON AND SPRING-
 - - FIELD, MASS., PHILADELPHIA, PA., AND CHICAGO, ILL. U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. 129, 103 pp.
- (172) Spriggs, E. I., and WEIR, A. B. 1917. THE DIGESTIBILITY OF BREAD MADE FROM TWO PARTS OF WHEAT AND ONE PART OF OATS, BARLEY, MAIZE, OR RICE. Lancet [London] 193: 724-726.

- (173) STREET, H. E., KENYON, A. E., and WATSON, G. M. 1946. THE NATURE AND DISTRIBUTION OF VARIOUS FORMS OF NITROGEN IN THE РОТАТО. Ann. Appl. Biol. 33: 1-12, illus.
- (174) STREET, J. P., and BAILEY, E. M.
 - 1915. THE CARBOHYDRATES AND THE ENZYMES OF THE SOY BEAN. IDdus. and Engin. Chem. Jour. 7: 853-858.
- (175) STROHMER, F. 1886, EIN BEITRAG ZUR KENNTNIS DER ESS-BAREN SCHWÄMME. Arch. f. Hyg. 5: [322]-332.
- (176) STRÜMPELL, A. 1875. UEBER DEN NÄHRWERTH DER LEGUMI-NOSEN UND IHRE BEDEUTUNG ALS KRANKENSPEISE. Deut. Arch. f. Klin. Med. 17: [108]-119.
- (177) STUTZER, A.
 - 1891. NEUES AUS DER RÖST-, DARR- UND TROCK-NUNGS-INDUSTRIE. Ztschr. f. Angew. Chem. Jahrg. 1891, Heft. 12: 368-375.
- (178) SUGIMOTO, K. 1926. STUDIES ON THE DIGESTIBILITY AND UTILISATION OF RICE OF DIFFERENT GRADES OF POLISHING. In Saiki, T., [ed.] Progress of the Science of Nutri-tion in Japan. League of Nations Health Organ. III. Health III. 25. С. Н. 523, pp. [87]-154. - Нідисні, М., Момочера, S., and others.
- (179) -1926. THE DIGESTIBILITY AND UTILISATION OF RICE COOKED BY DIFFERENT METHODS. In Saiki, T., [ed.] Progress of the Science of Nutrition in Japan. League of Nations Health Organ. III. Health III. 25. C. H. 523, pp. [155]–233.
- (180) TANGL, F. 1899. BEITRAG ZUR KENNTNISS DES ENERGIEGE-(1899. BEITRAG ZOH KENNTNISS DES ENERGEGE-HALTES DES MENSCHLICHEN HARNES. In Arch. f. Anat. u. Physiol. (Physiol. Abt.), pp. 251–266. Leipzig.
 (181) TSO, E., and CHU, F. T. 1931. NITROGEN METABOLISM IN INFANTS ON GRADED INTAKE OF SOTBEAN "MILK" PROTEVUS COMPACTING LAW. DEMONICI.
- PROTEINS. Chinese Jour. Physiol. 5: 287-294, illus.
- (182) UNITED STATES BUREAU OF AGRICULTURAL ECO-NOMICS.
- 1953. CONSUMPTION OF FOOD IN THE UNITED STATES, 1909-52. U. S. Dept. Agr. Handb. 62, 249 pp., illus. (183) UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE,
 - DIVISION OF CHEMISTRY.
 - 1898. FOODS AND FOOD ADULTERANTS. (Investi-gation under direction of H. W. Wiley.) U. S. Dept. Agr. Bul. 13, pp. 1169-1374, illus.
- (184) WAIT, C. E.
- 1907. STUDIES ON THE DIGESTIBILITY AND NUTRITIVE VALUE OF LEGUMES AT THE UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE, 1901-1905. U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. 187, 55 pp. (185) WATT, B. K., and MERRILL, A. L.
- - 1950. COMPOSITION OF FOODS-RAW, PROCESSED, PREPARED. U. S. Dept. Agr. Handb. 8, 147 pp.
- (186) WEINSTOCK, A., and BENHAM, G. H. 1951. THE USE OF ENZYME PREPARATIONS IN THE CRUDE FIBER DETERMINATION. Cereal Chem. 28: 490-497, illus.
- (187) WILLIAMS, R. D., and OLMSTED, W. H. 1935. A BIOCHEMICAL METHOD FOR DETERMINING INDIGESTIBLE RESIDUE (CRUDE FIBER) IN FECES: LIGNIN, CELLULOSE, AND NON-WATERSOLUBLE HEMICELLULOSES. Jour. Biol. Chem. 108: 653-666.

- (188) WILLIAMS, R. T., ed.
- 1952. LIPID METABOLISM. Biochem. Soc. Symposia 9, 101 pp. [London.] (189) WINTGEN, M.
 - 1905. UEBER DIE AUSNUTZBARKEIT VON LEGUMI-NOSENMEHLEN. Vëroffentl. aus. dem Gebiete des Milit.—Sanitatsw. 29: [37]-55.
- (190) Wollaeger, E. E., Comfort, M. W., and Osterberg, A. E. 1947. Total solids, fat and nitrogen in the
 - 1947. TOTAL SOLIDS, FAT AND NITROGEN IN THE FECES: III. A STUDY OF NORMAL PER-SONS TAKING A TEST DIET CONTAINING A MODERATE AMOUNT OF FAT; COM-PARISON WITH RESULTS OBTAINED WITH NORMAL PERSONS TAKING A TEST DIET CONTAINING A LARGE AMOUNT OF FAT. Gastroenterol. 9: 272-283.
- (191) LUNDBERG, W. O., CHIPAULT, J. R., and MASON, H. L.
 - 1953. FECAL AND PLASMA LIPIDS. A STUDY OF 2 NORMAL HUMAN SUBJECTS TAKING (1) A DIET FREE OF LIPID AND (2) A DIET CONTAINING TRIOLEIN AS THE ONLY LIPID. Gastroenterol. 24: 422-436.

(192) WOOD, T. B.

- 1911. THE COMPOSITION AND FOOD VALUE OF BREAD. Royal Agr. Soc. England Jour. 72: 1-24.
- (193) Woods, C. D., and MERRILL, L. H. 1900. A REPORT OF INVESTIGATIONS ON THE DIGESTIBILITY AND NUTRITIVE VALUE OF BREAD. U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. 85, 51 pp.
- (194) ——— and MERRILL, L. H. 1904. ENTIRE WHEAT FLOUR. Maine Agr. Expt. Sta. Bul. 103, pp. [61]-76, illus.
- (195) and MERRILL, L. H.
 - 1904. STUDIES ON THE DIGESTIBILITY AND NUTRITIVE VALUE OF BREAD AT THE MAINE AGRICULTURAL EXPERIMENT STA-TION 1899-1903. U. S. Dept. Agr. Off. Expt. Stas. Bul. 143, 77 pp.
- (196) and SNYDER, H.
 - 1906. CEREAL BREAKFAST FOODS. U. S. Dept. Agr. Farmers' Bul. 249, 36 pp.

APPENDIX. TABULAR SUMMARY OF EXPERIMENTS ON DIGESTI-BILITY OF FOODS OF PLANT ORIGIN BY HUMAN SUBJECTS

Apparent Digestibility and Available Energy

Scope of compilation.—The compilation of human digestion experiments given in table 23 presents data on the apparent digestibility of protein, fat, carbohydrate, and energy, and in some cases the availability of the total energy of various foods of plant origin. It covers research in this field since 1875. Data published in languages other than English may not have been covered completely but the greater portion is believed to have been reviewed. The reports included in the compilation may be identified by the numbers in the last column of the table, which refer to Literature Cited, page 51.

Coefficients of apparent digestibility of fat are shown in the table, but in many cases are not considered to be reliable. With the exception of a few kinds of plant foods, such as nuts, the fat content is too low to contribute more than a small part of the total fat intake. Thus, in calculating the digestibility of the fat of the test food even a small error in the assumptions made for digestibility of fat of the remainder of the diet may result in a relatively large error in the estimated digestibility of the test food.

Too much importance should not be given to the reported figures for gain or loss of body nitrogen in studies in which the experimental periods were short and in studies in which no preliminary period on the experimental diet was indicated. If the period on the experimental diet had been sufficiently long the subjects might have reached nitrogen equilibrium.

This compilation includes studies in which the apparent digestibility of the test food was reported or could be calculated from data given by the author. A wide variety of experimental conditions are represented, some of which were too extreme for derivation of coefficients of digestibility for general use as represented in table 13. However, they are useful in considering the effects that various conditions of dietary intake and experimental procedures may have upon the digestibility of foods and for this reason are included in the compilation.

Order of foods.—The order in which the food groups appear in the table follows that used by Atwater and Bryant in their report of 1899 (17) and by the Food and Agriculture Organization ad hoc Committee in its report of May 1947 (55). This order seemed desirable in that the first two groups, "Grain, Grain Products" and "Legumes and Nuts," are both important sources of calories, and it is on foods in these two groups the greater portion of the research on digestibility of foods of plant origin has been done.

The food items within each group have been arranged alphabetically except where some other arrangement is believed to be more useful to the reader. For example, the wheat items are in the order of their relation to the original grain, starting with the items most similar to the whole grain in composition and form. Thus, the whole-grain flours appear first, followed by intermediate extractions, 80-percent extraction and lower extractions.

Notice appendix and lower extractions. The common plant names in table 23 are followed by their scientific names to aid the user in identification of items. Occasionally the scientific names were given by the authors (items 23, 24, 88-91, 316-320). Otherwise we have used the ones preferred in Standardized Plant Names (79) with a few exceptions where other names were recommended by the Horticultural Crops Research Branch of the Agricultural Research Service, U. S. Department of Agriculture. Apparent digestibility.—The coefficients of digestibility reported in the table represent apparent digestibility. In calculating the apparent digestibility no attempt has been made to distinguish between metabolic products and undigested food in the feces. Using protein as an example, these coefficients are calculated as follows:

Protein in-	Protein (from	
take from-	test food) in	Coefficient of apparent
test food	feces	$\times 100 =$ digestibility of protein
Protein intake	from test food	of test food as percent.

Where there were no data on the basal diet and the diets used were relatively simple, the fecal protein for the diet exclusive of the test food was calculated from the coefficient of digestibility of the various items in the diet. For example, in a very simple diet of bread and milk in which bread was the test food, it was commonly assumed that milk protein would have a digestibility of 97 percent. Then the fecal protein from milk would be 3 percent of the milk protein intake (100-97) and that from bread would be the difference between the total fecal protein and the milk fecal protein.

When the authors determined the digestibility of a mixed diet during a preliminary period and then substituted the test food for a specified proportion of the mixed diet, it was assumed that reducing the intake of the basal diet did not change its digestibility. If the test food replaced 15 percent of the basal diet, the fecal protein in the test period due to the basal diet was considered to be 85 percent of the fecal protein found experimentally for the basal period. The resulting value was subtracted from the total fecal protein in the test period to obtain the protein from the test food in the feces.

The coefficients of digestibility of fat, carbohydrate, and of energy have been calculated in the same way.

The proportion of gross energy available to the body was reported in a limited number of studies. To obtain this value the energy lost in the urine, as well as the energy value of the feces, was deducted from the gross energy of the food intake. The absorbed fat and carbohydrate were considered to be completely oxidized, and the unoxidized organic matter of the urine was assumed to be mainly nitrogenous products. The energy loss in the urine was assumed to average 1.25 calories per gram of absorbed protein. On these assumptions the available energy of the test food was calculated as follows:

Gross energy of test food-fecal energy from test food-energy lost in urine (digestible protein from test food \times 1.25)=available energy from test food.

$\frac{\text{Available energy} \times 100}{\text{Gross energy}} = \frac{\text{Percent of gross energy available}}{\text{to the body.}}$

Table 22 shows in detail the results of calculations for estimating the coefficients of digestibility of protein, fat, carbohydrate, and energy, and the proportion of energy actually available to the body. This experiment was taken from one of the early reports of Snyder (164).

Adaptations of published data.—All the studies in which original basic data were reported by the authors have been recalculated prior to inclusion in table 23. Differences, when found, between the results as originally reported and the recalculated figures were of three types: 1. Whereas in most studies investigators assumed digestibility coefficients for the basal foods close to or the same as those shown in table 13, in occasional studies they applied other coefficients of digestibility. As a result, the original figures for digestibility and proportion of energy available from the test food were in some cases considerably different from results we obtained by applying the usual coefficients to the basal diets. If our recalculated figures differed from the reported results by more than 1 percent, they were entered in table 23, and attention called to this change by a footnote. 2. In some studies the authors did not report apparent digestibility or available energy but reported the basic data needed for making such calculations. For these cases we have calculated the values entered in table 23 as noted in a footnote.

3. In still other experiments when we used the basic data and assumptions reported by the authors in calculation, we obtained a different result. Our recalculated values have been entered in brackets in table 23.

TABLE 22.—Use of digestibility data to determine coefficients of apparent digestibility and available energy

Sample No.		Weight of material	Protein (Nx6.25)	Fat	Carbo- hydrate	Ash	Heat of combustion
70 69	Food consumed: Bread (made from graham flour) Milk	Grams 908. 3 3, 250. 0	Grams 70. 5 95. 9	Grams 11.5 113.8	Grams 389. 0 167. 4	Grams 8.6 25.7	Calories 2, 093 2, 327
	Total		166.4	125.3	556.4	34. 3	4, 420
71	Feces (water free) Estimated feces from food other than bread	90. 0	$16. 3 \\ 2. 9$	9. 4 5. 7	49. 6 3. 3	14. 7	392 83
	Estimated feces from bread		13. 4	3. 7	46. 3		309
	Total amount digested Estimated digestible nutrients in bread		150. 1 57. 1	115. 9 7. 8	506. 8 342. 7	19. 6	4, 028 1, 784
	Coefficients of digestibility of total food Estimated coefficients of digestibility of bread Proportion of energy actually available to body: In total food		Percent 90. 2 81. 0	Percent 92. 5 67. 8	Percent 91. 1 88. 1	Percent 57. 1	Percent 91. 1 85. 2
	In bread alone						81. 8

Note.—This table appears as table 18 in U. S. Department of Agriculture Bul. 101 (164).

Terms and symbols used.—References to "authors" in either the footnotes or descriptive columns in table 23 apply to the authors of the specific digestibility reports and not to the compilers of table 23.

The proportion of protein, fat, carbohydrate, and energy supplied by the test food in the diet has been shown in the table wherever suitable information on composition and amounts of food were reported. In some cases composition data given were not complete and we have used figures from Agriculture Handbook No. 8 (185) to supply missing composition data and have entered the results in parentheses in table 23.

Parentheses were used also in the descriptive columns for added explanatory phrases as interpreted from the authors' description. To illustrate, for item 9 the term "hominy" was not used in the text of the article but since there was little doubt as to the identity of the product this interpretation of the test food was noted in parenthesis in addition to the author's description of the product.

Quotation marks have been used with certain food items to indicate that they were quoted directly from the article. This was done whenever a term might have different connotations. For example, entire-wheat flour, as used in studies reported in the early part of the 20th century, was a flour of intermediate extraction having part of the bran removed. Today this term applies to a whole-grain product.

.oN 90	Referen		62		196		134		8		120	121	63	62
	Kemarks		Subjects, young men. Ex-	perimental period, 4 days. No preliminary experi- mental period. Fecal marker, lampblack.	(Subjects, healthy men. Co- efficients of digestibility estimated by authors from unpublished data	available at Conn., Maine, and Minn. Agr. Expt. Stor	Subject, 47-yr. old man. Experimental period, 3 days. Marker, red mun- go bean. Study by Y. Washitsu and K. Uki in	(134, p. 166). Subject, 49-yr. old man. Experimental period, 3 days. Marker, raw red mungo bean. Study by	167). 167). (Subjects, 3 men. Collection period, 9 days, began on 3rd day. Marker. char-	coal or barium sulfate at beginning and end collec- tion period. N balance average 1 6 mm period.	Subjects (see remarks, item 14). Experimental pe-	4 subjects, C, D, M, S. 8 experiments. Authors' issues mathod followed	Rubiects young men. Ex- Subjects young men. Ex- perimental period, 3 days Marker, charcoal. See also remarks for sorghum,	Bubjects, young men. Ex- perimental period, 4 days. Marker, lampblack.
Por- tion of gross	energy avail- able		Pct. 2 85.9 2 88.5	$^{2}_{2}[90.4]$ $^{2}_{2}94.3$ $^{2}_{91.8}$ $^{2}_{91.8}$								86.7		295.6 294.5 94.7 94.7
digesti- l	Energy		Pct. 1 87.3 1 90.6	1 92.7 1 96.8 1 93.1 94.2	86.4	86.4					94.4			1 97. 4 1 96. 1 1 95. 6 96. 4
pparent test food	Car- bohy- drate		Pct. 96.3 97.2	96.8 97.0 97.3 97.6	93.8	93.8	96 [.] 8	97.2			98.2	96.4	95.1 96.2 96.2	88.3 88.3 88.3 99.1
cient of a bility of	Fat		Pct.					76.4					_	
Coeffic	Pro- tein		Pct. 57.6 74.0	65.8 67.8 76.9 69.3 71.3	77.8	77.8	74.3	76.3	1 62.9 1 58.4 1 71.2	64.2	74.5	61.2	1 53.5 1 68.1 1 51.6 1 51.7	75.0 74.3 73.7
.take od	Gross energy		Pct. 43 53	39440 38640 3860 3860 3980 3980 3980 3980 3980 3980 3980 398									_	50 52 51 52
f total in y test fo	Car- bohy- drate		Pct. 64 72	65 65 65			100	100				•	55 (50) 55	73 73 73
portion o upplied h	Fat		Pct. 5	4.000100			100	100						ന ന ന ന
Pro	Pro- tein		Pct. 63 75	80 88 1 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80			100	(68)	1000	100			78 81 79 79	66 66 66 66
intake logram weight	Gross energy		Cal.							37.5				
Daily per ki body	Pro- tein		Gm.				1.1	1.0						
Subject	weight		$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} K_{g} \\ CBT \\ FAC \end{array} \right\}$	(Ab. WJH CBT			KY 46	KY 47	AF 86 OK 78 GS 75	J.Av			$\begin{bmatrix} D \cap G \\ RLS \\ OES \\ A u. \end{bmatrix}$	K GMc JEB CRK
to the second seco			Barley porridge, cooked 20 min.; cream, sugar. Average daily in-	take: 26gm, protein, 1,780 calories. Barley porridge, cooked 20 min.; cream, sugar. Average daily in- take: 38gm, protein, 2,170 calories.			Buckwheat flour, boiled with water, salt, and small amount meat ex- tract. 600 gm. uncooked weight of flour eaten daily.	Buckwheat flour, prepared like macaroni; beef extract, shoyu.	Corn, ground and cooked with water and salt. Also unground, cooked 1 hr. at 15 lb. pressure.	Sucrose added in diets of AF and GS; butter, in diet of CK.	Hominy, cooked. Eaten in simple and mixed diets. ⁴	Hulled steamed corn, milk	Combread (recipe: 15 c. commeal, 1% c. molasses, 1 c. ind, 1% qt. water, 3% tsp. salt, 3% tsp. soda, 5 tsp. ginger), potatoes, apple- source, bitter, sugar. Average	dally ultaka, so gui, protenti, tuo gm. fat, 451 gm. carbohydrate. Commeal porridge, cooked 20 min.; cream, sugar. Average daily in- take: 27.6 gm. protein, 1,300 calories.
Tact ford description	T ESC 1004, UESCITIVION	GRAINS, GRAIN PRODUCTS	Barley Products (Hord- eum rudgare): Barley,* flaked	Barley,* germinated, flaked.	Barley products (pearled; flaked). ³ Buckwheat Products (Fagopyrum esculen-	tum): (Farina, flour, groats) ³	Flour*	Flour (presumably sim- ilar to item 5).	Corn, Corn Products (Zea mays): Field corn, yellow hy- brid, dried several days.		Hominy*	(Hominy),* hulled us- ing alkali, steamed.	Meal, coarsely ground, sifted through 16- mesh sieve.	Meal* (presumably de- germed, fiber and ash low).
•0	N məəl		Г	52	e	4	5	9	2		80	6	10	

TABLE 23.—Apparent digestibility and available energy of foods of plant origin for human subjects

	113			28	2				120			16			74	26	16	be lor.
	Subject, young man, Ex- perimental period, 2 days for 1st, and 3 days for 2d and 3d experiments. Marker, lampblack.			Subisots тошия топпан	Experimental period, 3 days.				Several experiments made	with 2 subjects, most of them with 4. Experi- mental period, 6 days.		Subjects, women, Experi-	mental period, 3 days, 9 meals. Marker, carmine, meth. humbleck for fol-	lowing period of 3 or 4 days on regular diet.	Subject, 45-yr -old farmer. Experimental period, 3 days, 2 meals per day.	Subjects, women. Experi- mental period, 3 days, 9 meals. Marker, carmine, with lampblack for follow- ing per od of 3 to 4 days on regular diet.	Subjects, men students. Bxperimental period, 3 days. Authors'usual lab- oratory procedure fol- lowed.	sidered by authors of article to anned peaches in addition. Istion, was reported by the auth
																		were con eat and c
								93.1	93.5	93.4	93. S	94.0				_		roducts" d diet, m also heat
90.6	96. 3	1 97.7	99.5 99.5 99.5	86.3 86.3 86.3 86.3 86.3 86.3 86.3 86.3	⊃∞ Ի∞ «	99088 9908 9908 9908 9908 9908 9908 990	86.5 86.5 86.5 86.5 86.5 86.5 86.5 86.5	98.3	98.9	98.6	98.6	98.7	98.0 96.2	98.3 98.6 98.8	96.9 94.7 92.6 94.7	100.0 100.0 100.0	100.0 100.0 100.0	ed for ''p ter; mixe me cases
57.9															84.9 85.9 84.9 84.9			estimate id/or buti and in so
81.7	68. 5	1 89.9	78.0 71.4 75.2 81.9	858289 8708	0.45 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.45 0.45	22222 22222 22222 22222 22222 22222 2222	80.1 80.1 7.6.7 7.6.7 7.6.7	73.2	86.3	83.0	77.1	78.8	180.1	1 73. 7 1 76. 4 1 69. 3	75.5 74.1 76.9 75.5			estibility ims. sugar an position,
100															00000 00000			its of dig these ite et, milk, that com
100	100	100	447 447 447	****	******	*******	77.77 7777					91	46 46	51 48 49	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	57 57 49	54 57 59	Coefficter licable to simple di adicates i table 24.
100	13	27										(61)	<u>19</u> 2	<u> </u>	001001			app. c
100	86	61	22222	22222	22228	22222	22222					(45)	(1 2)	(40) (40) (40)	00000	4.6.6 .6		S D
_															112			ider of diet s gested protei
_															82 82 82			n remair am of di
			ERC ARC ARC ARC ARC ARC ARC ARC ARC ARC A	EG MH ARB.	NAN NGHA		ABMEA ABMGA) T.M	ER	ATM RJ CM		GR ATM CM RLP	WVD HLG ELM	r foods i es per gr
Polenta (629 gm. commeal cooked	with water to form a mush). Daily intake: 43 gm. protein, 10 gm. (at, 481 gm. carbohydrate. Polents (776 gm. comment) with butter, 95 gm. comment) with	gun, proteun, sz gun, iat, sao gun, carbobydrate. Polenta (794 gun, conmeal) with Swiss cheese, 130 gun. Daily in- take: 90 gun, protein, 37 gun. Gal,	 612 gm. arbohydrate. Cornneal wafers (240 gm. cornmeal) in simple ditet of 255 gm. apple, 31 gm. dried whole milk, 28 gm. sugar, 33 gm. butter. 	Corrumeal mush, cooked 30 min. at 20 lb. pressure in simple diet de- scribed above.	Corrmeal muffins in simple diet described above.	Raw cornmeal in frozen pudding eaten in simple diet described above.	Corrmeal mush, cooked 10 min., eaten in simple diet described above.	Hasty pudding (cornmeal, salt, water) eaten in simple and mixed	Johnnycake (equal parts cornmeal and wheat flour) eaten in simple and mixed florts 4	Brown bread (equal parts cornmeal and wheat flour) eaten in simple and mixed dists 4	Hoe cake (cornmeal, sugar, salt, water) eaten in simple and mixed diets 4	Hoe cake with sirup, eaten in simple and mixed diets.	milk, ou, sugar, sait, mayornig), oranges, sugar, tea or coffee if de- sired. Average daily intake: 39	gm. protein, 1,970 calories. Dict some as for item 15. Average daily intake: 34 gm. protein, 1,750 calories.	 Polenta, a hasty pudding of corn- meal, water, and salt. 	 Frozen pudding (raw cornstarch, milk, oil, sugar, salt, flavoring), oranges, such, tea or coffee if di- sined. Average daily intake: 22 gm. protein, 1,920 calories. 	- Frozen pudding (raw cornstarch, milk, ol, sugar, salt, flavoring), oranges, sugar, tea or coffee if de- sired. Average daily intake: 31 gm. protein, 2,700 calories.	lata using coefficients of digestibility fo ata allowing a urinary loss of 1.25 calori
Meal, reported as maize	raeûl. ⁻		Meal.					Meal,* granulated				Meal,* waxy variety of maize imported from	China.	Meal,* white	Meal, yellow	Starch	Starch	Calculated from authors' d own in table 13, p. 25. Calculated from authors' di shown in table 13, p. 25.
12			13					14				15		16	17	18	19	shc 2 as

.0N 99.	Referen	126	88	6	119	126
Remerks	04	Details of experiment not given. Authors reported "coefficient of utilization" included in this table since not clear if value is true of	Suppers, ugar, and and a men. Collec- tion period, gars, began on 3d day. Marker, char- coal or barian sulfate at beginning and end ool- lection period. N-balance average1.6 gm. for over-sepanded corn cereal period.	Subjects, young men (medi- cal and dental students). Experimental period, 3 days. Marker, charcoal, taken with 1st meal of ex- perimental period and with 1st meal following.	I woo supjects, young men. Experimental period, 2 days with 6 meals. Pre- liminary experimental her riod of 1 meal. Marker, charcoal. N-balance per day; subject No. 4, full rs- tion, -0.5 gm., balfration, +3.6 gm. Subject No. 5, full ration, +1.3 gm., balf ration, -0.6 gm	Details of experiment not given. Authors reported "coefficient of utilization" of protein 34 percent; not included in this table since not dear if whue is true or apparent digestibility.
Por- tion of gross	energy avail- able	Pct.			2 8 8 3 1 2 8 8 9 7 8 8 9 7 8 8 9 7 8 8 8 9 7 8 8 8 9 7 8 8 8 9 7 8 8 8 9 7 8 8 8 9 7 8 8 8 9 7 8 8 8 9 7 8 8 8 8	
ligesti-	Energy	Pct.			1 85. 1 1 85. 9 1 92. 2 89. 8 89. 8	
oparent d test food	Car- bohy- drate	Pct. 97.1		88888 8888 8988 8988 9988 9988 9988 99	94. 4 95. 7 95. 6 65. 6	96 . 6
ient of al bility of 1	Fat	Pct.			1 56.4 1 57.6 1 78.7 1 77.9	
Coeffic	Pro- tein	Pct.	165.4 167.9 167.9 167.0 166.2 170.2 166.5 166.5	34.2 39.0 64.9 30.3 30.3 30.3 31.3 30.3 36.2 46.2 46.2 36.7 1 46.2 37.2 1 46.2 37.2 36.7 1 37.2 37.2 37.2 37.2 37.2 37.2 37.2 37.2	1 72. 3 1 69. 2 1 85. 1 1 79. 9 78. 4	
take od	Gross energy	Pct.			2222	
f total in y test foc	Car- bohy- drate	Pct.		47) 66) 525 555 555 61) 64) 555 61) 64) 555 61) 64) 555 61) 64) 555 61) 64) 64) 64) 64) 64) 64) 64) 64	77 75 72	
pplied b	Fat	Pct.		<u> 63639 6959</u>	33338	
Proj	Pro- tein	Pct. 80		\$\$6\$\$\$5\$\$\$ \$7\$\$ \$	42234 42234	80
intake ogram weight	Gross energy	Cal.	37.5		28.50 28.57 28.57 28.57 28.57 28.57 28.57 28.57 29.57 29.57 20.57	
Daily per kil body	Pro- tein	Gm.	0		4.1.1.4 4.8.1.1 1.0.1	
Subject	weight	Kg.	AF AF 86 CK 78 67 78 CK 78 78 66 78 AF 86 78 86 67 78 CK 78 86 78 86 67 78 AF 86 78 87 78 86 78 86 78 86 78 86 78 86 78 86 78 86 78	DGGG AJH PK DGG AJH AJH AJH AJH AJH AJH BLS OGG	{4 55 72 72 72 72 72 72	
tiot		Toasted corn endosperm, sugar, cream, and coffee. ⁶	Corn flakes. Sucrose added in diets of AF and GS; butter added in diet of CK. Corn cereal. Sucrose added in diet of AF; butter added in diets of CK and GS.	Millet bread (recipe: 15 c. millet meat, 17s c. molasses, 1 c. lard, 2 qt. water, 33 typs, sult, 33 tsp. soda, 5 isp. ginger), poteto, orange, ta or cofte as desired. Daly in- take: 45 gm. protein, 2,140 to 3,080 Millet bread (see recipe, item 23), potato, orange, tea or coffe as de- gm. protein, 2,140 to 3,080 gross calories.	Rolled oats, cooked 4 hrs; milk "Full ration"	Rolled dats, cooked; sugar, cream, coffee. ^a
Tset food description	T 1001 / 1001 / 1001	GRAINS, GRAIN PRODUCTS—Con. Corn, Corn Products (Zea may)—Con. Ready-to-est braskiast food: Corn endosperm.* toasted, added sug- ar, salt.	Corn flakes	Milet: Milet: Milet: Milet: ecan of the millet ecat of the millet (chi effy bran) re- moved by sifting through a 16-mesh Mela (Staria italia), oommon millet, a 40 percent of the millet (chieffy bran) re- moved by sifting through a 16-mesh silve.	Oats, Oat Products (Avena satina): Rolled oats*	Rolled oats*
•0	Item N	50	21 22	24 23	25	51

TABLE 23.—Apparent digestibility and available energy of foods of plant origin for human subjects—Continued

					 Se ili se
8888888888222 888888888222222	80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 8	80	88888 00000 00000	78	
37.5	345	45		41.3	 of diet as protein as dardized
	22222	£.	रू स र र र र	Э	igested I in Star
8338362228338846222	78 75 75 71 71	11	7680792 7680792	12	 in ren n. of d 1930. Ication
QUADAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA	GCK GCK Av.	Ar.	BA BA GS Av.	Ap.	foods per g1 : 1-15, classifi
Rolled oats, cooked; milk-cream- mixture, sucrose. Proporticions of ofts and milk-cream mixture dif- fated for the two experimental pe- riods.	Rolled oats, cooked; sucrose, but- ter. Rolled oats, cooked; cream, butter, lettuce, raw tomatoes with seeds removed is popleasue, orange mar- malade or jam, coffee, tea, arabon-	ated beverage. Vitamin and min- eral supplements. See diet, item 29	Oats, cooked; butter, cream, prunes, bananas. N-intake, 5.6 gm.; gross calories, 2,680.	Oats, cooked; fruits, cream, lettuce, offee or beter, vitamin B complex. A verge daily intake: 37 gm. pro- tein, 2, 930 calories.	ta using coefficients of digestibility for .a allowing a urinary loss of 1.25 calories . Carmen, and Austin, in Jour. Nutr. 3 illet as <i>Setaria itatica</i> , but according to applies to fortall millet.
Rolled oats	Rolled oats.	Rolled oats.	Rolled oats, quick cook- ing.	Rolled oats,* quick cooking.	Daloutated from authors' da min table 13, p. 25. Daleulated from author's dal min table 13, p. 25. Diet as described by Clough Author Identified common ut at Names (79) Setaria italica
8	53	30	31	32	 2 (shov 5] 5] 5] 7 [5]

ï

Ubjects, 3 women (C LG, RL) and 5 men (C WP, MP, SAP). (P lection period of 9 d began on 3d day of exp mental period. Mari tate. Average N-bala (atte. Ave	Thr. Beg replacem method asused previou in authors' aborator authors' aborator so protein from or cereal, 10 pct, fr from remaining d from remaining d tree amounts have b used here to exioutate	parent digestibility of ' food' Subjects in no tive N-balance during periods. Prefods. preceded by 3-day per in which milk protein placed eereal protein placed eereal protein mine, used alternately mine, used alternately ubjects, 10 young mine, 5 minettal period, 5 day per imental period, 5 day per	placed cereal protein. placed cereal protein. pet: of protein from egg cereal, 11 pet: from cere and butter, 11 pet. from cere and butter, 12 pet. from cere
22200001000000000000000000000000000000	1 72. 7	8 57 8 76 8 776 8 776 60 1 73.2	
			:
hhaaahaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaa	2	88898 x	

128

iod ar-

129

\$

⁷ Apparently authors made no correction for N of foods other than test food in total N intake or leash N. ⁸ Authors gave two reasons for low digestibility: (1) low level at which protein was fed; (2) the rather liberal fruit intake. ⁹ Indicates that composition, and in some cases also heat of combustion, was reported by author. See table 24.

Beed, for the series of the se

.0N 991	Referen	62	57	102	66 88 1
Remarks	24 10 TIAN	Subjects, healthy young men. Experimental pe- riod, 4 days. Marker, lampblack.	Subjects, 2. 3-day prelim- inary period and 2-day collection period.	Subjects, 6 men, ages 20-48 yrs. Followed generatipan of conducting experiment used by McCance and Walham (1946). See re- marks, itom 106. Total period 11-12 days of which 7 days was experimental period. Marker, carmine.	Subjects, men
Por- tion of gross	energy avail- able	22222222222222222222222222222222222222			
digesti-	Energy	7 88.5 89.5 99.5 99.5 199.6 199.7 199.6 199.7 199.6 199.7 199.6 199.7 199.6 199.7 19		88.85.2 88.70 89.11 89.11 89.11 80.6	80
pparent test food	Car- bohy- drate	Р 200 200 200 200 200 200 200 20	99.1 97.9 98.5		97.0
cient of a bility of	Fat	Pat	106 82 82	667.2 667.2 67.2 68.2 68.2 68.2 68.2 68.2 68.2 68.2 68	
Coeffi	Pro- tein	7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	75 94 84.5	65.7 64.9 65.2 65.2 69.6 69.6	73555555555555555555555555555555555555
take od	Gross energy	P 88338839888888888888888888888 8848888888888		9369 938 938 938 938	
f total in y test fo	Car- bohy- drate	Р 76 73 78 73 78 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 73 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74 74			
portion o ipplied t	Fat	81288 322222223232323 4 			
Pro	Pro- tein	P 82838888888888888888888888888888888888			8555588888888888888888888855555
intake logram weight	Gross energy	Cat.		4.2.2.5.4.5.2.2.2 4.2.2.5.4.5.2.2.2 4.2.2.5.6.2.2.2 8.2.5.6.2.2.2 8.2.5.6.2 8.2.5.6 7.2.5 7.2.5 7.2.5 7.2.5 7.2.5 7.2.5 7.2.5 7.2.5 7.2.5 7.2.5 7.2.5 7.2.5 7.2.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7.5 7	37.5
Daily per ki body	Pro- tein	0 m.		11111111111 11111111111111111111111111	
Subject	and weight	ARA RANKA KANA KANA KANA KANA KANA KANA	4 <i>v</i> .	1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 10	833888388456400 833888888888888888888888888888888888
	Diet	Ostmeal, cooked 20 min.; cream, sugar. Average daily intake: 44 g.m. protein, 2,200 gross calories. (1) attend, cooked 20 min.; cream, 1) attend, 200ked 20 min.; crean, 1) gm. protein, 1,860 gross calories. Rolled oatmeal, cooked 20 min.; take: 50 gm. protein, 2,830 gross 1; cream, sugar. Average daily in- take: 50 gm. protein, 2,830 gross 1; cream, sugar. Average daily in- take: 50 gm. protein, 2,530 gross 1; cream, sugar. Average daily in- take: 50 gm. protein, 2,530 gross 1; cream, sugar. Average daily in- take: 56 gm. protein, 2,530 gross 1; cream, sugar. Average daily intake: 76 fm. protein, 3,420 gross calories.	Oatmeal, cooked; bread, butter, {- milk.	Oatmeal ¹⁰ eaten as porridge or oat [] cakes made without fat; bramble jelly or sirup. Oatmeal provided 32.8 to 100 percent of the diet.	Oat cereal, cream, sugar. In some of experiments the cereal was eaten in simple mixed dist. Oat, corn, and ryo cereal; milt- cream mixture, sucres. Propor- tions of cereal and milt-cream mix- ture differed for the two experi- mental periods. A support of the two experi- nental periods. Our the two experi- tions of cereal and milt-cream mix- mental periods. Our the two experi- ont, corn, and rye cereal; sucross, A butter.
	Test food, description	GRAINS, GRAIN, FRODUCTS-Con. Oats, Oat Products (Areau stream). Meal.*granulated or Con. Meal.*granulated or Con. Meal.*granulated or Con. Dargrade mead. Meal.*granulated or Con. Meal.*granulated or Con. Meal.*melett with Preported Meal.* rolled (authors stand- germ left than pin-	. Meal (no further de- scription).	Meal, mixture of 2 kinds, coarsely ground 'pinbaad'' and me- dium-ground.	Oat products (oatmeal, rolled oats), faked and malted oats), ar- Ready-to-art cereal, er- ploded; mixture in- cluded 70 pct. oat flour, 20 pct. corn and rye flours.
1	all mott	ર્સ સંસ્	33	35	30 40

TABLE 23.—Apparent digestibility and available energy of foods of plant origin for human subjects-Continued

178			179	178		post jods v in ons, aily fed aalf- hor.
Subjects, 11 men, ages 17-31 yrs. See remarks, item 29. yrs. Test food taken ad [General: Test food taken ad	10. UNLEY LOUGE ACTOR AND A CUT AND A CUT AND A CUT AND A CUT A CU	See general remarks. Plan of 2d report 4 followed. N-balances for 14 subjects used in these 3 experi- ments were: 7 positive, averaging +0.9 gm. per day: 7 negative, werag- ing -1.0 gm. per day. Sistently in negative on- sistently in negative on- sistently in negative on- positive N-balance dur- ing the series of experi- ments.	See general remarks and plan of 2d report. ¹⁴ The 10 subjects used in the 2 experiments were all in positive N. balance, aver- aging +0.7 gm. per day.	See general remarks, item 42, and plan of 1st report 1s 80bjects H and S showed med alreadiree -1.5 and -1.2 subjectM, N-bal- auce was +0.3 gm. per day. See general remarks, item 42, and plan of 24 re- star and plan of 24 re-	pert	* preliminary period, 2 days id was divided into 2-day per shed rice were fed successively otatoes, burdock, exbbage, oni uce, and vinegar, supplying d ose), and 14 gm. fat, and 6h days polished rice was and 6h days polished rice was and 6h days polished rice was and 64 as bust in each experiment. It polished grade.
						ted 3 day tal peric d unpolit , pork, p ar, soysa d as gluc 2 d, 5th, 2 d, 5th, 2 d, 5th, 2 d, 5th, 2 d, 5 th, 2 d, 6 th, 2 d, 6 th, 2 d, 6 th, 2 d, 7 th,
						ys includ xperimenu shed, ann mackerel led), sug; (expresse (expresse (on lst e of polisi was comj s also hea
	96.8 97.1 97.1	∞ ∞ ∞ ∞ ∞ ∞ ∞ ∞ ∞ ∞ ∞ ∞ ∞ ∞ ∞ ∞ ∞ ∞ ∞	80000000000000000000000000000000000000	66886 6668688 444060 414446		i of 13 da The cent polici cent polici fuki (boi bydrate s 6 days cent grade shed rice
	77.9 76.3 74.5	ૣ ૡૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢૢ	88888899999999999999999999999999999999	881.7 891.7 77.8 7.7 7.8 7.8 7.8 7.8 7.8 7.8 7.8	2222 2222 2222 2222 2222 2222 2222 2222 2222	tal period al period al period al roriod me, fish in curd, eriod wa of a differ and in so
1 66. 0	68.3 74.1 65.2 69.2	82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 82 8	88888888888888888888888888888888888888	8888888 892888888 892888888888888888888	88888888888888888888888888888888888888	: The tot i: The tot if-polishe ste, waka ste, waka lied), beso tien, 81 if total p lays rice o olished, o position,
	29	67 64	63	71	70	st report -day exp -day exp bean pax and pick 7 gm. pick and 4th d ercent p ercent p that com
	75	7 7	73	22	80	Plan of 1 od, and 8 hich poll ixed dict. Included on (raw alories, 4 Plan of 2 e on 3d 2 hed, 70-r fable 24.
	22	36	43	20	25	a mit berge 64aik 64aik 64aik 84aik 84aik 84aik 94aik
8	33	33 43	45	47	4 44	м <u>п</u> е о • •
						of diet a ed proteij diele to b ounted t sardines in, 63 gm
						mainder of digeste ors of art men am an curd, m. protei
						ls in regram y authout y authout y soybe ss, 43 gr
A.	HNS A	$\underbrace{\mathbb{H}}_{\mathbb{X} \otimes \mathbb{H}} \underbrace{\mathbb{H}}_{\mathbb{X} \otimes \mathbb{H}} \underbrace{\mathbb{H}}_{\mathbb{H}} \underbrace{\mathbb{H}} \underbrace{\mathbb{H}}_{\mathbb{H}} \underbrace{\mathbb{H}}_{\mathbb{H}} \underbrace{\mathbb{H}}_{\mathbb{H}} \underbrace{\mathbb{H}} \underbrace{\mathbb{H}}_{\mathbb{H}} \underbrace{\mathbb{H}} \underbrace{\mathbb{H}} \underbrace{\mathbb{H}} \underbrace{\mathbb{H}} \underbrace{\mathbb{H}} \underbrace{\mathbb{H}}_{\mathbb{H}} \underbrace{\mathbb{H}} $	<u>ний уктий</u>			for food ries per lered b cotal fo ontons calorie
Oat, corn, and rye cereal; cream buter, jetue, raw tomatoes with seeds removed, applesauce, orang marmaled or jam, ooffee, tes, car, bonated beversge. Vitamin and mineral supplements.	Boiled rice eaten in mixed diet." Average daily intake: 63 gm. pro- tein, 1,570 calories.	Boiled rice eaten in mixed diet. ¹ Average dally intako: 77 gm. pro tein, 1,630 calories. Boiled rice eaten in mixed diet. ¹ Average dally intake: 33 gm. pro tein, 1,970 calories. Boiled rice eaten in mixed diet. ¹ Average dally intake: 80 gm. pro tein, 1,850 calories.	Dolled frie gaten in mixed uter. Average daily intake: 85 gm. pro- tein, 1.790 calories. Dango (rice and water formed inta balls, 50-60 gm. weight, and cooked in water) eaten in a mixed diet. ¹⁰ Average daily intake: 93 gm. protein, 2,050 calories.	Boiled rice eaten in mixed diet. ¹ Average danly intake: 81 gm. pro tein, 2,270 calories. Boiled rice eaten in mixed diet. ¹ Average daily intake: 87 gm. pro tein, 2,250 calories.	Boiled rice eaten in mixed diet. ¹ Average daily intake: 34 gm. pro tein, 2,150 calories. Boiled rice eaten in mixed diet. ¹ Average daily intake: 79 gm. pro tein, 2,070 calories.	ata, using coefficients of digestibility 1 ata allowing a urinary loss of 1.25 calor estimated for "products" were consid ata for N-free-extract and crude fiber. This for N-free extract and crude fiber ata for N-free and products to the ata for the varial products, potatoes, data and vheegr. fat.
41 Ready-to-eat cereal, exploded, Mixture of 75 poided, Mixture of 75 pet. ground oats, 20 pet. corn and rye fours, 5 pet. saft sugar, oil, mineral safts, and vitamins. Rice. Rice Were broducts	42 Unpolisher, arten routures (Oryta satita): Shonai. Husk re- noved, but outer layer and germ re- tained.		43 Unpolished, powdered, Shonal quality No. 3.	 Half-polished, 3d grade Shonai. Partally milled, half of tally milled, half of outer layer and germ retained. 		 Calculated from authors' dis shown in table 13, p. 25. Coefficients of dimma authors' dia a schown in table 13, p. 25. Coefficients of digestibility applicable to these thems. Calculated from author's da of Calculated from author's da of Calculated from author's da of a schown of costs and mediu un Included bean paste, tano, drind fash powder, sorgance, sulu

.0N	Reference							
	Remarks	See general remarks, item 42, and pailare Port. ¹³ Dally N-Balance	Tor 14 was - 1.5 gm.; for M, +1.1 gm.; for S, -0.3 gm. See general remarks, item	per list plant plant and list sub- placts were in positive N-balance, averaging +1.4 periment showed negative N-balances of -0.4 and N-balances of -0.4 and	-1.5 gm. per day, respec- tively. See general remarks, item 2.3 and plan of 15 report 3	-0.2; for M, +1.3; for N, -0.2; for M, +1.3; for S, See general remarks, item 42, and plan of 2d report. ¹⁴ The 5 publects in this ac- periment were in positive Polance, averaging +1.1 grn. per day.	See general remarks, item 42, and plan of 2d report. ¹⁴ The 5 subjects in this ex- periment were in positive N-balance, veraging +1.4 gru. per day	(See general remarks, item 42, and plan of 2d report. ¹⁴ The 4 subjects in this ex- periment were in positive N-balance, averaging +0.4 gm. per day.
Por- tion of	gross energy avail- able	Pct.						
ligesti-	Energy	Pct.						
pparent (test food	Car- bohy- drate	Pct. 99.1 99.2	99999999999999999999999999999999999999	66666666666666666666666666666666666666	99999999999999999999999999999999999999	2.066 2.0666 2.066 2.0666 2.066 2.066 2.066 2.066 2.066 2.066 2.066 2.066 2.06	666 666 666 666 666 666 666 666 666 66	99.6 99.7 99.7 99.7 99.7
cient of a bility of	Fat	Pct. 83.5 84.4	80.0 83.4 83.4 80.0 80.0 80.0 80.0 80.0 80.0 80.0 80	88888888888888888888888888888888888888	21.9 21.9 21.9 21.9 21.9 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0 21.0	91.6 85.5 87.1 88.1 88.1 88.1	80.588.599 90.588.699 90.588.699 90.588.699 90.588.699 90.588.699 90.588.699 90.589 90.599 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900 900	88.5 87.3 87.3 87.3 87.3 87.3 87.3 87.3 87.3
Coeffi	Pro- tein	$Pa_{6.9}$ 76.9 81.6 83.1	83.2 83.2 83.2 83.2 83.2 83.2 83.2 83.2	88888888888888888888888888888888888888	88888888888888888888888888888888888888	8888888 85.647 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 700 7	83.1 1 87.2 87.3 87.3 87.3 87.3 87.3 87.3 87.3 87.3	888.83 44.2 84.2 88.8 88.8 88.8 88.8 88.8 8 8 7 8 8 8 8
ıtake od	Gross energy	Pct.	42	12	72	74		72
of total in oy test fo	Car- bohy- drate	Pct.	5	8	83	88 48	5	83 83
portion c	Fat	Pct.	27	20	21	15		14
Pro	Pro- tein	Pa.	¢	40	4	44		39
intake logram weight	Gross energy	Cal.						
Daily per kij body	Pro- tein	дт.						
Subject	and weight	H M S		्रेत्त्वस्तुष्ठ्रस्र् हिर्मस्र	м Ж Щ ⁴ 4 К К Ц	$\begin{bmatrix} A_{B} \\ \Pi \\ $	E E E E E E E E E E E E E	HX SET
	Diet	Bolled rice eaten in mlxed diet. ¹¹ Average daily intake: 84 gm. pro- tein, 2,610 calories.	Boiled rice eaten in mixed diet. ¹³ Average dally intake: 88 gm. pro- tein, 2,510 calories.	Boiled rice eaten in mixed diet. ¹³ Average daily intake: 79 gm. pro- tein, 2,230 calories.	Boiled rice eaten in mixed diet. ¹³ Average daily intake: 81 gm. pro- tein, 2,310 calories. Boiled rice eaten in mixed diet. ¹¹ Average daily intake: 76 gm. pro- tein. 2500 calories.	Boiled rice eaten in mixed diet. ¹³ Average daily intake: 81 gm. pro- tein, 2,560 calories.	Bolled rice eaten in mixed diet. ¹³ Average daily intake: 82 gm. pro- tein, 2,500 calories.	Bolled rice eaten in mixed diet. ¹⁴ A verage daily intake: 78 gm. pro- tein, 2,360 calories.
	Test food, description	FRODUCTS-Con. PRODUCTS-Con. Rice. Rice Products (<i>Oryza satisa</i>)-Con. 5 70-percent polished, 3d grade Shonai. 30 pct. of outer layer and			Polished, 3d grade Sboan, Germalmost entirely rubbad off in	n illing.		
1	11 ····	ফ			4			

TABLE 23.—Apparent digestibility and available energy of foods of plant origin for human subjects—Continued

ă İ	Average daily intake: 83 gm. pro-	W							X					-
	tain 9.250 valoriae	; E							82.0	87.5	9 . 0			
		Ч. Ав.			43	29	82	72	81.7	0.000	80.5			
		≻≯				-	-		83.8	87.3	99.6			
Ř	oiled rice eaten in mixed diet. ¹³	i i Zer							85.3	87.8	99.6			
	A Verage dally intake: 79 gm, pro- 1 tein, 2.120 calories.							-	87.4	89.8	98. 7 00 6			
		Av.			40	28	- 62	69	86.6	1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2				
<u>Ř</u>	oiled rice eaten in mixed diet. ¹³	E E							85. J	90. 2 16	00. 40 00. 40			
	A Verage dally intake: 88 gm. pro-	Ē							81.6	88.5	66.7			
					46	98	84	/4	84. 2 0 4. 2	91. 4	99. 4			
*	tice gruei eaten in mixed diet. ¹³ Average dailv intake: 60 gm. pro-	ž							81.6	92.1	60.7 00.7			
	tein, 1,050 calories.	Av.			22	19	48	38	80.6	90.9	99.2		See general remarks, item 42.	
									86.9 66.3	91.2	866 800		and plan of 2d report.14	
Á	oiled rice eaten in mixed diet.13	- 00							86.1	91. 1 91. 1	66. Z		Boiled polished rice was used in 8 experiments of the	
	A verage dauy intake: 94 gm. pro-	, E					-		85.4	89.0	66.7		series reported, and 37 sub-	_
	1 2 2 2 1 COTO 102.	Kat			- 02	34	86	44	85. 8	90.5	2 66		jects were used. 24 were	
		Kay			3	5	3	:	86.2	94.9	99.7		Averaging +1.3 gm per	_
	nyra (yos gm. rice, su gm. pean paste, boiled in 400 cc. water to	, I							84.4	92.8	88. 2 00 4		day. 13 subjects were in	
	obtain 3,860 gm. ojiya) eaten in	¦ ∞∈							82.6	017.0	98. 5 I		negative balance, averag-	
	mixed diet. ¹³ Average daily in-	Kat							81.9	91.0	99.4		subjects on rice gruel diet	
	HAKE: 10 BILL. PLOVELL, 1,400 CALOFIES.				35	29	99	55	83. 7	92.4	5 00		showed negative balance,	
1		¦ ZF							29.9	90. 1 90. 1	- 2-66		averaging -3.6 gm. per	
£	Solled rice eaten in mixed diet. ¹³	і і • н							83.1	91.6	99.7		I diet were in negative N-	
	A verage daily intake: 91 gm. pro-	100							83.3	90.8	66 8 66		balance, averaging -1.6	_
	10101 7,000 COLO 1000.				- 07	26	96	- 44	80.7	89.0	80.00		gm. per day. 4 subjects on	
		 [V]			4 8	30	90		84. 6	92. 9			"'sushi" diet were in posi-	
<i>π</i>	uShi (Fice Cooked in Usual way with a salt surger and vineger added)	: : :E-:							83.0	92.6	66 8 8 8 8 8 8		+1.3 gm. per day. Sub-	
	eaten in mixed diet. ¹³ Average	: I	-						85.0	92.7	8.66		ject N in slightly negative	
	daily intake: 93 gm. protein, 2,990	LT.							82.4	80.0 0.0	8.66		N-Dalance, -0.03 gm. per	
	calories.	Av.			49	38	86	78	84.2	92.1	80.00		anogohan" diet in nega-	
		¦ ≖∈		-					4.10	6.06	9.66		tive N-balance, averaging	
£	Solled rice eaten in mixed diet. ¹³ A verage daily intake: 87 gm pro-	: : -							82.8	89.8			i ect H in positive N-bal-	
	tein, 2,580 calories.	- Se			-	-			84.7	0.06	. 7 . 66		ance, +0.3 gm. per day.	_
		A_{D}^{1}			45	31	84	75	82.1	90. 2 0	66. 7			
V	vkanogohan (1,171 gm. rice cooked	н							90.6	0.96	99.8	_		
	with 1,600 cc. water and 400 cc.	: : :							81.3	91.0	99.7			
	heans by someezing thromeh cloth)	:							83.6	61.0 0.10	66			_
	eaten in mixed diet.13 Average	26					-		20.0	91.9	- 905			
	daily intake: 87 gm. protein, 2,300	-4e.			46	29	81	72	84.7	92.2	. 10 66			
	Calot 163.	S S							86.1	93. 5	99.7			
Ð	3oiled rice eaten in mixed diet.13	: 1					-		83.8	90.3 20.3	- 98.9			
	Average daily intake: 89 gm. pro-						-		20 C	90.0				
	1 2,000 Calol 163.	[<u>A</u> ⁰ . :			47	19	84	75	84.5	90.4	99.7			
		ਸ 			-		-		86.2	91.6	98.6			
щ	3oiled rice eaten in mixed diet.13	I 28			-				83. 7	91.3	99. 5			
	A verage dauly intake: so gui, pro- tein, 2.380 calories.	Tan Tan Tan					-		83.6	90. e	- 93.6			
		1. .4".	-		44	30	88	74	84.0	91.3	- 93.6			
" Y	"tern (norm and miniting) mototono on	1				13 [5	վ իսիսիս	toor acc	- on hor	no fich n	wider 1	naobaral nork .	ototoo hurdook anhhoao on	

20

¹¹ Included bean paste, wakarne, fish powder, maekerel, powk, potstores, bundock, rabbge, onions, daiken (raw and pickled), bean curd, (uki (boiled), sugar, soy sauce, and vinegar, supplying daity 656 calories, 47 gm, proteim 81 gm, ark, archatopytrate (expressed as glucose), and 14 gm, fak. The start of the days rise of a different grade of polishing was fed so that in each arperiment half-polished, 70-percent polished, or unpolished rice was compared with polished grade.

carobuptitate (expressed as glucoses), and 34 gm. fat. ¹⁰ Flan of 1st report: The lotal period of 13 days included 3 days preliminary period, 2 days postperiod, and 8 day experimental period. The experimental period was divided into 2 day periods in which polished, halr-polished, 70-percent polished, and unpolished rice were led successively in a mixed diet.

	.0 ^N 9	Referenc					167	144	03	67
		Remarks		See general remarks, item 42 and plan of 2d. report, ¹⁴ For diet containing "dango," subjects H and T in positive N-balance,+ OA and +0, subjects S, M, and Y in negative N- balance, -0,2, -0.3, and -0.7 cm ner day. respec-	trivity. Server and the server and t	very grant arrangery, re- port. ¹⁴ For dist contrin- ing "mochi" subjects A and T were in positive Nublance, -0.0 and K.in nega- tive N-balance, -0.1 and	-u.s.g.m. per day, respec- tively. Subjects, 3 men. Experi- mental period, 3 days. Al subjects in nositive	N-balance, averaging 1.0.5 gru, per day. 2.0.5 gru, per dical student, 22 priod, B Appermental priod, 3 days, Marker, meat, diet at beginning and milk at end of exper-	ment. N-Dalance,3.5 gun. per day. (Subjects, young men. Ex- perimencal period, 3 days. Marker, carmine for ex- perimental proid, lamp- hole for subsection rear-	Subjects, women. Experi- fsubjects, women. Experi- mental procedure as de- scribed for item 51.
Doniti	Por- tion of	energy avail- able		Pct.			8			
	digesti-	Energy		Pct.						
non long	pparent test food	Car- boby- drate		Pct. 99.7 99.8 99.8 99.5 99.5 99.5	99.6 99.6 99.5 99.5 99.6	99.6 99.8 99.6 99.7	38	99.1	100.0 100.0 100.0	100.0
	cient of a bility of	Fat		Pct 90.8 92.7 92.4 90.9	90.8 88.8 88.9 8.0 8 8.0 8 9.0 1	94.5 91.8 92.1 92.3				
	Coeffi	Pro- tein		Pct. 34.0 83.1 85.9 85.1 85.1	78.4 81.3 82.1 81.7 80.9	83.1 85.7 84.4 85.2 85.2	83	79.6		
0	ıtake od	Gross energy		Pct.	60	69	38			
•	of total ir oy test fo	Car- bohy- drate		Pct. 82	73	64	99	100		58
•	portion o	Fat		Pct. 29	22	25				
2	Pro	Pro- tein		Pct.	36	6 4	30	85		44
	/ intake ilogram weight	Gross energy		Cal.						
	Daily per k body	Pro- tein		Gm.				0.0		
	Subject	weight		жщание. 	5-4MC \$	5 a Mr. #	1 <i>v.</i>	73		TTM T
	Diat			Dango (mixture rice and water () formed into balls, 80-60 gm, weight, and cookted in water) esten in mixed diet. ¹³ Average daily intake: 83 gm. protein, 2,330 caiories.	Okowa (glutinous rice soaked 24 hr., 1 then steamed 45 min.) eaten in mixed digt. ¹³ Average daily in- take. 74 gm. protein, 1,660 cal.	Mochi (prepared like okowa, then [] pounded and rolled to 2 cm. in [] thickness) eaten in mixed due, ¹³ [] Average daily intake: 82 gm. pro- tein, 2,120 calories.	Rice, cottage cheese, sugar, milk	Boiled rice, a little fat and meat extract added. Average daily intake: 62 gm. protein, 74 gm. fat, 493 gm. carbohydrate.	Frozen pudding (raw rice starch, f milk oil, war sat, sak, favorne), H oranges, sugar, tea or coffee if H desired, Arvarege daily intake: E	$ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lim_{n \to \infty} \lim$
	Test food, description		GRAINS, GRAIN PRODUCTS-Con. Rice, Rice Products (07yza sativa)-Con.	Polished, powdered, Shonal, quality No. 3.	Polished, glutinous, Shonai, quality No. 3.		Polished* or white	Undescribed*	Starch	Starch
1	-01	V motI		41	48		40	20	15	52

TABLE 23.—Apparent digestibility and available energy of foods of plant origin for human subjects—Continued

2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2
× × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × × ×
16.9 16.0
79. 1 92. 74. 1 92. 77. 5 93. 5 53. 5 91. 51. 1 92. 53. 5 91. 51. 1 92.
4.1 4.1 0.5 5 91.1 93 5.6 91
9.5 9.1 9.1.1 9.3.3 9.1 9.6.3
51.1 39.3 45.5

daikon (raw and pickled), been curd, fuki (bolled), sugar, soysuce, and vincer, supplying daily 666 calories, 47 gm. protein, 81 gm. carbohydrate (expressed as glucose), and 14 gm. fat. w Plan of 24 report. Total period was 64 bays. On 1st, 24, 5th, and 6th days polished rice was fed while on 3d and 4th days rice of a different grade of polishing was fed so that in each experiment hal-polished, 70-percent polished, or unpolished rice was compared with polished grade.

bility of the flour with which be test hour, was sombled. In the flour with which the test hour was combined. In the floor is a solution the low fleure for digestibility may be due to the low. Woment of the rye flours used in this study. The percent fecal loss becomes proportionately large in relation to lower N make. •Indicates that composition, and in some cases also heat of combustion, was reported by author. See table 24.
	.0N 991	Referen		136		141	66	141			Do 1	
	Remarks			Details of experiment not given. Data from Pann- witz in (136, pp. 216-217).	See remarks, item 62.	See remarks, item 54 for details of experiment.	See remarks, item 61	See remarks, item 54 for de- tails of experiment.			Experimental method not given. Data from Pann- witz in (136, pp. 216-217).	
	Por- tion of gross	energy avail- able		Pct.								
	digesti-	Energy		Pet.								
	pparent of test food	Car- bohy- drate		Pct. 89.3 92.6	92.1 92.2 92.2	93.2 93.6		90.4 93.5	8.29.99.99.98 8.4.0.80 8.4.0.80 8.4.0.80 8.4.0.80	92.8 94.6 93.7 93.7	92.2 91.2	80. 0 82. 0
	ient of al bility of	Fat		Pet.								
	Coeffic	Pro- tein		Pct. 38.5 48.2	255.08 255.08 26.57 255.08	68.9 68.1	¹⁶ 55.6	65.4 69.5	200220000 2002200000 20022000000	57.5 57.5 62.2 62.0	61. 7 60. 1	49.2 58.3
•	take od	Gross energy		Pct.								
	f total in y test foc	Car- bohy- drate		Pct.								
	portion o	Fat		Pet.								
	Prol	Pro- tein		Pct.			24					
intaka	veight	Gross energy		Cal.								
Dail⊽	per ki	Pro- tein		Gm.		0.7 .7						
\$	Subject	weight		${egin{pmatrix} { m P}\ { m L}\ { m L}\ { m L}\ \cdots \end{array}}$	Reh	(Built) R 68 H 72	:	R 68 田 72	با ا ا ا ا ا طحم م	ven vB vB G	4.0	년 1
, , , ,	Diet			Bread made from the rye flour	Bread made from the rye flour, baked with yeast.	Rye bread (a good dark bread). Dally intake: 609 gm. fresh bread - (339 gm. dry weight). 2 liters beed -	Same diet as described in item 61	Rye bread (very dark). Daily in- take: 522 gm. fresh bread (311 gm dry weight).	Ordinary soldier bread made from the rye flour.	Bread made from the rye flour	Bread made from the rye flour {	Bread made from the rye flour {
	Test food. description		GRAINS, GRAIN PRODUCTS-Con.	Rye. Bye Products (Secale cereals)Con. 2 Flour, from peeled kermel, 84 pct. bran removed (4.9 pct. man removed (4.9 pct. mailling bran and 3.5	Pott. peeling bran). Coarsely ground. Flour, from peeled Magdeburg rys, 10.34 Pott. bran removed (7.76 pott. milling bran	Flour, * finely ground. Flour, * flours No. 6 and No. 18 blended in equal amounts. Ash,	Flow, "commonly used in Wurzburg; like item 75, except 4.5	pct. of four coarser than 0.2-mm. mesh steve. Ash, 1.24 pct. Flour,* flours 0 and III amounts. Ash, 1.20 pct.	Flour, from unpeeled kernal, 15 pct. bran removed. Coarsely grounde	Flour, from peeled ker- nel, 15 pct. bran removed (11.85 pct. milling bran and 315 poelta	Four, from unpeeled kernel, 84 pct. yield, 12.68 pct. bran re- moyed (10.94 pct.	mining bran and 1.74 pot. from point and 0.74 kernel). Finely Flour of th to 6th mill- ings of item 69 and comparable to last 10 pot. of yield (from 73.5 to 89 yel. of item
1	U,	V mott		9	9	ė	ö	96	67	68	69	2

66		141	66	136	141		66	lor.
Subjects, men, ages 26-33 yr. Experimental period, 2 days, preceded and fol.	lowed by 1 day on a milk and outsige cheese diet used as marker. Good separation of feces ob- tained. Author verified by experiment that meat by experiment that meat and butter in amounts consumed had no marked effect on quantity of feces.	See remarks, item 54. Sub- ject B had diarrhea on 2d day of bread diet (flour	No. 13). See remarks, item 71	Experimental method not given. Data from Pann- witz in (136, pp. 216-217).	See remarks, item 54. Sub- ieot S. had diarrhaa hut	differentiation of fleese was peord. Subject R in ex- periment on flour No. 10 had severe stormach ache and diarrhea on 2d day.	See remarks, item 71.	ugh). stion, was reported by the auth
								l leaven (sour do so heat of combu
		94.7		93.8 94.2 94.2	95.3 96.9 97.0	96.4 98.0 97.1 97.8	868 878	baked with me cases al
999	न क	. 5	ი ი	331		410 00	22.00.04	 riment was m, and in sc
18 52	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1		16 49	68	222 288 232 268 232 268 232 268 232 268 232 268 24 24 268 24 24 268 24 24 268 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24	28, 23	16 56.6 16 56.6	in this exper t compositio
								Bread used and a solution between the second
327	88		25					See II
	4 1		1 1					9 I
								 of the r3 on to lowe
					00400	1.	φ <u>ο</u>	ontent n relati
		90 85			68 87 72 887 72	68 67 80 67	22	ow N e
		a a	<u> </u>	<u>TAN</u>	R H S R H S R H S R H	<u> </u>	E C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	the lo ately]
Rye bread, meat, butter, beer. Daily inlake: 500 gm. crustless bread, 450 gm. meat, 45 gm. butter, and 34 liter beer.	Rye bread, meat, butter, beer. Daily indake: 500 gm. crustless bread, 450 gm. meat, 45 gm. butter, and <u>9</u> 5 liter beer.	Rye bread (dark). Daily intake: 667 gm. fresh bread (423 gm. dry weight). 2 liters beer. Rye bread (qutte dark). Daily in- Rake: 667 gm. fresh bread (344 gm.	dry weighő). 2 liters beer Rye bread, mæst, butter, beer Dally intake: 500 gm. erusthes bread, 450 gm. mæst, 45 gm. butter, 94 liter beer.	Bread made from the rye flour	Rye bread. Daily intake: 667 gm. fresh bread (406 gm. dry weight). 2 liters beer. Rye bread. Daily intake: 667 gm. fresh bread. (404 gm. dry weight). 2 liters beer.	Rye bread (good white color). Daily intake: 65 gran. fread (435 gru. dry weight). 2 litens ben. Rye bread (good white color). Daily intake: 65 gran. fread bread (414 gru. dry weicht). 2 litens head	Rro bread (very white and palat- able). Intake 667 am. fresh bread (416 gm. dry weight). 2 liters ber, Ryro bread (quite sour but weil leavened). Daily intake: 506 gm. bread, 450 gm. meat, 45 gm. butter, % liter beer, water ad fib.	 * figure for digestibility may be due to 9 percent fecal loss becomes proportion
Plour made by Stein- metz process from rye of Silesta. S2 per yeld, i.e., 12 per bran removed in mili- g, 3 per, 10sk in mili- 3 per, 10sk in mili- 3 per, 10sk in mili- 3 per, 10sk in mili- steiner of the set Rather of the set Bather of the set set of the manual set of the set	 Piouut, 2, bet. of flour coarser than 0.2. flour, pete. Ash, 1.18 pete. Ash, 1.18 pete. Ash, Process, of miling from Swiss rp. 72 peter. yield, i.e., 25 pet. Peter. Joss in grinding. Pet. Joss in grinding. Pet. loss in grinding. 	Flour No. 13, * 8th Flour No. 13, * 8th grinding of middings. Ash, 1.04 pct. Flour No. 12,* 7th Frinding of middings.	Ash, 0.96 pct. Flour,*commony used in Wurzburg, about 75 pct. yield. 2.5 pct. of flour coarser than 0.2-mm. mesh	Flour, 25 pct. bran re- moved. Finely ground.	Flour I*, commercial flour. Ash, 0.81 pct. Flour No. 10,* 5th grinding of middlings. Ash, 0.77 pct.	Flour No. 2,* 1st flour of the bran grinding. Ash, 0,73 pct. Flour No. 7,* 2d grind- ing of middlings.	Flour No. 6, 'ist grind- ling of mid dlings. A.Sh. 0.62 pcc. 'iold Proux,' made by ''old process' of milling from rye of Silesia. 62 pct. yield, i.e., bran and 3 pct, loss in bran and 3 pcf, loss in groundi, 22 prinely groundi, 23 prinely groundi, 23 pct. of	I mut. mest steve. a thi, 0.55 pct. a fin author's opinion the low ars used in this study. The intake.
2	72	73 74	75	76	77	79 80	82 82	Ng

305774-55----6

.0 ^N 9	ететел	:	141	Q	0		8	
	Remarks		tails of experiment.	Subiorts 4 students nre-	Durges, a source of the Experimental period, 1 wk. Experimental period 3 or 4 wk. Quantities of foods eaten kept constant. Marker, charcoal given	•	Subjects, young men. Ex- perimental period, 3 days, Preliminary and final per- iods omitted a fince ration was made up to resemble closely an ordinary mixted distin capsules. Stamples of bread anaryzed, but gelatin apsules. Bamples of presente and butter es- timated by ordinary costato.	with average values of large number of earlier analyses.
Por- tion of	energy avail- able	Pct.						
digesti-	Energy	Pct.						
pparent test food	Car- bohy- drate	Pct. 98.0 98.6	97.4 97.8				888,988,989,988,989,989,989,989,989,989	96.10 96.10 96.10 96.10 96.10 96.10 96.10 96.10 96.10 96.10 96.10 96.10 96.10 96.10 96.10 96.10 96.10 96.10 96.10 97.100
cient of a bility of	Fat	Pct.						
Coeffi	Pro- tein	Pct. 75.2 80.7	69. 2 69. 7	60 83 12 83 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12	5888385	200 113 123		42.0 59.0 82.5 82.5 82.5 82.5 82.5 82.5 82.5 82.5
take od	Gross energy	Pct.						
f total in y test fo	Car- bohy- drate	Pct.					<u>ଟିଡିବିବିକିଡିବିକି</u> କିକିଛି ଥି କିକିଛି	41,45 88,53 86,53 45,45 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14 14
portion o ipplied b	Fat	Pat.						
Prol	Pro- tein	Pct.					88888888888888888888888888888888888888	864748 844 844 844 844 844 844 844 844 844
intake logram weight	Gross energy	Cal.						
Daily per ki body	Pro- tein	Gm. 0.5	۰.4 4					
Subject	weight	(R (B 75	(R 68 (T 72	12 33 44 <i>Av</i> .	$ \{ \begin{matrix} 1\\3\\4\\4\\1 \end{matrix} \\ 1 \end{matrix} \\ 1 \end{matrix} $	$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 4\\ Av \end{vmatrix}$	DGG C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	RLS OES An DGG RLS CDGG An An
ţ	181 C	Rye bread (a very white bread). Daily intes: 667 pread (225 gm, dry weight). 2 liters	beer. Bye bread (white color). Daily intake: 667 gm. fresh bread (427 gm.dry weight). 2 litters beer.	Rye bran fed with diet of fruit, can- ned meat and fish, potatoes, bread, butter, cheese, turnips.	Diet same as for item 85	Diet same as for item 85	Feterita bread (recipe: 15 c. sor- ghum meal, 1% c. molasses, 1 c. lard, 1% qt. water, 3% tap sult, 3% tap soda, the water, 3% tap sult, 3% tap soda, the sulter, sugar. Average daily misler, butter, sugar. Average daily misler, and the sulter, sugar. Average daily misler, added for the sulter, sulter double boller, applesance, butter, in take: 44 gm. protein, 59 gm. fat, the double boller, applesance, butter, sult, added, baked in thin layer until meal, 3 c. water, 3 taps. lard, salt, meal, 3 c. water, 3 taps. lard, salt, mean, 3 c. water, 3 taps. salt, 3 taps. salt, a taps. aver, 3 taps. salt, a taps. aver, 3 taps.	ver age unary maaker we gur, pro- tein, 104 gur, fat, 355 gur, carbo- hydrate. Raffr mush cooked 3-4 hr. in double boller, applesauce, butter, sirup, Bugar. Average daily infake: 44 gur, protein, 66 gm. fat, 668 gm. carbohydrate.
	N UIIO1	GRAINS, GRAIN GRAINS, GRAIN FRODUCTS-Con. Rye. Rye Products (Secure cetals) Scale cetals) Flour O,* a commercial flour. Ash, 0.43 pct.	4 Flour No. 1,* 1st flour from whole-grain groats. Ash, 0.39 pct.	5 Branny portion,* frac- tion of 67-85 pct. ¹⁸	Branny portion, fraction of 67-95 pct. ¹⁸	7 Branny portion,* frac- tion of 85-95 pct. ¹⁸	Sorghum meals (Sorghum mugare): Taudyare): the retarial, bott. bran removed with a 16. mesh sieve, size commonly used in the home. Let home. Kaftr, 21 pct. bran re- moved with a 16. moved with a 16. mesh sieve, size commonly used in the home.	
۰.	·· ····	æ	80	80	õ	òo	žč Š	

	06	Soft starch type: Kaoliang, 5 pct. bran removed with a 16- mesh sieve, size commonly used in the home.	Kaoliang bread (see recipe, item 88), potatoes, applesauce, butter, sugar. Average daily intake: 49 gm. pro- tein, 128 gm. fat, 414 gm. carbo- hydrate.	DGG RLS DGG DGG AJH RLS DGG AJH RLS				222222222222				28.28 16.3 26.3 28 17.0 17.0 28 27.3 26 27.3 28 27.3 28 27.3 28 27.3 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 2		96.4 95.5 95.5 96.2 96.2 7 8 96.2 7 8 96.2 7 8 96.2 7 8 96.4 1 1 96.4 1 96.5 1 96.5 1 97.5 1 96.5 1 97.5 1 1 97.5 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
			Kaollang mush cooked 3 to 4 hr. in double boller, applesauce, butter, strup, sugar. Average daily in- take: 29 gm. protein, 71 gm. fat, 399 gm. carbohydrate.	AJH AJH AJH AJH AJH AJH AJH AJH AJH AJH				28588994488888 285889944888888 2858888888888888888888888888888		45) 45) 45) 45) 45) 45) 45) 45)		21.2 21.2 21.4 21.4 6.5 6.5		99999999999999999999999999999999999999	I I			
	16	Milo, 19 pct. bran re- moved with a 16- mesh sieve, size commonly used in the home.	Milo bread (see recipe, item 88), po- tatos, applesauce, butter, sugar. Average daily intake: 45 gm. pro- tein, 95 gm. fat, 406 gm. carbohy- drate. Milo mush cooked 3 to 4hr. in doubla boller, applesauce, butter, sirup, sugar. Average daily intake: 40	REP REP REP REP REP REP REP REP REP REP				58888888888888888888888888888888888888		\$6 \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$		443.5 443.5 443.5 443.2 22.2 23.2 23.2 23.2 23.2 2 2,2 3 2,2 2,2						
		Wheat, Wheat Products (<i>Triticum asstitum</i>). ¹⁹ Flour, whole-grain and n early whole grain:	ear provent, re gui, iat, 300 gui. carbohydrate.	[] []	72 1	90 70	1	42 42	6	38 38	47	34.4 34.6 81.0	67.8	97.7 97.7 88.1	85.2	81.8	Subjects, 3 men, 24-27 yr. 1 Experimental period, 2 daver malininarr mad of	164
	92	Graham,* 100-pct. ex- traction, milled from hard spring wheat, Scotch Fife.	Bread (made with yeast), milk	3 3. 4 <i>v</i> .	71 1-1-1	0 10 m	480	55 55 55	13 9 10	72 22	57 50 50	80.6 71.1 77.6	55.1 51.2 58.0	888.7 888.5 88.4 88.4 8	85.0 81.6 83.9	81.6 78.6 80.7	bread and milk. Marker, bread and milk. Marker, charcoal. Average N-bal- ance of 3 subjects, -2.0	
	63	Graham,*100-pct.ex- traction.Unbolded, contained coarse particles of bran. Milled from hard Spring wheat, Scotch Fife.	Bread (made of flour, yeast, salt, water), milk.	2 3 4 <i>a</i> .	000000 33309	80002 80002	100m00	45 45 47	~~~~~	76 73 74	52 44 49	81.1 81.5 82.8 82.8	41.2	90.9 91.4 91.5	87.7 88.9 90.3 89.0	85.19 85.1 85.1	(subjects, 3 young men. Ex- l'subjects, 3 young men. Ex- perimetal period, 4 days, preliminary meal of bread and milk. Marter, char- coal. A versage N-balance of 3 subjects, +1.2 gm. per	166
	94	Gratham, 100-pet, ex- traction. Unbolted, contained coarse particles of bran. Milled from hard spring wheat, Scotch Fife.a	Bread (made with yeast), milk, but- ter, sugar.	PHM JCT WBW <i>Av</i> .		2024 53555 53555	4200	66 74 72	14 12 12	81 85 82 82	55 55 52 55	81.0 81.2 81.5 81.5	72.1 75.1 73.7 73.6	91.5 90.2 91.5 91.1	86.6 84.5 85.6	82.33.34 82.33.34 82.733	^{ugy} . ^{ugy} . ^{ugy} . Subjects, young men. Ex- perimental period, 2 days. Marker, charcod, 2 days. Marker, charcod, taken with meal of milk preeed- mental period. Average N-balance of 3 subjects,	195
	95	Graham, 100-pct. ex- traction, milled from hard spring w h e a t b y 5 methods. ²¹ (a) Lab. roller mill	Basal ration of oranges, butter, su- gar, tea or coffee if destred, eaten with bread made from: Four (a). Daily intake: 36 gm. pro-						35	57		70.7		95.3			-0.6 gm. per day.	
		Dour. (b) Commercial roller mill flour. (c) Steel burr mill flour. (d) Attrition mill flour. (e) Stone burr mill flour.	Flour (b). Daily intake: 41 gm. strobhydrate. Flour (b). Daily intake: 41 gm. pro- tein, 413 gm. carbohydrate. Flour (c). Daily intake: 48 gm. pro- Flour (d). Daily intake: 39 gm. pro- tein, 571 gm. carbohydrate. Flour (d). Daily intake: 43 gm. pro- tein, 429 gm. carbohydrate.					92 93 93	32 34 28	63 64 64		70.4 78.5 74.5 78.2		93.8 95.3 95.4 96.8			Subjects, young men. Ex- perimental period, 3 days. Authors: customary ex- perimental procedure fol- lowed. 3-5 experiments made with each flour.	8
73	of the bow of the b A	Calculated from authors' dat. win in slabel 3, p. 25. The rye was milled to 67 perce agran. (Refers to original.) Applies to all wheat items si in are Triticum durum.	a using coefficients of digestibility for and and the branny fraction was obtaine grain with 5 percent loss from cleaning coept Semolina flours, item 164, 166, al	foods in ed from t s.) nd presu	remaind he remain mably it	er of die ning por ems 166	t as tion -171		²⁰ Groun In reference ²¹ Flour *Indica: See table	nd from ces (164) s (a) to (tes that 24.	same lot and (16 (e) name compos	s of when 3). d in ord ition, an	at and in er of coar d in son	same m seness o 1e cases	ll as thos f grind. also hea	e used b	y Snyder in experiments reported bustion, was reported by author	l. g

.0 ^N 93	Reference	168		166	96	193	20	5
	Remarks	Subjects, 3 young men. Ex- period starts and the starts Marker, lampblack. Au- thor's customary experi- mental procedure [0]- nowed. A yeage N bal.	ance of 3 subjects on Cre- gon wheat, -4.3 gm. per day. Subject 3 lost 3 lb. day. Subject 3 lost 3 lb. day. Bahance of 3 sub- jects on Oklahoma wheat, -1.2 gm. per day.	For experimental details see remarks, item 93. Aver- age N-balance of 3 sub- jects on Michigan wheat,	(1, 7), 6310, 1940, 433, 5, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10, 10	fed in stripple diets. Au- thors' customary experi- mental procedure followed. Subjects, young men. Ex perimental period, 2 days Marker, lampblack, fed with meal of milk which preceded and followed ex- perimental period. Sub- ject FHM in negative N- balance, averaging -5,1 gen, per day; other 8 sub- jects in positive N-bal- ance, averaging +97 gm.	Per day. See remarks, item 100. Average N-balance of 2 Subjects, -1.4 gm. per day.	Experimental period, 3 days. Matter, carmine taken at beginning of ex- perimental period, lamp- black with following per- lod on regular diet, 3-4 days.
Por- tion of	energy avail- able	Pat. Pat. 82.55 82.36 82.36	76. 1 86. 1 80. 6 80. 6	82. 7 83. 5 83. 5	0 27 20	80 80 80 80 44 47 73 52 44 80 80 54 46	85.1 84.3 84.7	
ligesti-	Energy	Pct. 832.5 83.0 83.0 9	80.0 84.6 84.7	855.8 84.9 86.6	x	888888 87.28 4.72	87.8 87.0 87.4	
parent c test food	Car- bohy- drate	Pct. 90.1 91.2 91.2	85.6 89.1 87.4 87.4	0,000 0,000000	964.4 44 4	8888 87.5 4 87.5 4 87.5 4	92.7 92.3 92.5	96.4 97.3 97.2 97.2
ient of al bility of	Fat	Pct.		7.0 76.8		51.0 45.0 45.0 45.0	83.9 84.6 84.2	
Coeffic	Pro- tein	Pct. Pct. 80.6 83.0 83.0	74.1 82.2 77.3 77.3	79.2 80.1	- 4. 4. 8. 4. 2. 2.	777.5 79.3 76.0	80.7 77.3 79.0	174.1 181.9 178.7 78.2
ake	Gross energy	Pct. 61 55 55	25982	22221	10	45 88 45 71	48 48 48	
total int r test foo	Car- bohy- drate	Pct. 81 77 76	79 75 79	75 75	ę	70 66 70 69 70	78 65 72	44 54 88 88
ortion of pplied by	Fat	Pct. 10 8 8	ちてすら	9999	D	840×80	16 14	33. (4) (2)
Prop	Pro- tein	Pct 559 539 53	52 52 52 52	49 49 49 49 49	2	62 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	59 54 54	46) 46) 47
intake ogram veight	Gross energy	Cal 45.5 29.9 39.9	55.0 321.8 432.1 432.1	61.3 59.8 64.9			63.5 60.7 62.1	
Daily per kil body v	Pro- tein	Gm. 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.1 1.0	ରୀ ମ ମ ମ ମ ଅଷ୍ୟର ଅଷ୍ୟର	0,040 0,040	9 4		1.6 1.4 1.5	
Subject	and weight	K_{Q} , K_{Q} , K_{Q} , M_{A}^{2} , $M_$	22 32 32 32 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 33 3	2 3 3 69 77 77 77 76 76	AP	CCWS	AJP 62 OWK 62 <i>Av.</i> 62	ATM CM RLP Av.
Ĩ	1960	Bread (made of flour, yeast, salt, and water), milk.	Bread (made of flour, yeast, salt, and water), milk.	Bread (made of flour, yeast, salt, and water), milk.	663 gm. bread (made of flour, yeast, salt, and water), oranges, butter, sugar, tea or coffee if desired.	Bread, milk. Average daily intake: 140 gm. protein, 3,750 gross cal- orles.	Bread, milk, butter, sugar	Frozen pudding (containing raw graham four, milk, oll, sugar, sait, flavoring), oranges, sugar, tea or coffee if destred. Average dally intake: 40 gm. protein, 2,086 calories.
Tast food Association	Holiou, uesu pour	GRAINS, GRAIN PRODUCTS-Con. Wheat (Triticum assi- ucts (Triticum assi- ucts) (Triticum assi- ucts) (Triticum assi- ucts) (Triticum assi- assi- triticum assi- triticum assi- nearly whole grain- Onthued meal. Un- bolted, contained contro, unpulver- ized particeles is d particeles in whice whice where	Graham, • practically wheat meal. Un- bolted, contained coarse, unpulver- ized particles. Milled from bard winter Weissenburg wheat from Okla- boma.	Graham, * milled from Michigan soft winter wheat.	Graham, 100-pct. ex- traction, milled in commercial mill from mixture of wheats. ³³	Graham	Graham	Graham*
•0	N mətl	96	LA A	86	66	001	101	102

63	127 155		110	101			ISAS Ince Jor.
for details of experiment, see remarks, item 88.	Subjects, 10 young men, on diets in which 80 pct, of protein was supplied by egg or head in alternate	Charooal marker taken with 1st meal of each per- loid. Urines analyzed for last 4 days of each period. Apparent digestibility of protein calculated from reference (12), using the approximate amounts of N from the several foods in diet as reported by authors. ³⁴ Digestibility of fat and carbobydrate authors. ³⁴ Digestibility of fat and carbobydrate period averaged -1.1 gm.	there is a propertion of the propertion of the propertion of the properties	by the statistical and and a statistical technical and and a part extraction, the statistical technical statistical technical statistical and fed in 3 separate periods to the 6 men in random order. Normal diet for 1 wk. between experiments.	worken, a there and a worken. Ages of men. BC, 33: RM, 48; FJ, 53 TT Ages of worken: AH, 21; DH, 25; CW, 22 TT. 11 days on experimential diet: 3 days networken the feet of days on experimential diet. 7 days preliming which feet and urine were collected, 12:30 hr. yottyperiod. Car- mine marker taken before ist meal of 7-day period and before 1st meal of postperiod. 3-day inter- versible the experiments	when subjects flook nor- meld diet. Complete di- gestibility assumed for other foods in diet. 5 of 6 subjects in positive bal- ance on diet with Canad- lan wheat and 5 subjects in negative N-balance on diet with English wheat. Author gave as probable reason that subjects had	to come to N-equilbrium. I t durum, and 5 percent Kan et. Authors made no allowa sf authors). ustion, was reported by auth
			2882.2883.37 2881.99 2	881799999999999999999999999999999999999			10 percen or total d from one to from one
			88888888888888888888888888888888888888	887.0 86.0 86.0	8,88,89,88,88 2,1,2,8,8,0,0,2 4,0,0,3,8,0,0,2,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0	888 30 35 1 888 8 89 5 1 89 5 1 89 5 80 5 80 5 80 5 80 5 80 5 80 5 80 5 80	ry spring ecal N fr mication s also hea
86.93 93,71 6,99 9,71 6,72 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	80. O	98.7	88888888888888888888888888888888888888	8888889 8738888 87388888 87388888 8738888888 87388888888			tly smutt ally was f al commu
		97.1	469 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05 6.05	44.02 7.07 7.07 7.05 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7.0 7 7.0 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	428888894 8682999 879068	55.55 55.55 55.55 55.54 55.54 55.54 55.54 55.54 55.54 55.55 55.54 55.555	ent slight but actus (persons and in sc
171.6 187.3 165.3 178.7	1.61	669. 669. 77. 77. 77. 77. 77. 77. 77. 77. 77. 7	88888888888888888888888888888888888888	88887.0 85.0 85.0 888888 85.0 88888 85.0 88888 85.0 8888 85.0 8888 85.0 8888 85.0 85.0	888887.11 888885.71 888533 89533 89533 89533 89533 89533 89533 89533 89533 89542 89555 89542 895555 895555 895555 895555 895555 895555 8955555 8955555 8955555555	72.0 77.1 7.7 8 7.7 8 8 7 7 7 7 8 8 0 7 7 7 8 0 7 7 7 8 0 7 7 7 8 0 7 7 7 7	, 25 pere ported, l ber foods osition, s
			92	74	944 944 944 974 974 974 974	988933 9491	vet chaff a wheats cal N" r m the ot hat comp
2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 200	DC DC	ଌଌଌଌଌଌଌଌଌ					rcent vel Oklahom Oklahom Bread fe cal N fro idicates t able 24.
			30	31	88888888888888888888888888888888888888	8888888	15 pe and 5 for fe * Ir * See t
888888888888888888888888888888888888888	8	ଌଌଌଌଌଌଌଌଌ	06	06	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	8888888 888888	- 8 5
					444969 7998 8428 8428 8664 8428 8664 8428 8664 8428 8664 8428 8458 8458 8458 8458 8458 8458 845	4.6.6.4.8 5.6.0.8 5.0.0.0 5.0.0 5.0.0 5.0.0 5.0.0 5.0.0 5.0.0 5.0.0 5.0.0 5.0.0 5.0.0 5.0.0 5.0 5	of diet a ed protein b. sprine
						0.80 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	nainder of digeste cent 58-1
DGG RLS OES RFT		RR 17HL 54 17PL 54 17PL 54 17PL 54 17PL 54 17PL 55 17PL 55 17PL 55 140. 70 40. 70 70 40. 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70 70	JSDB GWF JCDH JCDH TFM Ar JSDB	EIMED EIMED TFM Av.	(EQ AH PJH 466 PJH 666 PJH 666 AP AP S3 S3 S3 S3 S3 S3 S3 S3 S3 S3 S3 S3 S3	AH 46 DH 666 PJ 666 CW 52 CW 52 A ⁹ . 62 A ⁹ .	foods in re s per gram uis). 25 per
 Bread (recipe: 15 c. wheat meal, 1% c. molasses, 1 c. lard, 2 qts. water, 3% tap. sult, 3% tap. sult, 3% tap. sult, 3% tap. sub, 5 tap. gingen', potato, applesauce, butter, sugar. Average dally intake: 	earbohydrata. 99 gm. fat, 402 gm. carbohydrata.	- Bread (containting 5 pct, nonlast milk solids), butter, 4x cream, lettuce, french dressing, apple- suere, orange luce, corn strup, sugar, coffee. Average daily in- tastes 6 gr. N, 2914 calories. (Consumption by any subject varied less than 1 pct. for pro- byvirate. 80 pct. of the norein form egg or bread, 10 pct. from butter and cream, 10 pct. from butter and cream, 10 pct. from	Bread (1 oz. yeast, 1}4 oz. salt, 6 lb. flour), 37 gm. margarine, 72 gm. marmalade, 15 pb. mlk, 15 pt. beet, tea and coffe ad lib., 66 gm. scorbie acid. A Scharin for some of subjects. Average daily intake. 114 gm. protein, 61 gm. fat, 3,360 calories.	Dlet same as that described for item 105 (a). Average daily intake: 111 gm. protein, 61 gm. fat, 3,280 calories.	Bread (25 gm. fresh yeast and 25 gm. 1 Bread (25 gm. fresh yeast and 25 gm. 2 Br to each kg. Jour, baked in rectangular loaves), small amounts of golden sirup, bramble and mar- malade jelly, weak tea, wafer.	Diet same as described for item 106, except that bread was made from English wheat and baked in flat cakes.	lata using coefficients of digestibility for lata allowing a urinary loss of 1.25 calories verrage and soring dargely Marou
100-pct. extraction, coarsely ground. Part of bran re- moved with a 16- mesh sieve, size	commonly used in the home.	100-pct. extraction	100-pct. extraction: (a) Medium grind.	 (b) Fine grind, from same grist as (a) above. 	Whole meal, milled from Canadian wheat (high pro- tein).	Whole meal, milled from English wheat (low protein).	Calculated from authors' d Calculated from authors' d Calculated from authors' di bown in table 13, p. 25. Omitted by author from av Mixture contained 20 per
103	2	401 4	105		106	107	shov 2 (22 23 23

5,

.oN 9	Reference	58	146	127 155		164	166	195	168	
	Remarks	Subject A, a physician. Suc- cessive experimental pe- riods of (1) Sudys days with 16 oz. wbole meal eaten daily.	(2) 14 days with 20 o meal daily, (3) 7 days with 28 o meal daily. Subject 0 took 16-22 or meal daily foor 7-day period. Collec- tion of feces last 3 days. Subject, 1 uma. Experi- mental period, 3 days.	(Subjects, young men. 10 used for period on peeled wheat, item 110; 5 for pe-	tiod on item 111. For de- tails of experiment and note on calculations see remarks, item 104. Aver- age N-balance for subjects, -1.0 gm. per day.	For experimental details see remarks, item 92. 3 sub- jects in negative N-bal- ance, averaging -2.9 gm.	For experimental details, see remarks, item 93. 3 sub- jects in positive N-bal- ance, averaging +4.9 gm.	(For experimental details see remarks, item 94. Aver- age N-balance of 3 subjects, -1.3 gm. per day.	(For experimental details see remarks, item 96. Sub- jects 1 and 2 in positry N-balance, +0.3 and +2.7 gm.; subject 3 in negative N-balance, -1.7 gm. per	R day for experimental details see remarks, item 96. Sub- jects 1 and 2 in positive N-balance, +0.3 and +0.6; subject 3 in negative N- balance, -0.2 gm. per day.
Por- tion of	energy aγail- able	Pct.				84. 4 86. 1 86. 1 85. 5	89.1 90.0 89.4]	888.7 883.4 86.7	87.0 89.5 86.7 87.7	81.9 82.9 83.8 83.8
ligesti-	Energy	Pct.				87.6 89.6 88.9 88.9	93.0 94.3 93.7	91.9 86.4 91.2 89.8	88.9 91.6 88.6 89.7	85.6 90.6 87.6 87.6
pparent of test food	Car- bohy- drate	Pct.	92.6	99. I	98.6 98.5	93. 5 94. 6 94. 1 94. 1	96.2 96.5 96.2 96.2	97.0 94.5 96.0	94.03 94.05 94.05 94.05 94.05	92.0 90.0 90.5
tient of a bility of	Fat	Pct. 69.5 77.8	36.0 	97.1	97.1 97.0	55.6 48.4 55.8 55.8		78.6 61.6 78.4 72.9		
Coeffic	Pro- tein	Pct. 81.0 82.2	83.6 89.6 69.5	1 73.0	1 74. 5 1 76. 3	78. 1 83. 9 79. 1 80. 4	86.5 888 86.2 888	81.9 78.7 81.8 80.8	66.9 77.5 68.9 71.1	75.7 84.4 78.7 79.6
take	Gross energy	Pet. 100	100			45 47 49	56 48 51	60 49 55	4 5 58 54 54	54 55 51
f total in y test foo	Car- bohy- drate	P_{ct}^{ct} . 100	100	50	20	70 72 73	80 74 76	83 86 83 83 83	73 82 79	76 76 73
pplied b	Fat	Pct. 100	27			10 ⁸⁸ 8	8999	16 11 12	တကကတ	~~~~~
Proj su	Pro- tein	Pct. 100	100	80	88	45 45 45	42 42 45	75 75 76	31 33	50 50 50 50 50
intake logram weight	Gross energy	Cal.				29.9 29.9 29.8 29.8	52.5 58.0 59.6 59.6	48.1 62.6 50.5	32.1 40.4 33.3 33.3	56.8 37.5 45.7
Daily per kil body	Pro- tein	Gm 1.0 1.2	1.2				04990 04960	1.9	1.1.5 1.05 30 30 30	2.3 1.7 1.8
Subject	and weight	A Kg. 55 54	D 04	aV	Av	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 72 \\ 2 & 64 \\ 3 & 77 \\ A^{p}. & 71 \end{bmatrix}$	(1 2 3 4v. 73 4v. 73	PEM 82 JCT 54 WBW 66 <i>Av.</i> 67	1 2 3 4 ² 72 72 75 75	1 2 3 <i>Av.</i> 73 <i>Av.</i> 73
	1)IG	Cakes or porridge made of whole- th-or meal and water: 16-or meal eaten daily	25-03. meal eaten daily as 16-22-03. meal eaten daily as cakes or porridge, olive oil. Bread, beer. Average daily intake of bread, 900 gm.	Diet and daily intake as described for item 104.	Diet and daily intake as described for item 104. (a) Bread (with ordinary yeast) (b) Bread (with nigh vitamin yeast).	Bread, milk	Bread (made of flour, yeast, salt, and water), milk.	Bread (made with yeast), milk, butter, sugar.	Bread (made of flour, yeast, salt, and water), milk.	Bread (made of flour, yeast, salt, and water), milk.
Theat food domainstead	T est tood, description	GRAINS, GRAIN PRODUCTSCon. Wheat, Wheat Prod- ucts (Triticum assit- fours, whole grain- Continued Whole meal,* ground by subject.	100-pct, of wheat ker- net* ''wheat meal	"Peeled wheat," only thin epidermis of wheat berry re-	"Peeled wheat," only thin epidermis of wheat berry re- moved. Flours, intermediate ez-	"Enactions: "Entire wheat," * part of bran re- moved Milled from bard spring wheat, Scotch File.	"Entire wheat,"* part of bran removed. Milled from hard spring wheat, Scotch File.	"Entire wheat," * part of bran removed. Milled from hard spring wheat, Scotch Fife 20	"Entire wheat,"" larger portion of coarse bran re- moved, shorts and germ retained. Milled from Oregon white winter wheat.	"Entire wheat," * 86- pct. of w heat. Milled from hard winter Weissenburg wheat from Okla- homa.
.0	N mətl	108	109	110	111	112	113	114	115	16

166		193	6 G F	20 21	192	106	133	192	86	96	e H .
(Experimental period, 3 days. For other details of experi- ment see remarks, item 38. All 3 subjects in positive N-balance averaging +5.8 gm. per day.	In this experiment all 3 sub- jects in positive N-balance, averaging +2.8 gm. per, day.	(For experimental details see remarks, item 100. Aver- age N-balance for 3 sub- jects, +7.0 gm. per day.	Subject AJF in positive N-balance, +0.1 gm. per day; OWK in negative N- balance, -1.1 gm. per day.	mental period. 3 days. 4 meals daily. Marker, car- bon. Average N-balance of 2 subjects, -4.8 gm. per	Subjects, 4 male research students. Experimental period, 3 days.	Subjects, 2 men, 4 women. Experiment in 4 parts in which were tested flours of 90 and 80 pct, extrac- tion from both English and Manitoba wheats. Propertod, 7-day rest, period a postpe- tiod. Fees collected in test period and of postpe- riod. Fees collected in test period and of postpe- riod. Fees collected in test period between ap- pearances of carmine. Ex- pearances of carmine.	For experimental details see remarks, item 121. Aver- age N-balance1.7 Fm.	L per day. For experimental details see remarks, item 122.	Subjects, men of military age. Preliminary period of 1 wk. on mixed diet fol- lowed by 1-wk. period with bread replacing half of mixed diet. Marker, carmine. Fores and food	analyzed in weekly periods. For experimental details see remarks, item 99.	Instituted the sole source of N. M. fish, butter, margarine, bao porridge, furnishing about 7.1 g bustion, was reported by auth
88.2 82.5 84.2 84.2	87.9 86.8 89.4 88.0	87.1 91.6 90.0 89.6	89.3 90.0 89.6								t flour o ded mes rice and t of com
90.2 87.9 88.1 88.1	91.3 90.0 92.7 91.3	90.4 93.6 93.2	[93.0] 93.8 93.4								the whee iod inclu ounts of 1 also hea
0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000	92. 2 93. 2 92. 9	96.0 97.9 96.9	97.3 98.0 97.6							98.5	purposes nary per mall am me cases
76.0	71.1	48.4 11.6	84.0 88.2 86.1								practical l predimit les, and s ay. and in so
89.5 84.9 84.6 84.6	86.8 82.8 87.4 85.7	79.2 88.6 86.3 84.7	88.8 90.4 89.6	72.8 80.7 76.8	1 77.6	88888888888888888888888888888888888888	78.9 81.9 80.4	181.4	87.6 89.9 89.5 89.4	87.1	for all r in the vegetabless per diss per di
52 52 52	22 22 23 23 23 23	52 52 51 52 52	46 44		62	828032888823888		62			tted that diet eato otatoes, 50 calorie at compo
77 77 78 78	77 76 77	76 75 76	74 67 70								thors sta thors sta milk, p milk, p i and 1,8 icates th ble 24.
1011	00 00 00 00	4000	10 ¹								²⁶ Au ²⁶ Th ²⁶ Th ²⁶ Cheese, proteir * Ind See tal
46 46 46 60 50 94	46 46 46 46	47 45 46	80.59	78 78 78	- 84	28 1000 2 28 1000 2 28 1000 2 28 2000 2	77 77 87		78 75 76 80 80		
71.8 66.4 69.8 69.8	61.8 62.3 67.9 64.0		64. 1 64. 4 64. 2			404440440444644484 00277600667717546 02777702780000					f diet as reported ring, 15 rlaboma
ひううう ちちち	0,014.00 0,010,00		1.6 1.5	1.3 1.3		01000010111111 010000101111111	0 8 8 9 1 1 1		1.12011		ainder o riments 1 58-lb. sp s and Ol
74 75 72 72	76 76 73 73	0 0 0 0	К 62 61 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62	12 22 22		8082222408082224 1 0	282 72 82		89928499 8488449 8488444		in rem in expe 5 pct. Kansa
10 33 38 40.	$\underbrace{\{ \begin{matrix} 1\\ 2\\ Av. \end{matrix} \} }_{Av.}$	CW PFJ AB	$\left\{ \begin{matrix} AJF\\ OW\\ Av. \end{matrix} \right\}$	$\{ IV \\ Av. \}$		MU BAC BAC BAC BAC BAC BAC BAC BAC BAC BAC	$\stackrel{\Pi}{\stackrel{\Pi}{}}$		12 48 48.		r foods Inyder uis), 2 5 pct.
Bread (made of flour, yeast, salt, and water), milk.	Bread (made of flour, yeast, salt, and water), milk.	Bread, milk. Average daily intake: 159 gm. protein, 4,190 gross calories.	Bread, milk, butter, sugar	750-800 gm. bread, 600 cc. milk, 30 gm. filtered butterfat, 20 gm. sugar.	Bread, milk, butter, sugar. Aver- age daily intake: 81 gm. protein, 3,100 calories.	Wheat flour made largely into bread; some made into pastries and eakes. Butter, bacon fait, bran- cakes. Butter, bacon fait, bran- tes or water if dastred. 30 mg. as- corbic acid taken daily if remem- bered. Diet same as described for item 123.	Bread, milk, filtered butterfat, sug- ar. For amounts, see item 121.	Bread, milk, butter, sugar, Aver- see daily intake: 82 gm. protein and 3,020 calories.	One-half of the mixed diet of the pre- liminary period ³ with bread (made of the National wheat meal) eaten in unrestricted amounts, jam. Avenge daily intake: 3,450 calories.	472 gm. bread (made of flour, yeast, salt, and water), oranges, butter, sugar, tea or coffee if desired.	ta using coefficients of digestibility for teat and in same mill as those used by § choice hard spring (largely Marq ly smutty spring, 10 pct. durum, and
"Buttre wheat," * a small portion of the bran removed. Had characteristics of a finely pulver- ized graham four- milled from Indiana soft winter wheat	"Entire wheat," * milled from Michi- gan soft winter wheat.	"Entire wheat"	"Entire wheat".	92 pct. of the wheat, coarse brown.	92 pct. of the wheat; 8 pct. of branny part removed. Milled from English wheat.	90-pct. extraction, from English wheat. Reconsti- tuted for this ex- periment by mem- bers of Cereals Re- search Station, St. Albans, England. 90-pct. extracton, from Maritto ba wheat. Reconsti- tuted by members of Cereals Research Station, St. Al- bans.	88 pct. of the wheat	88 pct. of the wheat, 12 pct. branny part removed. Milled from English wheat.	85-pct. extraction, "National wheat meal."	85-pct. extraction (patent, 1st and 2d clear, red dog, and shorts).23	Dalculated from authors' da win in table 13, p. 25, dynund from same lots of wi ferences (164) and (166). Mixture contained 20 pct. weivet chaff, 25 pct. slight ats.
117	118	119	120	121	122	123	125	126	127	128	shov shov in re sa
											• •

.0N 90	Reference	138		133	106	138	192
-	Remarks	Subjects, men, ages 22-37 yr. Flours described in this item and items 133 ords 143 tested in the fol-	Nutry of the function fu	Subjects, men Experimen- tal period, 1 wk, with 4 treaks daily in which "standard," meal bread "standard," meal bread "standard," meal bread ing experiment of 1 wk, which parent flour was test food. Marker, car- bon. N-balance of sub- ject, 1, -0.6 gun; other 3 subjects in regative N-bal- ance, averaging -1.3 gun.	For details of experiment, see remarks, item 123.	For details of experiment, see remarks, item 129.	Subjects, 4 male research students. Experimental period, 7 days.
Por- tion of	energy avail- able	Pct.					
digesti-	Energy	Pct.					
pparent (test food	Car- bohy- drate	Pct.					
cient of a bility of	Fat	Pct.					
Coeffic	Pro- tein	Pet.	76.7 855.1 835.0 835.0 81.6 81.6 81.6	88 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 8	4 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	*0.000 40.000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.000000	1 86.8
take	Gross energy	Pct.	46	88888 8888 8988 8988 8988 8988 8988 89	88884888888888888888888888888888888888	46	64
f total in y test foc	Car- bohy- drate	Pct.	62			62	
pplied b	Fat	Pct.					
Prol	Pro- tein	Pct.	45	72 72 75 75	26 100	45	76
intake ogram weight	Gross energy	Cal.	48.5	4.24.4.75.4 4.2.24.4.28 4.000.4	847444888447444484 864478888447444484 881687886911196	48. 5	
Daily per kil body	Pro- tein	Gm.	1.7	80011 HHHH	800800000000000000 	1.7	
Subject	and weight	Kg.		1 11 11 11 11 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	AC C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C C	A A A B B B B B B B B B B B B B	
	Diet		(560 gm. bread made from 85-pct. ex- traction flour, fed in a mixed dist of mest, vegetables, paste, rice, lard, cheese, butter, sugar, cafe au lait, and wine.	750-800 gm. bread, 600 cc. milk, 30 gm. filtered butterfat, 20 gm. sug- ar.	Wheat flour made largely into bread; some made into pastries and cakes. Butter, baconf at, bram- ble and marmalade jelly, weak tea ble and marmalade jelly, weak tea bic acid taken daily if remen- bered. Diet same as described for item 131	(560 gm. bread made from 80-pct. ex- traction flut, fed in a mixed dist of meat, vegetables, paste, rice, lard, cheese, butter, sugar, cafe au lait, and wine.	Bread, milk, butter, sugar. Aver- age daily intake: 69 gm. protein, 3,390 calories.
The food a second	1 est lood, description	GRAINS, GRAIN PRODUCTS-Con. Wheat. Wheat Prod- ucts (Triticum aesti- rum)-Continued Flours, intermediate ex- tractions-Continued	85-pct. extraction	"Standard" meal, about 20 pct. of bran removed.	80-pct. extraction,* milled commercial- ly from English wheat. 80-pct. extraction,* milled commer- coally from Mani- toba wheat.	80-pct. extraction	(80-pct. extraction), 20 pct. of branny portion removed. Milled from Eng- lish wheat.
	N mətI		129	130	131	133	134

164	166	CH1	168		166		N. bor.
For experimental details, see remarks item 92. Averge N-balance for 4 subjects on 1st diet2.7 gm. per day; for 3 subjects on full ration, +4.9 gm. and on 3% ration, +2.1 gm. and an 3 averged -8.0 gm. subject 2, +1.9 gm. per day.	For details of experiment see remarks, item 93, 3 subjects in positive N-bal- anoe, averaging +3.7 gm. per day.	For details of experiment see remarks, item 94 subjects PHM and WBW in negative N-balance averaging -0.9 gm; sub- balance, -0.9 gm; sub- balance, -0.9 gm; pau- parance, -0.9 gm, per day. Subjects on balf ration in negative N-bal- ance, averaging -4.3 gm	Provident and the second se	per day. Fron tark (item 139), subjects 1 and 2 averaged -1.6 gm. and subject 3, +1.3 gm. per day.	Experimental period, 3 days. For other details of experiment see remarks, item 93. All subjects in positive A'Dalance, aver- aging 44.7 gm. per day	+3.7 gm. on diet (item 141).	constituted the sole source of mbustion, was reported by auti
8293995158000 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010 2010	90.9 91.4 90.3 90.9	94. 2 91. 2 92. 9 92. 9 92. 9 92. 9 85. 1	94. 8 94. 0 95. 0	90.7 93.3 92.1	90.4 90.4 4 4 4	93.4 94.1 94.2	leat flour eat of co:
	94.5 94.5 94.5 94.9	97. 9 94. 8 97. 0 96. 6 88. 2 88. 2 88. 2 88. 2	96.9 98.5 95.9 97.1	94.8 97.5 96.3	-1 2 Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q Q	97. 3 97. 4 97. 7	s the wb es also h
6997,20 47,79999,00 47,79999,00 47,79999,00 44,400,047,709 44,400,047,70 44,400,047,70 44,700,047,70 44,700,047,700,000,000,000,000,000,000,0	97. 9 97. 0 97. 7	999998822 98822 988217 96841 96841 96841 96841 9694 9694 9694 9694 9694 9694 9694 96	98.9 98.9 98.2 98.2	96.7 98.2 97.7	999999 99999 99999 99999	97. 6 988. 3 98. 0 98. 0	purpose ome case
66.5 51.3 56.4 70 0		50.09 50.09 50.09 50.09 50.09 50.09 50.09 50.09 50.09 50.09 50.09 50.00 50.00 50.00 50.00 50.00 50.00 50.00 50.00 50.00 50.00 50.00 50.50 50.50 50.50 50.50 50.50 50.50 50.50 50.50 50.50 50.50 50.50 50.50 50.50 50.50 50.50 50.50 50.50 50 50.50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 5			62.1 64.4 63.2		practical and in s
0.1133888902238889023888902301 521133758889022388890238888902301 521113388888908888890231	888 91.14 88.3 88.3 88.5 88.5 8 8.5 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	94. 7 91. 2 95. 5 93. 8 85. 2 81. 2 81. 2	85.6 89.4 79.8 84.9	90. 2 91. 9 90. 9	94. 2 89. 4 88. 9 9. 9	93. 0 94. 4 90. 9 92. 8	at for all position,
28648248266868484828	84444 8644 86	2882332898	20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 2	57 61 60 60	22 29 21 22 29 21 22 22 22 22	52 54 52 52	tated th hat com
782588838373335282	123	82883333	78 84 82	77 80 79	77 81 80 80	76 79 78	uthors s dicates t ble 24.
PP0404944444444444444444444444444444444	1 00 1	900800 108100 108100 1000	5110	1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900 1900	45555	ლ N ლ ლ	25 A *In See ts
å ¤ 4 88899488888888888	44444 4444 4444	2089280	34 41 39 39	55 52 54 52 54	42 48 46 46	44 45 43	
42222222222222222222222222222222222222	48. 0 57. 2 56. 6 56. 6	28.9 28.9 28.9 28.9 28.9 28.9 28.9 28.9	44. 4 52. 8 41. 2 46. 1	55. 0 43. 2 46. 7	71.6 62.5 64.4 64.4	60 58.8 60.4 80.4	if diet as reported
011119900011111111	りまてき	4.6.2.6.402	1.1	2.2 1.7 1.9	ଡର=ର ପର୍ଯ୍ୟର	5011	asinder o eriments
24222688826862826622	75 69 71	1 80 1 80 53 66 53 66 53 66 53 7 66 53 1 66 53 53 1 66 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 53 55 53 53	79 69 73	79 71 73	73 74 72 72	75 75 69 73	in ren in exp
A CONTRACTOR A CON	32 32 32 32	PHI JCT WBV WBV WBV MBV JCT JCT	$\underbrace{\overset{3}{4^{\nu}}}_{A^{\nu}}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 2\\ A\nu. \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{3}{A_{n}^{2}}$	2 3 <i>Av.</i>	foods
	salt,	milk, milk, ttion.	salt,	salt,	salt,	salt,	ity for d by S
no no	yeast,	ast), ast), half re	yeast,	yeast,	yeast,	yeast,	estibil se use
ll rati	lour,	th yes	lour,	lour,	lour,	lour,	of dig as the
0k, fu 11k, h 11k, h	k. of f	e wit sugau e wit suga	of f milk	of f milk	of f milk	, milk	ients e mill
nd m nd m nd m	(made), mil	(mad r, and (mad r, and	(made rater)	(made rater)	(made rater)	(made rater)	coeffic n sam
Bread a Bread a Bread a Bread a	Bread	Bread butte Bread butte	Bread and w	Bread and w	Bread and w	Bread and v	a using at and i
Flours, lower extrac- standard patent,* included 1st and 2d patents, about 72.6 pct. of the screened wheat. Miled by roller process from hard spring wh eat. Scotch File.	Straight patent* (or standard patent) included 1st and 2d patents and 1st clear. About 72 pct. of the wheat Milled from hard s pring wheat, Sorch File.	Straight patent, in- cluded 1st and 2d patents and 1st clear. 72 pct. of the w heat t. From same lot of wheat as item 135.2	Standard patent* or straight grade, sbout 70 pct, of the wheat. Milled from Orgon white	Randard patent* or Standard patent* or straight grade. About70 pct. of the wheat. Milled from hard wheat from Oklahorra	Standard patent* or straight grade. Largely straight flour with some lower grades and a little germ. Milled from Indi-	Standard patent or straight. Con- tained somewhat wheat kernel. Wiled from Mich- igan soft wither wheat.	salculated from author's dat: 7n in table 13, p. 25. Fround from same lots of whe ferences (164) and (166) .
135	136	137	138	139	140	141	¹ C show ²⁰ (In rei

.0N 90	Referen		96		138	110	146	164	170		<u>100</u>
ericone D	remarks		For details of experiment		For details of experiment see remarks, item 129.	For details of experiment see remarks, item 105.	Subject, 1 man. Experi- mental period, 3 days.	(For details of experiment see remarks, item 92. N- balance of subject 4 on 1st patent flour was +1.8 gm, and on 2d patent flour.	 -3.1 gm. per day. (Subject, 22-yrold man. Experimental period, 2 days. Marker, charcoal. 	Author's usual laboratory procedure followed. Sub- ject in positive N-balance in both experiments, aver- aging +0.6 gm. per day.	(SUDJOCK, 4 men. EXPET- SUDJOCK, 4 men. EXPET- meals periday. Marker, earbon. SUBJOLT IN posi- tive N-balance, 40.8 gm. per day; others in nega- tive N-balance, averag- ing -1.9 gm. per day.
Por- tion of gross	energy avail- able		Pct.			2 92. 3 2 92. 0 2 91. 1 2 91. 1 2 91. 1 91. 7		92.8 93.5			
igesti-	Energy		Pct.			99999999999999999999999999999999999999		96.4 97.1			
parent d test food	Car- bohy- drate		Pct. 99.9			99999999999999999999999999999999999999	97.4	98.0 98.7	1 96.8	1 96.8	
ient of ar bility of 1	Fat		Pct.			79.4 79.4 76.7 80.6 82.3 80.6	37.2		1 93.0	1 91.5	
Coeffici	Pro- tein		Pct. 90.1		827.24 82.29 82.29 82.29 82.29 82.29 82.29 82.29 82.29 80.20	94.1 92.0 92.3 92.3 92.3 90.5 91.1	75.4	90.5 91.4	1 86.9	1 88.0	89.5 89.5 89.3
take od	Gross energy		Pct.		46	75		41 46			666665 646
f total in y test foc	Car- bohy- drate		Pct.		62			68	100	100	
pplied b	Fat		Pct.			33		т т	16	13	
Prof	Pro- tein		Pct.		45	06		35 40	64	67	75 75 76
intake ogram veight	Gross energy		Cal.		46.3 51.6 51.6 51.6 45.4 38.5 5.7 48.5 5.7			35.0			52.2 30.4 40.1 46.2 46.2
Daily per kil body	Pro- tein		Gm.		4960000 11111111			1.3	1.3	1.4	1003 111111
Subject	and weight		Kg.		4 ² . 65 61 651	SDB 3WF CDH CDH CDH CDH CDH CDH CDH		72 71	- 72	- 75	$^{11}_{10}$
	Diet		564 gm. bread (made of flour, yeast,	sau, and water, or anges, outoo, sugar, tea or coffee if desired.	550 gm.*bread made from 75-pct. extraction flour, fed in a mixed diet of meat, vegetables, paste, f rice, lard, cheese, butter, sugar, I cafe au lait, and wine.	Diet same as described for item 105. Average daily intake: 110 gm. pro- tein, 56 gm. fat, 3,410 calories.	Bread and beer. Daily intake: 880 1 gm. bread.	Bread and milk4 Bread and milk4	Bread, eggs, butter	Bread, eggs, butter	760-800 gm. bread, 600 cc. milk, 30 [1 gm. filtered butterfat, 20 gm. [1 sugar.
Access of the second se	Test lood, description	GRAINS, GRAIN PRODUCTS-Con.	Wheat, Wheat Prod- ucts (Triticum aesti- ucts) -Continued Flours, lower extrac- tions-Continued Standard patent.	Included patent, Included patent, Ist clear, and small portion of 2d clear. Milled from wheat	75-pot. extraction	Straight run, 73-pct. extraction. From same grist as item 105.	70 pct. of wheat ker- nel.* Middle-grade four. Milded from mixture of Girka and Minnesota wheats	1st patent,* milled from a hard spring wheat, Scotch Fife.	Patent,* milled from]	wheat, South File. Patent, baker's light grade.* Milled from Scotch File.	Patent, a high-grade '
.0	oV mojI		142		143	144	145	146	148	149	150

26	F.	48		192	96	193		120	s so hor.
For details of experiment, see remarks, item 102.	For each test food, used 4 or 5 subjects (young wonnen) and 7-13 experimental per- riods. Experimental peri- od continued until N od continued until N od thun urine was rea- sonaby contexts for 3 or more days. Average length experimental period, 7.6 days. Fees collected for period of 3-5 days. Marker, either Fe ₀ , or doses.	Subjects, young men. Ex- perimental period, 3-4 days. 3 experiments for each food with follow-	B exceptions. Frequencies, experiments, Testuan, experiments asymptotic Results summarized from experiments at Minn. Agr. Expt. Sta. by Suyder, and experiment on pierust at Off Home Science, USDA. Experiment an methods used in the 2 aboratories used in the 3 aboratories used in the 4 aboratories	Subjects, 4 male research students. Experimental period, 7 days.	For details of experiment, see remarks, item 99.	For details of experiment see remarks, item 100. Average N-balance of 4 subjects6.7 gm. per day on bread and beef tea diet, -0.9 gm. on bread and find mik diet, -0.8 gm. on diet (item 157). Sub-	ject OWK on diet (ttem 158), -3.4 gm. per day.	Subjects, young men. Ex- perimental period, 6 days; also see remarks, item 14.	ow since the protein intake wa mbustion, was reported by aut
						92.2 91.2 99.0 99.0 99.0 94.2 2 1 2	88.3 91.5 91.6 91.6 91.7 94.7		iven. unduly lo eat of co
						99999999999999999999999999999999999999	91.9 95.2 98.9 98.7	94.0	sription g bly was 1. es also h
0001100		97.4 98.1 97.7 96.8	900.20 900.20	99.5 98.0	99. 7	89999998889999999999999999999999999999	98.2 98.2 98.1 98.5 98.5 98.5 98.5 98.5 98.5 98.5 98.5	98.9	ther desc presuma expected some cas
						82.3 65.6 67.5 67.2 67.2 63.1 867.2 63.1 867.8 80.9 89.9	45.0 89.6 78.9 99.1		. No fur his value lid not be , and in
1 69.0 1 91.2 1 85.6 81.9	81.6		86.92 86.92 86.92 86.92 86.92 87 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	²⁹ 76.9 88.2 1 89.8	87.7	90.9 88.2000 94.58 88.2000 94.58 88.2000 94.58 88.2000 94.58 94.58 94.58 94.58 94.58 94.58 94.58 94.58 94.58 94.58 94.58 95.58 96.59 96.58 96.59 96.59 96.58 96.59 96.50	87.2 92.1 91.5 97.7	85.6	eported. I the diet author th scults cou sposition
				65		2100) 5125565770			diet not i cluded ir ig to the scurate re that con
52 444 49					1	(100) 877 777 745 745 745 745 745 745	71969		Type of Bread in Accordin all that ad Indicates table 24.
0000									S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
<u>80</u> 22						(10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10) (10)			et g, in as
						35.2 21.3 38.4 38.4 78.0	2,56,92,00 2,26,92,00000000000000000000000000000000		der of di ted prote on. Ib. sprin
						1			1 remain of diges in additic rcent 58- d 5 perce
$\left \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{ATM} \\ \operatorname{CM} \\ \operatorname{BLP} \\ \operatorname{Av} \\ \operatorname{Av} \end{array} \right $						HBS 0 (HBS 0 (HBS 0 (HBS 0 (HBS 0 (A) (HBS 0 (HBS 0 (H	BRM 7 AJP OWK 6 OWK 6 OWK 6		or foods in s per gram d peaches iis), 25 pe lurum, an
Frozen pudding (containing raw patent flour, milk, oni sugar, salt, flavoring), oranges, sugar, tea or coffee if desired. Average daily intake: 44 gm, protein, 2,060 cal-	Test food with basal diet of sugar- corristatorbard cookies, sucrose, lactose, fondant, jelly, butterfat, lemon juice, applesauce, lettuce, french dressing.	Baking powder biscuits " Yeast breads: Currant buns " Rolls " Biscuits "	Uniger states "	Piecrust ²⁷ Pretzels ³⁸ Bread, milk, butter, sugar. Daily Intake: 70 gm. protein, 3,220 cal- ortes.	600 gm. bread (made of flour, yeast, salt, and water), oranges, butter, sugar, tea or coffee if desired.	Bread and a small amount of beel tea Average daily intake: 43 gm. protein, 1,620 gross calories. Bread and milk. Average daily intake: 108 gm. protein, 3,020 gross calories.	Bread, milk, butter, sugar Bread, milk, butter, sugar. Par- tially lasting.	Bread (made with water), eaten in simple and mixed diets.	ata, using coefficients of digestibility for ta allowing a urinary loss of 1.25 calories (or butter; mixed diet, meat and cannec ent choice hard spring dargely Marqu ent slightly smutty spring, 10 percent d
Patent*	Patent, enriched. Contained 11.7 pct. protein.	Patent		Patent, milled from a blend of English and foreign	W neats. Patent, 54-pct. ex- traction. Milled from a wheat mix- ture 2	White flour, (presum- ably a patent).	White flour (presum- ably a patent). White flour (presum- able a patent).	White flour (presum- able a patent).	Calculated from authors' di Calculated from authors' di Calculated from authors' da Calculated from authors' da Simple diet, milk, sugar audi Simple diet, milk, sugar audi Mitture contained 20 perce revent velver diarf, 25 perce Oklaborna wheats.
151	152	153		154	155	156	157 158	159	1 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23

.0N 90	Referen			127 155		146	168			144			:		168
c, c	Remarks			Subjects, 10 young men. For details of experiment and note on calculations see remarks, item 104. Aver- age N-balance for the 3 pe-	riods was -1.0 gm. per day.	Subject, man. Experimental period 3 days.	For details of experiment see remarks, item 96. For diets (item 164) subject 1 in 1st experiment and	subject 3 in 2.00 exper- ment in negative N-bal- ance, averaging -2.0 gm. per day. In the other trials, subjects were in nositive N-balance aver-	aging +1.7 gm. For diet (item 165) subjects 1 and 2 averaged +2.8 gm. per day, subject 3, -3.9 gm.	Bubject, 43-yrold man. Ex-	eacu ou macatoui nooue diets and 3 days on spaetzel diet. N-balances for the 3 periods: -7.0, +2.2 and -4.4 cm. per.	day. Surhiant 48-urr old farmar	Experimental period, 3 days with 6 meals. Feces	l trom 2d day used for analyses.	 Subjects, 3 men. Study by E. Capelletti, in (168, pp. 56, 77, 78).
Por- tion of gross	energy avail- able		Pat.				93. 9 91. 7 92. 4	93.5 93.1 92.3 93.0	91.6 91.7 [90.0] 91.1						
ligesti-	Energy		Pct. 99.7	99.8	99.8		97.2 95.0 94.9 95.7	96.7 96.5 95.4	94.4 95.2 92.8 94.1						
parent d test food	Car- bohy- drate		Pct. 97.4	97.0	97.2	98.9	98.4 97.3 97.4	97.8 97.4 97.7 97.6	97.3 97.1 97.1 97.1	98.8	97.7	, 98.4 07.5	> 6 	96.3	97.4
ient of ar bility of	Fat		Pct.			55.3			56.2	94.3	93.0	87.6	> 6	80.7 80.7	87.9
Coeffic	Pro- tein		Pct. 1 81.1	1 78.6	1 80.4	79.3	89. 8 86. 3 86. 3 88. 6	87.5 91.3 83.7 87.5	82.0 88.9 82.0 84.3	82.9	88 88 8	31 79.5 01 2		80.8	86.9
ake d	Gross energy		Pct. 29	29	29		55 55 56	52 52 52	60 62 63 61 63 63 63 63 63 63 63 64 64 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65 65						
total int 7 test foo	Car- bohy- drate		Pct.	50	50		77 88 84 80	76 79 79	88888	100	100	100			
ortion of pplied by	Fat		Pct.				4800	ଡ଼୳ଡ଼ଋ	မမမမ						
Prop su	Pro- tein		Pct. 80	80	80		44 55 49	44 44 49 44 44	54 54 52 53	100	100	100			
ntake ogram veight	Gross energy		Cal.				38 53.38 6.6 9 6 9 7 9 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	44.9 50.1 47.6 47.5	30.2 30.5 28.5 29.7						
Daily i per kile body v	Pro- tein		Gm.				1.3 1.7 1.6 1.6	1.6 1.7 1.7 1.7	1.0 1.0 1.0	æ.	1.7	6.			
Subject	weight		Kg.		-	Q	1 79 2 81 3 64 <i>Av.</i> 75	1 79 2 81 3 64 4v. 75	$\begin{array}{c} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4v. \end{array}$	D 74	D 74	D 74			Ap
	Tiet		A lean white bread, No. 1 from a -	contraction source, search in duet as de- scribed for item 104. Bread (made with 5 pct, nonfat milk - solids and high-vitamin yeast) eaten in dief as described for	A lean white bread, No. 2 from a - chain store, eaten in diet as de-	Bread and beer. Average daily in- take: 900 gm, bread.	Macaroni and bread (both made from semolina) 1:1, with milk.	Macaroni and bread (both made from semolina) 2:1, with milk.	Macaroni and milk	Macaroni noodles cooked in salted water. Fat added. Daily in- take: 62 gm. protein, 72 gm. fat,	462 gm. carbohydrate. Macaroni moodles cooked in salted water. Fat added. Daily in- take: 139 gm. protein, 73 gm. fat,	Spaetzels. ³⁰ Daily intake: 68 gm. protein, 558 gm. carbohydrates.	Anne wattra a tit Dasn i US BU	Macaroni used in a thick soup ³²	Macaroni used in a thick soup ³²
- - -	Test lood, description	GRAINS, GRAIN PRODUCTS-Con.	Wheat, Wheat Prod- ucts (Triticum aesti- num)-Continued Flours lower extrac- tions-Continued White flour (presum-	abiy a patent). White flour (presum- abiy a patent).	White flour (presum- ably a patent).	30 pct. of the wheat kernel,* milled from mxture of Odessa, California, and English wheats.	Flours, orner, Semolina.* Included Semolina.* Included all the flour and middlings except dark-colored break flour, i.e., 1st, 2d,	and coarse mid- ding flours, and break flour. Milled from durum wheat, Kubanka.	Semolina, * milled from Kubanka wheat as described for item 164.	Flour, used in making pastes.	Flour, gluten added; used in making pastes.	Flour, used in mak- ing pastes.	Flour, used in make- ing pastes. Best quality flour.	Flour, used in mak- ing pastes.	Flour used in mak- ing pastes. From Aurum wheat.
•	oN mətl		160	161	162	163	164		165	166	167	168	169	170	171

~

164	168		129	8	40	88	129		rors 101.
For details of experiment see remarks, item 92. Subject 1 in negative N-balance, -3.6 gm. per day. Subjects 2 and 3 in positive N-balance, aver-	aging +-arguing to the set of the	gm, subjects 1 and 3 in positive N-balance, aver- sting +2.1 gm, per day. Subject 1 on germ flour duct in negative N-bal- ance, -0.1 gm; subjects 2 ance, averaging +3.6 gm. per day.	Subjects, 10 young men. For details of experiment and note on calculations - see remarks, item 32. Average N-balance, -0.9 gm. per day.	Subjects, 3 men. For de- tails of experiment see re- marks, item 28. Average N-balance, -1.2 gm. per	day. Subjets, 11 men. For de- tails of experiment and note on calculations see remarks, item 29, Sub- jetts in negative N-bal- jetts in negative N-bal-	Subjects, 3 men. For de- tails of experiment see remarks, item 28. Aver- age N-balance, -0.7 gm.	per day. Subjects, 10 young men. For details of experiment	Average remarks, licen 32, see remarks, licen 32, Average N-balance per day: for period of test food, item 179, -0.6 gm; item 180, -1.0 gm.	small amounts to introduce er sustion, was reported by auth
92.9 92.9 93.0	86.3 86.8 89.7 87.6	81.738 81.738 81.738							e in too of coml
96. 2 95. 5 95. 7 95. 7	90.2 93.9 91.6	94.8 956.8 95.9 95.8							hey wer ilso heat
98.0 97.9 97.8 97.9	93.0 94.3 93.4 93.4	97.0 97.9 97.6 97.6							ssumed t acaroni. 1e cases a
									t given ;a bility of n nd in son
88.6 832.4 84.1 84.1	883. 90.0442 85.9	87.6 91.1 90.0	1 68.2	1 74.4 1 73.9 1 73.8 1 73.8	1 64.8	1 72.8 1 77.4 1 76.9 75.7	1 58.6	, 68.2 -	i soup no e digestil osition, a
62 58 57 57	444 46 46 46	5545							dients of ace in th at compo
81 81 81 81	74 80 77	4220							her ingre significa icates thu
	ৰ 1 0 ৰ ৰ	∽∞∞∞							ar Ot of any *Ind See tal
460 466	684 64 63 64 63 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 64 66 66 66 66 66	4 <u>7</u> 2 2 2 2 4 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	- 282	1001100	8	00000	- 28		-
41. 0 39. 2 4. 4 4. 4 4. 4	49. 39.1 42.4	51.8 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50.0 50	41.3	37.5	45.0	37.5	41.3	41.3	diet as ve into
1111	2.0 1.6 1.7	80 80 80	Q	<u></u>	2		Ω.	.5	ainder of holed sie
2222	83 70 75	82 69 74	12	80 80 80 80 80	12	86 75 80	12	12	in rem large-
$\begin{bmatrix}1\\3\\Au.\end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1\\ 2\\ 4\\ 4\\ \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix}1\\3\\A^{p}.\end{bmatrix}$.4v.	GSK GSK Av.	Av.	GR GS Av.	Av.	Av.	foods ugh a
Bread and milk, "two-thirds ration".	Bread (made of flour, yeast, salt, and water), milk.	Bread (made of flour, yeast, salt and water), milk.	Wheat flakes, fruits, cream, jettuce, offee or beer. 1 virtamin B-com- plex tablet. Average intake: 2,800 aclottes, 5,84 gm. N. 78 pct. of N from wheat flakes. 11 pct. from cream and butter, 11 pct. from	Vrenaumig rous. Wheat flakes, sucrose or butter. (Butter not eaten by AF, sucrose not eaten by OK and GS.)	Wheat flakes in diet as described for item 29.	Wheat meal cooked with water and salt, 1 br. at 15 1b. pressure, sucrose, butter. (Butter not eaten by AF.)	Wheat meal, cooked; fruits, cream, lettucs, codiee or beer. I vitamin B-complex tablet. Average in- take, see diet, item 175.	Diet and intake same as described for them 179; except average N-in- take, 6.04 gm.	ta, using coefficients of digestibility for our, water, milk, and eggs, forced thro and dimed.
Flour mixtures: Mixture of 80 pct. standard patentfiour and 20 pct. wheat starch. Similar to many low-protein flours on market.	"Bran flour,"*a mix- ture of 88 pct. straight-grade flour straight pct. very finely ground bran funutiling). Milled from than winter	Wetsenburg wheat. "Germ flour."" a mixture of 39 pct. straight-grade flour and 7 pct. finely ground germ multing. Milled from hard winter Wetseburg wheat. Wheat breakfast foods.	Flatked. * Whole- grain, 80.5 pct; cansugar, 7 pct; salt, 3 pct; mait sirup, 0.5 pct; steam - cooked	Flaked	Flaked, whole- grain, 89.5 pct; caustgar, Pct; salt, 3 pct; malt sirup, 0.5 pct; steam-coo ked	Meal, from hard red spring wheat.	Meal,* some of bran removed, granu- lated and toasted.	Meal, coarser parts of bran removed. 5 pct. added w heat germ. Finely granu- lated.	Calculated from authors' da own in table 13, p. 25. • Stiff paste prepared from fit ding water, cooked quickty, a 1 Value andies to strontester.
172	173	174	175	176	177	178	175	180	boi 3

weer, cooked quickay, and dramed. ³¹ Yalue applies to spactzels; no correction made by author for digestibility of egg and milk in the spactzels.

.0N 6	Reference		128	126	88	129	62	119	168	119	155	129
	Remarks		Experimental period, 4 days, preceded by Aay period in which mJk motentore	Diaced cereal protein. Charceal and carmine markers used alternately for the 2 periods. Details of experiment not given. Authors repor- ted "coefficient of nrill.	zation" of protein 87.2 per, not included in this table since not clear if value is for true or ap- parent digestibility. (Subjects, 3 men. For de- tables of experiment see re- marks, item 28. Average	N-balance, -2.2 gm. per day. Subjects, 10 young men. For details of experiment and note on calculations see remarks, item 32. Av-	erage N-balance, -1.3 gm. per day. (Subjects, young men. Ex- perimental period, 4 days. Marker, lampblack given before 1st and atter last meal of movind	3 experiments	For details of experiment see remarks, item 96. All sub- jects in negative N-bal- ance, averaged -2.9 gm. per day.	3 experiments	Subjects, 10 young men. For details of experiment and note on calculations see remarks, item 104. Average N-balance for shreadded wheat meriod	1.4 gm. per day. . Subjects, 10 men. For de- tails of experiment and note on calculations see remarks, item 32. Aver- age N-balance, -0.9 gm.
Por- tion of	gross energy avail- able		Pct.				² 89.3 2 90.4 90.6		80.2 81.9 80.5 80.5			
ligesti-	Energy		Pet.				1 91.3 1 92.6 1 94.4 92.8	90.7	82.8 82.1 84.4 83.1	- 84.1		
pparent d test food	Car- bohy- drate		Pct.	96			94.8 94.8 94.8		888888 89.09888 89.09888		97.6	
cient of al	Fat		Pct.						76.2 73.0 62.5 70.6	,	95.7	
Coeffic	Pro- tein		Pct. 8 56 8 54	677 858 61	1 74.6 1 73.6	¹ 70. 7 73. 0 1 62. 6	171.5 175.0 176.0 74.2	85.0	70.8 76.1 72.2 73.0	- 57.7	1 62.9	1 58.8
take od	Gross energy		Pa.				43 39 43 68 43 68 43 68 43 68 43 68 43 68 43 68 43 68 43 68 43 68 46 68 46 68 46 68 46 68 46 68 46 68 46 68 46 68 46 68 46 68 46 68 46 68 46 68 46 68 46 68 46 68 46 68 46 68 46 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68 68		8888			
f total in y test fo	Car- bohy- drate		Pct.				65 68 68 68		****			
portion o Ipplied b	Fat		Pct.				ю м ю		====			
Proj	Pro- tein		Pct.	8888 8	1100	100 78	788 78 78		67 67 67		80	78
intake logram weight	Gross energy		Cal.			37.5 41.3			20.03 33.03 20.03			41.3
Daily per ki body	Pro- tein		<i>行御</i> . 0.4	ਹਾਹ ਜ ਜ	۲ <u>۲</u>				9.1.8.1.			<u>م</u>
Subject	and weight		Kg. 02	4 <i>v</i> . 77	AF 0K 78	40. 71 40. 71 40. 71	FAC VJH CBT	4v	40. 70		A v.	<i>Av.</i> 71
	Diet		Wheat meal, cooked; cream, butter,	Wheat meal, cooked; sugar, cream,	Puffed wheat, sucrose, butter. (But- ter not eaten by $A \hat{R}$.)	Puffed wheat in diet as described for them 175, except average N intake was 5.94 gm.	Rolled wheat, cooked 20 mln.; cream, 1 sugar. Average daily intake: 25 gm. protein, 2,010 gross calories.	Rolled wheat, cooked; cream, sugar '	Rolled wheat, cooked, cream	Shredded wheat, cream, sugar	Sbredded wheat in a simple mixed diet (description of diet, see item 104). Average daily intake: 6 gm. N, 2,910 calories.	Shredded wheat fed in a simple mixed dist (description of diet and average intake, see items 32, 175).
	Test food, description	GRAINS, GRAIN PRODUCTS-Con.	Wheat, Wheat Prod- ucts-(Chriticum assri- num-Continued war-continued Whole-grain and par- tially refined-Con. Meal (description, see item 180).	Meal* (description, see item 180).	Puffed	Puffed,* whole grain.	Rolled*	Rolled	Rolled,* part of bran removed. From durum wheat.	Shredded	Shredded*	Shredded*
	.oN mətl		181	182	183	184	185	186	187	188	189	190

128	129	126	62		26	119	6	62	6	ther bor.
Subjects, men. For details of experiment see remarks, item 31.	Subjects, 10 men. For de- tails of experiment and note on calculations see remarks, item 32. Aver- age N-balance, -0.7 gm.	Details of experiment not given. Authors reported "coefficient of tutilization" of protein 94 pct.; not in- cluded in this table since not elser if values for truo	Subjects, young men. Ex-	permental percord, a days. Marker, lampblack given before 1st meal and after last meal of period.	Subjects, women. Experi- mental period, 3 days. Marker, carmine for ex- perimental period, lamp- black for following period	3 experiments	Subjects, young men. Ex- perimental period, 3-4 days. Marker, lampblack taken with 1st meal of and 1st meal after experimental period. Diets containing items 198 and 201 accom- panied by fermentation, with intestinal irritation.	 and pain. Subjects, young men. Experimental period, 4 days. No preexperimental period. Marker, lamphlack 	Subjects, young men. For details of experiment see remarks, item 198.	rhich protein was fed; (2) the rai abustion, was reported by auti
			2 92.2 2 92.2 2 92.6 2 92.6	2 94. 0 2 94. 0 2 92. 2 2 92. 2 2 92. 2 2 92. 2 2 92. 2 2 92. 2 2 92. 2			85.3 87.7 886.3 73.2 78.3 78.3 7 8.6 7 8.6 7 8.6 8 7 8 8 7 8 8 7 8 7 8 8 8 7 8	2 8 8 9 8 2 8 9 8 2 8 9 8 5 8 9 8 5 8 9 8 5 8 9 8 5 8 8 5 8 8 8 5 8 8 8 5 8 8 8 8	83.2.2 8 83.2.2 8 83.2 8 84 84 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85 85	evel at v it of cor
			194.8 194.8 194.8 194.8 195.6	93.9 194.2 191.1 1		89.4	187.8 190.3 175.5 80.6 80.6	$^{1}_{184.4}$	184.1 188.8 186.1 86.2 86.3	(1) low l also hea
		96. 3	99.1 98.6 98.8 98.8 98.8	99.0 99.0 97.1 97.1 97.1 98.0 98.0 98.0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	99.8 100.0 100.0 100.0		91.3 91.8 91.5 91.5 91.5 91.4 91.4	96.1 92.5 94.3	89.2 89.2 89.2 90.0	stibility: me cases
										or low dige , and in so
8 82 8 74 8 84 8 84 78 78	1 83.2		80.1 71.9 65.8 68.1 68.1	75.2 75.2 75.7 75.7 75.7 75.7 75.7 75.7	1 98.8 1 98.8 1 98.8 1 98.1 90.0	76. 1	69.6 67.9 72.8 71.0 69.8 69.8	68.2 50.0 59.1	71.6 73.8 71.1 72.0 72.2	easons f
			00004444	4 % % \$ \$ 1 % 1 % 1 %			33312825332 3334188253323	4 88	37 33 32 32 32	ve two r take. 1at comp
			72 66 73 73 65 65 73	82225288878 8725288878	2 2 2 2 2 2 2		ତ୍ତି ହିଛି ହିଛି ହିଛି ଅନ୍ତି ହିଛି ହିଛି	68 75 72	586885 586885	ithors ga I fruit in licates th ble 24.
			10 10 10 14 10 10 1	4 4 0 0 0 4 0 4 1	* 88888			48.6	4 0 0 0 0	⁸ Au liberal *Ind See ta
88888	- 82	08	80 88 88 88 89 89 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	882222268 872	<u>. 88888</u>		332624F35	69 76 72	35 35 37 55	
	41.3						546 446 455 7 446 0 448 1 7 3 333 1 333 1 333 1		46.6 50.3 61.0 53.3	of diet as ed protein
	2						1.1.1.1.2 1.1.1.1.2 1.1.1.1.2 1.1.1.2 1.1.1.2 1.1.1.2 1.1.2		11111 010,40	mainder of digest
92 80 80 77	11				ା । । ।) ଅନ୍ୟ	1	A 70 8 72 88 88 88 88	0 0	R 75 84 75 69 69 69	s in rei gram (
A ⁰ SRA A ⁰ SRA	Av.		HH COLUMN	A MAR AND A MAR	TRONA TRONA	Av.	EO EO EO EO EO EO EO EO EO EO EO EO EO E	A ^b .	EO EO EO EO EO	or food ies per
Wheat endosperm, cooked; cream butter, prunes, bananas. Average daily intake, 5.6 gm. N, 2,900 cal ories	Wheat endosperm, cooked, fed in s simple mixed diet. Diet and av age intake same as for items 32 and 175, except N intake was 5.87 gm.	Wheat endosperm, cooked; sugar cream, coffee. ⁵	Farina, cooked 20 min.; cream, sugar Average daily inikae: 34 gm. pro tein, 2.200 gross calories. Farina, cooked 8 hr.; cream, sugar Average daily intake: 32 gm. pro tein, 1,900 gross calories.	Farina, cooked 20 min.; cream, sugat Average daily infake: 30 gm. pro telin, 1,990 gross calories. Farina, cooked 8 hr.; cream, sugar Average daily intake: 31 gm. pro tein, 1,900 gross calories.	Frozen pudding (raw farina, milk ott, sugar, salf, favreing), oranges sugar, tea or coffee if desired. Av erage daily intake: 45 gm. protein 1,960 calories.	Wheat and barley malt breakfast food cream supar	Whest and barley malt breakfas food, milk, cream, sugar. Whole wheat and barley malt break fast food, milk, cream, sugar.	Whole wheat and barley malt break fast food, cream, sugar. Average daily intake: 36 gm. protein, 2,111 gross calories.	Wheat and barley malt breakfast food, milk, cream, sugar.	ta using coefficients of digestibility f ta allowing a urinary loss of 1.25 calor
erm, granu-	erm,* gran- 1.	oerm,* gran- 1.	erm,* farina.	oerm,* farina.	oerm,* farina.	akfast food es: and barley	and barley vhole grain, urley malt.*	whole grain, arley malt.*	and barley Malta Vita." bles "Force" earance and character.	n authors' di p. 25. n authors' da 13, p. 25.
Refined: Endosp lated.	Endosp ulatec	Endosp ulatec	Endosp	Endosp	Endosp	Wheat brea mixture Wheat a	Wheat ^a malt.* Wheat, w and ba	Wheat, w and ba "Force.	Wheat & malt. "J Resem in app(general	alculated fron n in table 13, alculated fron own in table 1
161	192	193	194	195	196	197	198 199	200	201	1 C show 2 C 2 C

as shown in table 13, p. 26. ⁶ Diet as described by Clough, Carmen, and Austin, in Jour. Nutr. 3: 1-15, 1930.

		4	\$,	4								
	docontration		Subject	Daily i per kil body v	intake ogram veight	Propo	plied by	total inta test food	ke	Coefficie	nt of app lity of te	arent dig st food	esti-	Por- on of gross	Romarks	.0N 90
oN mətI	1 680 10001 description	1910	weight	Pro- tein	Gross energy	Pro- tein	Fat	Car- bohy- drate	Gross mergy	Pro- tein	Fat	Car- oohy- E drate	a a nergy	lergy vail- able		Referen
	GRAINS, GRAIN PRODUCTS-Con. Wheat Prod- ucts (Triticum acti- vum)-Continued		Ka.	с. Д. Д.	Cal	Pot	Pet	Pet	Pat	Pet	Pct	Pet	Pet.	Pet.		
202	Wheat bran: Branny portion, * frac- tion of 73-95 pct. ³³	Wheat bran fed with basal diet of fruit, canned meat and fish, po- tatoes, bread, butter, cheese, turnips.	48 22 1 48 3 22 1							1001 80 80 80 80 80 80					subjects, 4 students. For details of experiment, see	30
203	Branny portion,* frac- tion of 82-95 pct. ³³	Wheat bran with basal diet as de- scribed for item 202.	4 4 4 8							86888					remarks, item 85.	
204	Commercial bran: (a) Ground quite fine in experi- mental mill All but 2 pull a 100-mesh sieve.	Bran bread ³⁴ with a simple mixed die of potestose in limited quan- tities, fruit, butter, sugar tea or coffee. A verage daily intake: 32 gm. protein, 134 gm. fat, 265 gm. earbohydrate.	DGGG AJH DGGG DGGS AJH PEK RLS RLS			8882828888				18 55 55 57 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12		38. 28. 28. 28. 28. 28. 28. 28. 2			Subjects, 5 men, ages 20 to yer dyr. Experimental period, 3 days. Marker, pharcoal, taken with lat meal of and lat meal to lowing experimental pe- fowing experimental pe- for dires varied from bran dires varied from	2
	(b) Unground, flakes.	Bran bread ³ with simple mixed dist as described for item 204(a). Average daily misks: 24 gm. pro- tem, 103 gm. fat, 237 gm. carbo- hydrate.	AJH AJH RLS OGES OGES AJH RLS OGES OGES			848787878287 878787878278				28:00 28:00 28:00 29:00 29:00 29:00 29:00 29:00 29:00 20:000		4466694576669 96664576669 488488947474			ecessional alight pains after esting to extreme larative effect, but author of article found no appar- ent relationship between these observations and digestibility.	
205	"Prepared bran"	Bran fed with basal ration of wafers (made of starch, lactose, and but- ter); milk, sugar, cream, grape juice, apples.	M 088	0.5 .5		53 53				59					Subjects, 2 women. Experi- mental period, 9 days, with preliminary period of 3 days on the experi- mental diet. Marker, car- mine. Both subjects in negative N-balance.	8
206	Wheat starch: Starch	Frozen pudding (made of raw wheat starch, milk, oil, sugar, sall, favoring fed with oranges, sugar, tea or coffee if desired. Avenage daily intake: 22 gm. protein, 2,138	FC HLG JFS <i>Av</i> .					52 86 86 52 57 86 86 52				99.8 98.6 99.2 99.2			Subjects, women. For de- tails of experiment, see remarks, item 19.	
207	Starch	calories. Diet as described for item 206. Av- erage dally intake: 21 gm. protein, 1,825 calories.	ATM CM RB					58 57 57				100.0 100.0 100.0			Subjects, women. For de- tails of experiment, see remarks, item 18.	6
208	Wild rice (Zizania aqua- tico): WId rice products (Whole grain; parched WId rice). ³									77.8		93.8	86.4		Subjects, men. See re- marks, item 3.	- 196

	LEGUMES AND NUTS				_			-	_	_							
209	Beans, dry: Common white (Phas- colus vulgaris).	Cooked or baked beans in a mixed diet.			48.2	89									subject, 1 man. Mixed di 4 days. Beans replace 68.2 pct. total N in diet. next 5 days. Collectio	or or Dis	•
210	Common white,* navy beans.	Beans, boiled several hours with fat asti port, replacing part of basal ration of bread, butter, basanas,	<u></u>	1.1.2	34.8 41.2	83	19	64 68 68	63	73	1 45	88 88			made last 4 days of be subjects, young men. days on basal ration su days on basal ration su 3,000 calories per day, f lowed by 4-day expe lowed by 4-day expe mental period in whit	년 후 연구구선 8	
211	Common white,* navy beans. Mixture of 2 samples in equal amounts.	sugar. Daily inteke of beams: Subject B, 375 gm.; subject H, 438 gm.; subject K, 375 gm. gms, subject K, 376 gm. all pork, replacing part of basal ration of bread, butter, hananas, sugar. Daily inter of beams: Subject B, 300 gm.; subject H, 300	<u>А Щ Ш Ц Я</u>	8884 988 11571 115 1607 98	23.3 26.6 26.6 26.6 26.6 26.6 26.6 26.6	81 56 57 57 57 57 57	1917 - 2019 1917 - 2019 1917 - 2019	65 337 387 387 387 387 387 387 387 387 387	66 33 39 39 39 39 39 39 39 50 39 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50 50	80128 8010 80128 8010 80128 80128 80128 80128 80128 80128 80128 80128 80128 80128 80	151 151 151 151 54	6666 88			replaced by test foo by the schearperturnent preced by meal of bread and min might before to give foc characteristic consistent Lamphack taken befor Lamphack taken befor and evanerimental	stayss kid.	
212	Соттоп white, * navy beans, skins removed.	gm.; subject K, 300 gm. Beans boiled 20 min., skins removed, baked with salt and butter. Fed with bread and milk.	$\begin{bmatrix} 3\\ A_v \end{bmatrix}$							86.8 81.6 80.2	885.74 723.4 79.8	96.890 96.890			riod. Calculations bas on custometry assumption of digestibility of oth foods in diet.	er 165	
213	Common white,* navy beans.	500 gm. beans, cooked until soft, mixed with small amount of flour, browned in fat and a little vine-								39.8					subject, 1 man. Expe mental period, 3 days.	ri- 137	
214	Common white, navy beans.	Par. 1 nucl uset. Dealy nucket. I12 gm. protein. Salled, cooked until soft, 4-5 hr. in salled, cooked until soft, 4-5 hr. in 230° F. oven: sieved) fed with a simple diet. ³ A verge daily in- take: 30 gm. protein, 2,150 cal- take: 30 gm. protein, 2,150 cal- take. 30 gm. protein, 2,150 cal- blet above with cystine added in proportion of 2 pct. of weight of proportion of 2 pct. of weight of	A D D A D D		33.00 37.2 38.0 37.2 38.0 37.2 38.0 37.4 37.2 38.0 37.4 37.4 37.4 37.4 37.4 37.4 37.4 37.4	666 616				001 8 10 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00					ubjects, women studen 2 series in which be puree and baked bear prespectively, were eate Bach series undivide Diato 2 experiments, 1 wi ord 2 experiments, 1 wi	135 135 135	
		Baked beams (soaked overnight, salted, and baked 10 hr. at 850–375° F.). Fed in simple dist. ³⁸ Aver- age daly in take: 30 gm. protein, 2.300 calores. Baked bean dist described above with cystine added in proportion of 2 pct. of weight of calculated protein.	DANK DANK		20.420,440,440,440,440,440,440,440,440,440,	8888 88888				22000000000000000000000000000000000000					tine. Factor structured cy- tine. Each experime period of 3 days and of period of 3 days and of (2 periods of 5 days each (2 periods of 5 days each tain N-equilibrium for a hergth of time. No signi hergth of time. Wo signi cystine was added.	2 1 2 1 2 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	
215	Kidney beans* (Phase- olus vulgaris).	Beans, boiled several hours, replac- ing part of basal ration of bread, milk, butter, baranas, sugar. El consumed 300–358 gm.; Hr and g. 260 gm. each, and B, 375–400 gm. beans daily. Milk omitted from subject B's diet.	HENRES HA	2222222022 		87 77 87 87 87 87 87 87	128 ₉ 813228	888885254460 888885254460 88888		22288222	0622012210	*******		<u> </u>	or details of experime see remarks, item 210.	11 184	
shc 3 3 0f t	Calculated from author's da wm in table 13, p. 25. Coefficients of digestibility (licable to these items in the do 7; "The wheat was milled to 7; be grain. (Refers to original	uta using coefficients of digestibility for estimated for "products" were consider 3 pct. and the branny fraction obtaine. A grain with 5 pct. loss from cleaning.)	foods in ed by au 1 from tl	remainder thors of ar ie remainf	r of diet as rticle to be ng portion		and 17 and 17 as N(as Di at hor author	et consistent s qt. hot v gative res et consiste icates the . See tab	sted of 15 rater. ults, the of puri t compci le 24.	c. bran, fecal prof fied butt sition, a	334 tsp. ein from arfat, suc nd in so	soda, 176 bran ext rose, lact me case	. c. molas teeding th ose, grap s also h	ses, 334 nat of th e juice, a	tsp. salt, 5 tsp. ginger, 1 e bran intake. and lemon juice. combustion, was report	c. lard, ed by	

.0N 93	Referen	49	189	184
	Kemarks	Subjects, men. Experimen- tal methods used were those followed in digestion experiments by U.S. Dept. Agr. described in early publications.	Subjects, men. 20 experi- ments. Experimental per- tod, 4 days. Ist and 4th days served to mark feces, 2d and adays, exper- incerts period proper. Ist marking of deese by means of milk and cheese, 2d with bread made of groats (brusted grant), both vielding feces of physical characteristics of the dif- ferent fielt. Separation mental fielt. Separation ment.	Details for experiments, stribed in remarks, item stribed in remarks, item 20, except basal ration period followed by 2 le- gume period followed by 2 le- gume period followed by 2 le- gume period of digestibility of test food based on digesti- busal ration as defarmin- ed in perpendagwise as assal ration as defarmin- ed in perpendagwise as that digestibility of re- plered basal ration of ex- pleced basal ration of ex- period. Seame period would be same perentagewise as that for experimental betails for experimantal betails for experimental betails for experimental
Por- tion of gross	energy avail- able	Pct.		828 828 828 828 828 828 828 828 828 828
ligesti-	Energy	Pat.		88.408888888888888888888888888888888888
pparent d test food	Car- bohy- drate	Pct. 97.9 98.4 997.4 98.4		*8898888888888888888888888888888888888
vient of al	Fat	Pct.		50 1000 10
Coeffic	Pro- tein	Pct. 84.8 7775.16 75.9 75.9	78. 28 81.1 3 71.0 7 71.8 8 71.8 8 71.8 8 71.8 8 71.8 8 71.8 8 71.9 10 71.8 10 71.8 10 71.8 10 71.9 10 71.0 10	222 222 222 222 222 222 222 222
take	Gross energy	Pet.		55658888888 888558585858588888888888 56658888888888
f total int y test foo	Car- bohy- drate	Pct.		xxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxxx
pplied b	Fat	Pct.		199964444 4566E334FE3 4444 4698E
Prop	Pro- tein	Pct.	100 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000	877888788 88888 98888998978 88888888888
intake ogram veight	Gross energy	Cal.		464446 8644646 8664646 168616466 16861646 16861646 16861646 16861646 16861646 16861646 188018 189018 189018 189018 189018 189018 189018 189018 189018 189018 189018 189018 189018 189018 189018 189018 1800000000
Daily per kil body v	Pro- tein	Яm.		
ubject	and veight	Kg.	न ्र्यतं संसं	ал краска ал краска
	R	20 4 5 L	SS	
14	Diet	Beans, soaked overnight and cookee 1 hr. at 15 lb. pressure; bread, but ter, fuit, sugar. Avenage daili intiake: 70 gm. protein, 2,47 calories.	Bean meal and butterfat were salted and used in a soup or in puree 1.2 bottles light beer added to daily diref. Dist same as that described for iten 217. Dist same as that described for iten 217. Dist same as that described for iten 217.	Cowrpeas boiled several hours with tat sait pock, ropacing either 2 pet. or 50 pet. of basal ration 2 pet. or 50 pet. of basal ration 2 pet. or 50 pet. of basal ration 5 sugar. Daily intake of cowrpas sugar. Daily intake of cowrpas for the two legume periods. If gm, 454 gm, subject H. 20 gm A78 gm, 454 gm, subject H. 20 gm and the two legume periods a pet. of basal ration. Daily intak of cowrpass replaced abut 4 pet. of basal ration. Daily intak of cowrpass replaced in the 221, cow peas replaced for them 221, cow peas replaced either 25 pct. or 5 pets. of basal ration. In 1845 c styleriments Diet as described for them 221, cow peas replaced of them 221, cow peas replaced of them 221, cow pees replaced of pct. of basal ration in last 2 experiments Diet as described for them 221. Cow pees replaced of pct. of basal ration in last 2 experiments 200 gm. subject H; 20 gm, subject K; 175 gm, 400 gm, subject H; 20 gm, subject fion. Daily intake of cowpeas ado for them 221. Cow peas replaced of pct. of basal ration. Diet as described for them 221. Cow peas replaced of pct. of basal ration. Diet as described for them 221. Cow peas replaced of pct. of basal ration. Diet as described for them 221. Cow peas replaced of pct. of basal ration. Diet as described for them 221. Cow peas replaced of pct. of basal ration. Diet as described for them 221. Cow peas replaced of pct. of basal ration. Diet as described for them 221. Cow peas replaced of pct. of basal ration. Diet as described for them 221. Cow
	Test food, description	LEGUMES AND NUTS-Continued Beans, dry-Continued 6 Tepary beans (Phase- otas actificitus var. latificitus).	 7 Variety not given, 1901 barvest year. 9 Variety not given 0 Variety not given 	I Cowpeas, dry (Vigna sinerasis): Clay* Clay* I Clay* I Lady* I Lady*
1	old mott	21	53 51 51 53 51 51	55 55 55 55 55 56 55 55 55

184	176	117		167	145	140	113	189	hor.
	Subject, 1 man. Feces for experimental period were easily identified by un- digested seed skins.	Study by Potthast in $(117, p. 434)$. Subjects, men. For experi-	mental details see re- marks, item 217.	Subjects, 3 men. Experi- mental period, 3 days. Usual experimental proce- dure in author's labora- bory followed. All sub- jects in positive N-balance, averaging +0.6 gm. per	Subject, 1 man. Experi- mental period, 2 days.	Subjects, men. Experimen- tal period, 2 days. More digestive disturbance from peas cooked in hard water.	Subject, 1 man. Experi- mental period, 2 days. Fecal marker, lampblack.	Subjects, men. For experi- mental details see remarks, item 217.	ustion, was reported by the aut
2 2 7 7 5 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2									f combu
37 744 37 744 37 752 37 752 37 752 37 752 37 754 37 754 37 754 37 754 37 754 37 754 37 754 37 754 37 755 37 7555 37 7555 37 7555 37 7555 37 75557 75557 7557 7									lso heat c
8288888 <u>8</u> 88888888888888888888888888888				96. 2	92. 7 96. 4	37 94.6	95.8 95.9		me cases a
72 [58] [68] [68] [58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [58] [5					25 36.1	87.6 58.9			ata. and in sor
68 68 68 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77 77	59.8	74 77.1 69.5	81. 1 84. 2 76. 3 82. 1	80.0	72.2 82.5	89. 8 83. 2	84.7 86.2	888 85. 853 85 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	ot. o uthor's di osition, e
85 884884888888844444				19	100				d from au bat comp
400488888888844444 40048888888888444444				53	100		100		alculated dicates t able 24.
2222 2010 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10				n	100		100		* C *In See ti
88885555555556666666666666666666666666		100	89988	32	100		99 100	000000000000000000000000000000000000000	- 001
4448548544444444 84485485444444 849945211100668446444 849888446444									of diet as
10000000000000000000000000000000000000									aainder o f digestee
3888888663888666									in ren gram o
<u>ениение, "ение "екие "</u>		Sch	Sch (Wa Sch	Av.	A A			Sch Sch Sch Sch Sch Sch	r foods
Basal ration as described for item 210. Cowpeas replaced part of basal ration. Dally intake of cowpeas ma arts for the start of basal 375 gm.; H, 475 gm.; K, 375 gm.; B, 300 gm.; H, 400 gm.; and K.; B, 300 gm.; H, 400 gm.; and K.; Basal ration as described for item 221. Cowpeas replaced 35 pct. of basal ration. Dally intake of cowpeas: 275 gm. for each subject. Diaty intake of cowpeas: 350 gm.	250 gm. lentils, soaked overnight, cooked until tender in 115 liters meat broth, with salt and 20 gm. butter added.	Lentil meal and butterfat used in a soup or porridge, salt added. 1-2 bottles light beer.	Diet, see item 231 Diet, see item 231	Peas, cooked 12 hr., forming a por- ridge or thick soup; rice, sugar, milk.	Peas, cooked 2-3 hr., sieved; 1 liter beer. Daily intake: 960 gm. peas. Diet, see item 235. Daily intake: 600 gm. peas	Peas, cooked in distilled water, puréed. Daily intake: 137 gm. protein. Peas, cooked in hard water, puréed. Daily intake: 137 gm. protein.	A gru, pose and v. gru, butter cooked into poridge; 1 liter ber. Daily intske: 139 gru, protein, 68 gru, 64, 338 gru, carbobydrate, 575 gru, peas, cooked into porridge; 1 liter ber. Dally, intske: 134 gru, protein, 4 gru. fdt, 364 gru.	earbohydrate. Pea meal and butterfat used in a soup or porridge, salt added; 1-2 bottes light beer. Diet, see item 239. Diet, see item 239. Diet, see item 239.	ta using coefficients of digestibility fo: .a allowing a urinary loss of 1.25 calori
 Whippoorwill* Whippoorwill* Whippoorwill* Whippoorwill* Lentis, dry (Lens cubi- 	Lentils	Lentils, 1901 harvest Year.	 Lentils. Lentils, 1902 harvest year. Peas, dry (Pisum sati- 	Peas.	Peas*	7 Peas*	reas, split	Peas, 1901 harvest year. Peas. Peas. Peas. Peas. Peas. Peas. Peas. Peas. Peas. Peas. Peas. Peas. Peas. Peas.	1 Calculated from author's dat 1 Calculated 13, p. 25. 2 Calculated from author's dat 2 conventint each of 2 n. 95
22 223 228	220	23(232	23;	<u>3</u>	23,	207	24,224 23	sh sh

UIBG Ħ 'n Ē ŝ P P as shown in table 13, p. 25.

.0 ^N 90	Referenc	50 44	117	72	20	33	8
Ð	Kemarks	Subjects, young men. Ex- perimental method of Murthn et al., 1941 (197), egg supplied about 56 of followed. Test food or egg supplied about 56 of the food N. Sovbeans, soy flow, and soy milk tested flow, and soy milk tested in series of experiments planned so that an eeded in series of experiments planned so that an eed planned a soy prod- ucts period. Experimen- tal oplowed a soy prod- ucts period. Supalance of sub- pects positive in egg period. With 1st meal of each period. N-balance of sub- pects positive in egg period. Period. N-balance of sub- pects positive in egg period, ayris negative in sovbean period, averaging -0.11 gen. per day. To calcu- itest food, N-intake and of testfood, N-intake and feed N from the other	loods were estimated from amounts in sample diet reported by authors. ⁷ Subject, Jayrold man. Br. perimental period, 6 days, preeded by 5 days on a mixed diet. In positive N-balance, +1.7 gm. per day.	Subjects, men 19–24 yr. old. Experimental period, 3 days.	Subjects, 4 men, ages 20-40 Yr. Experimental period, 3 days. No attempt made to matuain a uniform body weight of subjects or N-equilibrium.	Subject, 1 man, aged 38 yr. Experimental period, 3 days. Fecal marker, lamp- black.	. Subjects, 4 or 5 young women. For experimental details see remarks, item 152.
Por- tion of gross	energy avail- able	Pet.					
digesti-	Energy	Pat.					
pparent test food	Car- bohy- drate	Рсі.				1 96.0	
cient of a bility of	Fat	म् स्					
Coeffic	Pro- tein	PC PC PC PC PC PC PC PC PC PC PC PC PC P	85.3	74.8 74.8 82.9 82.9 79.9	188.3 188.3 188.3 191.1 186.1 186.1 186.1 186.1	188.4	70.3
ıtake od	Gross ener gy	Pa.				27 11	
of total in y test fo	Car- bohy- drate	P.a.				11	
portion o	Fat	Pa.				10	
Pro	Pro- tein	୍ପ ରେଜନେକ୍ଷ କ୍ଷ କ୍ଷ କ୍ଷ କ୍ଷ କ୍ଷ କ୍ଷ କ୍ଷ କ୍ଷ କ୍ଷ	6	65	88888885 8888888	36 72	95
intake logram weight	Gross energy	28. 28. 29. 29. 29. 29. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20. 20	42.1			23.3	
Daily per ki body	Pro- tein	ຊີດ ເດີຍ ເດີຍ ເດີຍ ເດີຍ ເດີຍ ເດີຍ ເດີຍ ເດ	1.3			1.1	
Subject	and weight	第 第 第 第 第 第 第 第 第 第 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	22	(PK JCM JFC JFC FAK An.	AF JCM JCM JCM AAR AAR	(<i>Av.</i> 73 73 73	
	Diet	Soybeans, autoolaved for 1 hr. at 15 Ib. pressure, cream, starch, crack- ers, lettuce, salad dressing, orange juice, margent, apples, dexth- matose, sucrose, vitamin supple- ment. Frontein level of intake kept ator mear 5 pct. of total alorie kept ator mear 5 pct. of total alorie intake. About 80 pct. of total alorie intake from sovbeans, 10 pct. from cream, 10 pct. from remaining foods.	Soybeans boiled ½ hr., salt and to- matoes added to resultant mush, potatoes, fruit, milk, sugar, but- ter, cereal, coffee or tea.	Soybeans, cooked 2 hr. at 15 lb. pres- sure until soft and tender. Eaten with simple mixed diet of bread, butter, sugar, onsnees, tea or cof- fee. Avenge daily inlake: 103 gm. protein 3,100 calories.	Baking powder biscuits made of soy- ben flour and packet wheat flour in equal proportions; fruit, butter, sugar. Average daily infake: 84 gm. protein, 92 gm. fat, 268 gm. carbolrydrate.	Soybean meal prepared as porridge, cooked 5 hr.; milk, butter, sugar. Yeast bread (200 gm. soybean meal, 400 gm. patent wheat flour, 0 gm.	sugar, much purch sugar. Soybean flour fed with basal ration as described for item 152.
	Test food, description	LEGUMES AND NUTS-Continued Soybeans, Soybean Prod- ucts, dry (<i>Glycine</i> mat): Soybeans, run-of-the- mill.	Soybeans	Soybeans, Mammoth, yellow variety.	Soybean flour, about 8 pct. fat. From press cake, a symeller-type process used.	Soybean flour, * 6.5 pct. fat (reported as meal). Soybean flour, * 3.3 pct. fat (reported as meal).	Soybean flour, 43.8 pct. protein.
	.oV metI	344	245	246	247	248	250

34	134	30	143	₽ ₩	÷	47	181	0r.
Subjects, young men. For experimental details and note on calculations see remarks, item 244, Sub- jectsin positive N-balance during both egg and soy four periods, averaging +0.8 gm, and +0.3 gm, per day, respectively.	Subject, 1 man. Experi- mental period, 1 day. Buckwheat containing black husk used as fecal	Authors, Chinese men, 25-37 r. old. No digestive disturbances, but sub- jects in negative N-bal- ance during 6-day pre- period antin period period which soybean curd was addet. N-halake in pre- period averaged +0.98 gm.	V. or 0.54 pct, protein level. Subject, young woman, 4 consecutive experimental periods of 3 days each. N-intake kept constant for all 4 periods. Daily N-bahance for the 4 pe- riods0.3, -0.2, -0.4, cont0.2 m.	Perimental period, 7 days. Last 4 days serred as col- lection period for feess and urtine. 5-day rest period followed by 7-day basal ration period. Method of marking fees not grven. Subjects in positive N-bal- ance. +34 gm. per day.	Subjects, young men. For experimental details and note on calculations se remarks, item 244. Sub- jects in positive N-bal- jects in positive N-bal- arce during egg period, aversging +0.8 gm, and in negative N-balancedur- ing soybean milk period, aversging -0.2 gm. per	6 Chinese subjects, 1-3 yr. old. Experimental period, 7 days. Feess and urine collected last 5 days. Method of marking not given. Subjects all in positive N-bance, aver- acting +66 gm. per day.	Subjects, Chinese Infants, Ages during period of ex- periment: H, 145-3 mo.; 8, 4-455 mo.; HSu, 9-10 mo.; C, 645-8 mo.; Fecal marker, carmine (infor- mation from Tso et al., Chinese Jour. Physiol. 2: 409-414, 1923). All sub- jects in positive N-balance.	tion, was reported by the auth
	-							of combus
								also heat
	98.2							itted here. ome cases
	95.3							ata. ent; omi and in s
123.2 124.2 126.3 126.3 126.3 126.3 126.3 127.6 127.6 127.6 127.6 127.6 127.7 127.6 127.7 17.7 1	89.2	8 9 8 8 9 8	75.8	37 89. 3 37 99. 8 (37 38) (37 38) (37 38)	169.5 167.8 167.8 167.8 167.8 167.8 167.8 167.9 167.0 167.0 167.0	37 92. 0 37 92. 0 37 90. 2 37 90. 2 91. 4 91. 4	79.28 79.59 79	tthors' d 100 perc osition,
								d from au ther than that com
								Calculate Value hig ndicates t table 24.
								37 38 *I
<u>8</u> 88888888888888888888888888888888888			8	15 16 16 16	<u>ଞ୍ଚଞ୍ଚଞ୍ଚ</u> ଞ୍ଚଛଞ୍ଚଛ୍ଛ	100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	00000000000000000000000000000000000000	
444 66.11 7.05 7.04 7.04 7.05 7.04 7.05 7.05 7.05 7.05 7.05 7.05 7.05 7.05		ଟଟ୍ଟର	40.0		88.425.447.247.438 89.028.447.247.43 89.028.028.444 89.028.028.444 89.028.028.028 89.028.028 80.028 80.0000000000		122 122 123 123 123 123 123 123 123 123	of diet as N intake
<u></u>		n n			, 1999		らってのです。 らってので、 していていて、 していていて、 していていて、 していて、 していて、 していて、 していて、 していて、 していていて、 していていて、 していて、 していて、 していて、 していて、 していていて、 していていていていていていていていていていていていていていていていていていてい	aainder o in total
2363621388	1	55 52	20		7340 62 76 861 888 67 68 61 68 734 90 52 76 861 78 734 90 52 76 861 78 73 74 90 52 76 861 78 74 90 52 76 861 78 75 76 76 78 75 76 76 78 76 76 78 78 76 76 78 78 78 78 77 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 78 7		4,4,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0 0,4,0,0,0,0,0,0,	in ren : food
Av. CRO	z	Щ <u>го</u>	A	KB MN SM KS	RP RF RF RF RF RF RF RF RF RF SK RF SK RF SK RF SK RF SK RF SK RF SK SK SK SK SK SK SK SK SK SK SK SK SK	LIM PFF AC Av.	A. COCOCHSSSE	foods an test
Soybean flour, mixed with water and salt, autocheved for 1 hr. Baten with simple mixed diet as described for item 244. Protein level of intake kept at or near 5 pct. of total calorie intake.	Soybean curd with a small amount soy sauce (shoyu).	Soybean curd eaten with basal diet of lotus stach, sugar lard, hurd, carrot, cabbage, salted turnp. To basal diet was added small amount of fresh orange or pear at each meal, ood-liver oil and wheat bran once every 2 days.	246 gm. soybean curd, 150 gm. starch, 45 gm. dextri-maltose, 75 gm. lactose, 73 gm. butterfat, 230 gm. apple.	 Ib. soybean curd eaten with a basal diet of rice, tur dhal black gram, Bengal gram, vegetables, fat (a typical South Indian diet). Avense dally intake: 56 gm, pro- tein in basal ration; 66 gm, pro- tein in soybean curd period; 2,890 calories both periods. 	Soybean milk, cream, starch crack- ers, lettuce, salad dressing, orange juice, margarine, apples, dextri- maltose, sucrose, vitamin supple- ment. Protein level of intakte kept at or near 5 pct. of total calorie in- take.	Soybean milk. Average daily in- intake. <i>51.5</i> gm. protein.	Soybean milk, cane sugar, corn- starch, calcium lactate, salt, cod- liver oil. Cabbage water added to H's diet in 2d experiment; orange juice added to diets of S, Hsu, and C in all experiments.	ta using coefficients of digestibility for no correction for N of foods other the
Soybean flour	Soybean curd* (Totu)	Soybean curd	Soybean curd	Soybean curd	Soybean milk	Soybean milk	Soybean milk	Salculated from authors' da rn in table 13, p. 25. Luthors apparently made 1 cal N.
251	252	253	254	255	256	257	258	or fer

	.0N 90	Referenc	75	72	64	2	2
		hemarks	(Subject, man, 60 yr. old. Acoustonned to diet of fruit and vegetables. Experimental period 4 days. Marker, charcol balance, averaging, 1-53	gun, per day for the 2 experiments. Subjects, men, 19-41 yr. old. Experimental pe- riod. 3 days. Large in- take of peanuts caused no digestive disturbance.	Subjects, 9 men and women, 21–28 yr. old. Peanut flour one of several protein foods tested in series of 18 protes from 4 to 7 days periods from 4 to 7 days periods from 4 to 7 days must preeded by wheat in 14th period of 6 days was preeded by wheat them period of 5 days were in slightly megative N-balance. They con- tinued in negative N-bal- ance during peanut flou- partod uring peanut flou-	gun. N per day. Marker, carmine or farric oxide. Urines collected for 7 days, analyzed for last 3 days. Sulpierts 4 men 20-40 vr	oid. Experimental per riod, a days. No attempt made to maintain uni- form weight or N-balance of subjects.
	Por- tion of gross	energy avail- able	Pct.				
	digesti-	Energy	Pct.				
	pparent test food	Car- bohy- drate	Pct.				
	bility of	Fat	Pct. 1 88. 3 1 81. 4				
	Coeffic	Pro- tein	Pct. 1 87.4 1 75.6	94.0 94.0 94.0 94.0 94.4 92.8 89.7	1 77.9	1 92. 2 1 90. 3 91. 4	1 100 1 83.2 1 83.2 1 99.7 1 94.9 91.3
	take od	Gross energy	Pct. 50				
	f total in y test fo	Car- bohy- drate	Pct. 5				
	portion o upplied b	Fat	Pct. 84				
	Prol	Pro- tein	Pct. 74 73		96	61 61 62 61 62 61 62 61 62 61 62 61 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62 62	3143333 3
intaka	veight	Gross energy	Cal. 50.6 52.4		42.8		
Daily	per kil	Pro- tein	(<i>Am.</i> 1.7 1.3		4		
	Subject and	weight	<i>Kq.</i> WSM 56 WSM 58)JFC JJJD TBH FAK WO'C	Av. 69	$ \begin{bmatrix} PK \\ JCM \\ AAR \\ WET \\ MET \\ \dots \\ \dots \\ A^{v_i} \end{bmatrix} $	PK JCM PC JCM JPK CJW Av
	Diet		Peanuts. Japanese persimmons, tomatoes, granose (a wheat prep- aration), olive oil, milk. Peanuts, apples, dates, tomatoes, olive oil, granose, milk.	Peanuts, half kernels, salted and cooked 2 hr. under 15 h. pressure, until very soft. Eaten in a simple mixed diet of bread, butter, sugar, carages, tea or colfee. Average daily intake: 92 gm. protein, 165 2 an obvise.	Peanut fiour added to biscuit mix and based. Basen in a simple mixed basel dist. ³⁰ Distribution of calorise in basal dist was about 3 pet, from protein, 62 pet, from fat.	Baking powder biscuits made from equal parts of peanur and wheat patent flourts: fruit, butter, sugar. Average daily intake: 106 gm. pydrate, 2,370 calories.	Baking powder biscuits made from 1 part peanut flour and 2 parts weet parent flour, fruit, butter, sugar. Average daily intake in 184 3 axperiments was 54 gm. pro- drain, 79 gm. 134, 200 calories. In remain- ing 4 experiments average daily intake was 102 gm. protein, 94 gm. adories, 374 gm. carbobydrate, 2,745 nalories.
	Test food, description		LEGUMES AND NUTS-Continued Ground nuts or Peanuts (4rothis hypogen): Peanuts*	Peanuts, skins re- moved.	Peanut flour, * partially defatted. Prepared by MoMath Howard process.	Peanut flour. Fat re- moved by cold ex- pression. Red skins not removed. Re- sulting press cake ground.	Peanut four. Peanut so blanched, rossted, red skins and fat re- moved. Resulting press cake ground.
	.ol	N moti	259	260	261	262	263

	67		27	75			
(Subjects (CPH and WSM) men 60 yr, old, accus- tomed to fruitarian and vegetarian dies; subject AV, young man. CPH ate 2 meals per day; the others, 3. Experimental period, 4 days. Marker, diets, CPH showed aver- age daily N-balanee of -0,9,-0,2 and -4.1 gm; on brazil anto diet, con brazil anto diet, con brazil anto diet, con brazil anto diet, con WSM in posi	tive N ² balance, +2.4 and +3.6 gm. per day on the 2 brazil nut diets. 6 experiments: 2 on raw chestnut flakes, 2 days each; 3 on peeled boiled chestnuts, 3 days each; 1 on rosted chestnuts, days. Marker, carmine, Beacus carbolydrafe in Pooce most carbolydrafe in Cooce most carbolydrafe in	the start of the start of the co- efficient of digestibility of carbobydrate may be somewhat in error.	Surpletis, young adduced 23-34 yr. old. Experi- mental period, 3 days. During this period ERM lost 2½ lb.; HAM, 1½ lb.	See remarks, item 264. Sub- ject WSM on account dist jun negative N-balance, averaging -1.6 gm. per day. Both subjects on pecan dist in negative N- balance. WSM averaged -0.6 gm. per day: CPH averaged -3.3, -3.1, -1.7	and 23.4 gm. per day for threly. On walnut diets, WSM in positive N-bal- per day. OFH in slightly negative N-balance during ing zeryentnents, avereg- ing -0.3 gm., and in posi- ing -0.3 gm., and in posi-	tive N-balance during last 2 experiments, averaging +2.5 gm, per day. J.B.R in negative N-balance, averaging -1.9 gm. per day. tuce (iceberg heart leaves),	ipplements (all vitamins), was reported by author.
			2 88.2 2 93.8			arch, let	itamín su abustion
			1 95. 3			owroot st	ssauce, v
	1 98. 0 99. 0 99. 0	1 99.9	1 98. 1 1 99. 6			with arr	al), apple s also hee
184.5 188.1 191.9 191.9 191.4 191.4 191.6			1 57.5 1 75.6	191.5 191.6 188.0 188.0	891.5 191.5	1 90. 2 1 88. 3 1 75. 5 1 73. 8	e (artifici ome case
1 57.1 1 72.2 1 78.7 1 661.4 1 83.8 1 83.8 1 68.7	1 67.8 1 73.0 1 72.5	1 73.5	1 51.2	¹ 66. 0 ¹ 54. 1 ¹ 59. 9	1 78.5 1 83.6 1 62.3 1 58.9	170.2 182.8 162.6 164.5	orangéad and in s
44 55 55 37 8 37 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8 9 8			40 40	55 33 4 55 55 33 4 35	5555 666 669 669 669 669 669 669 669 669	51 47 43 50 1 butter,	tressing, (age. position,
4 3 98112220 8112220 8112220	66 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 6	16 28 28	45 46	0 0 0 17 0 0 0 19	NAG 0 00.	4 33-14 3 4 4 4 include	french d rpe bever that com
8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8	359 QQ	14	55	88.55 % 83 88.57 88.57 88.57 88.58 88.58 88.58 88.58 88.58 88.58 88.58 88.58 88.58 88.58 88.58 88.58 88.58 88.58 89.58 89.58 89.58 89.58 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80 80	92 91 94 94	93 97 97 97 97 97 Basal die	ot, pickle e, kola-ty ndicates table 24.
56 35 7976488 35 797648 36	99 89 99 89	66 62 56	19 19	36 57 57 57	888 8 881	60 60 61 62 63	carre coffe *Li See
22 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20			47.6 50.0	56.6 56.0 30.8 30.8 30.8 30.9 30.9 30.9 30.9 30.9 50.0	33.7 40.8 55.0 51.9 26.6 20.6	34.1 32.7 28.3 25.4 25.4	d protein
. 5 6631749 			1.6 1.7	9 8 9 9 9 9	11 300	. 7 . 6 . 6 . 6 . 10 . 10 . 10 . 10 . 10 . 10 . 10 . 10	of digeste
222000 122000 12200000 122000 122000 122000 12200 12200 12200 12200 12200 12200 12200 12200 12200 1220			RM 62 AM 59	SM 57 SM 59 SM 69 PH 65 PH 65	PH 64 SM 57 SM 57 SM 58 FH 63 SM 57 SM 58 FH 63 SM 58 FH 63 SM 58 FH 63 SM 58 FH 63 SM 58 FH 63 SM 58 FH 64 SM 58 FH 64 FH 64	PH 64 PH 66 ER 77 ER 75 ds in rei	er gram o
Almonds, bananas	 500 gm. raw chestmut flakes, 68 gm. dried egg. 125 gm. burgt. 188 gm. fried bed. Average daily intake: 121 gm. N, 124 gm. fat, 163 gm. carbohydrate. 833 gm. peeled boild c bestuuts used in thick soup. In 1st two experiments 33 gm. sugar and 33 gm. butte added. Average daily intake: 7.2 gm. N, 40 gm. fat, 333 	gm, carbobydrate. In 3d experi- ment, 100 gm. sugar and 85 gm. butter added; average daily in- tater: 73 gm. N, 83 gm. fat, 420 gm. carbobydrate. 600 gm. roasted chestnuts, 55 gm. Average daily intake: 6,6 gm. N, 55 gm. fat, 296 gm. carbobydrate.	Chestnut flour, bread, potatoes, {B milk (whole and skim), sugar.	Coconut, pears, with small amounts W of cottage cheese, tomatoes, and olive oil. Pecaus, apples, dates, with small W amounts of granose (a wheat prop- aration) and cottage cheese. C Pecans, apples, bananas, granose Pecans, apples, bananas, granose C Pecans, ornges, bananas, granose	Pecaus; oranges, bananas, granose C Walnuts, grapes, granose W Walnuts, pears, with small amounts of granose and milk. Walnuts, apples, dried figs, with W small amounts of granose and milk. Walnuts and bananas	Walnuts, aprice, baarnase, oranges, armeilt Walnuts, dried prunes, oranges, armeilt Nalnuts, bananas, dates, smailt amount of sugar. anount of sugar. at using coefficients of digestibility for for	tta allowing a urtnary loss of 1.25 calories p
Tree Nuts: Almonds* amydaius). (Prunus Brazil nuts* (Ferthol- letia ezcelsa). Brazil nuts*	Chestnuts,* fresh (<i>Cas-tarea sativo):</i> (a) Flakes, raw	(c) Roasted.	Chestnut flour* (Cas- tanea dentata).	Coconuts,* (Cocos nuci- fera). Pecans,* (Carya illino- ensis).	Walnuts* (presumably Persian or English). (Juglans regia.)	Calculated from authors' da	эwn in table 13, p. 25. ⁴ Calculated from author's da shown in table 13, p. 25.
264 265 265	267		268	269 270	271		as as

.0 ^N 9	Referenc		57	145	33							57	144		134		144		
ſ	Kemarks		Subjects, 2. 3-day prelim- inary and 2-day collection	Subject, 1 man. Experi-	Bubjects, 3 men, 2 days. Subjects, 3 men, ages 28-33 yr. Preliminary period on basal diet 3 days, fol-	lowed by experimental period of 3 days when test food was added to basal ration (scrept for subject	day plus cer experiment i day plus 2 meals). Sub- jects varied in total amounts of basal ration	eaten but proportions of foods kept constant.	Marker, lampblack. Urine collected for last 24 hr. of experimental period.	B and W in positive N- balance in beet experi- ment, +0.1 and +7.3 gm. per day, respectively. In	cabbage experiment, B averaged -2.6 gm.; M, +1.4 gm.; W, +5.2 gm. N	Subjects, 2. 3-day prelim- inary and 2-day collection	l period. Subject, 1 man. Experi- mental period, 3 days.	Milk, taken before and after experimental period, served as fecal marker. Subject in negative N- belence -60mm more of	Subject, 1 man. Experi-	mental period, 1 day. Good separation of feces by use of buckwheat flour	and raw red adzuke beans. Subject, 1 man. Experi- mental period, 2 days. Milk, taken after each ex-	perimental period, served as fecal marker. Subject nauseated after 2d day	and experiment termi- nated. In negative N- balance, -8.6 gm. N per day.
Por- tion of gross	energy avail- able		Pct.			0 10	2 76.0 2 92.3	2 57.0	2 73.0										
lgesti-	Energy		Pa.			0	96.6 96.6	59.1	[76.7] 42.8										
pparent d test food	Car- bohy- drate		Pct. 77.6	84.6		[DR 4]	[96.5] 97.2	77.2	[85.6] 80.8			9 68.7 9 81.2	84.6		95.2		81.8		
ient of al bility of	Fat		Pct.																
Coeffic	Pro- tein		Pct. 26	49.1			56.2 [87.3]	[41.8]	63.8			48 39 39	81.5				61.0		
take od	Gross energy		Pct.			\$	16	x x	9 1 9										
f total in y test fo	Car- bohy- drate		Pct.			32	8 1 8	0] (8 11				100				100		
portion o ipplied b	Fat		Pct.			er.		იი ძ					25				11		
Pro	Pro- tein		Pct.			× F	8 17	9 9	в г.				100				100		
intake logram weight	Gross energy		Cal.			33.7	41.7 66.2	31.0	30.2 42.8										
Daily per ki body	Pro- tein		с <i>т.</i>			1.0	1.4	1.0	1.8										
Subject	weight		$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ Kg.	, v		B 66)W 74 53	8 7 8 7	W 53			 	Ъ.		DK		Ε.		
Diet			Snap beans, cooked, ⁴⁰ rewarmed 30 min. in steam bath before eating; bread butter rulb	540 gm. beans, 53 gm. butter. Daily intake: 8.8 gm. profeir.		Beets in mixed diet of meat. [bread.	butter, milk, sugar. Beets in mixed diet of meat, bread, butter, milk, sugar.	Cabbage in mixed diet of meat, bread, butter, milk, sugar.	Cabbage in mixed diet of meat, Cabbage in mixed diet of meat,	DI Cad, DUIVEL, MILLA, SUBAL.		Cabbage, cooked, ⁴⁰ rewarmed 7 30 min. in steam bath before eaten; head hinter milt	8.4 lb. cabbage boiled with salt and 65.6 gm. fat for ½ hr. Average	fat, and 247 gm. carbobydrate.	555 gm. carrots, 60 gm. soy sauce		5.6 lb. carrots cooked with 42 gm. fat and salt. Average daily intake of 2.5 gm. 7, 45 gm. fat, 322 gm.	carbonyura.c.	
Test food, description		VEGETABLES, VEG- ETABLE PRODUCTS Arrowroot starch (see	2 Beans, snap [*] (Phaseolus vulgaris).	3 Beans, green (presum- ably snap beans).		l Beets* (Beta vutgaris)	Beets*	Cabbage* (Brassica oler- acea var. capitata).	Cabbage*			Cabbage	Cabbage, savoy*		Canna starch (see item 318). Carrots*(Daucus carota)		Carrots*		
•0	N məil		27.	275		274	275	276	278			279	280		281		282	_	

88								<u> </u>
(Subjects, 2. 3-day prelin inary and 2-day collecti period. See remarks, item 274	Subject, healthy man; 31 yr. old. Experimental per- iod. 2 days. Preperiod and postperiod on milk diet, used as fecal marker. Bost, used as focal marker.	as very complete. See remarks, item 274. Sub- jects in positive N-balance while on potato experi-	ments, averaging +0.6 gm. per day. Subject W on experimental diet 4 days. (Subjects: A, 25-yrold man, B, 28-yrold woman. Ex-	perimental dite continued for 167 days. 4 collection periods during this time, each of days accept the each of days accept the which for subject A, which was 6 days. Both fecal and urinary N deter- mined. N-balance post- tive for A in all periods averaging +0.6 gm. per days and for B in 4th col- lection period, averaging +0.1 gm. per days negr-	trev for B during 1st 3 periods, averaging - 1.0 gm, N per day Body weight remained nearly constant old man. 3 day preliminary period of by experimental dief followed by experimental period of by stoprimantal period of by s	Matter, datace, dataceal, taken at beginning and end of ex- perimental period. Sub- Subject, active 32-37-301 man accustomed to a high potato diet. Experimen- tal period, 36 arys. Marker, milk, taken at beginning and end of experimental	balance, arveraging -1.0 gun. per day. Subject, 1 man. Experi- mental period, 3 days. Marker, mit taken at beginning and end of ex- perimental period.	their composition by applying 4.1. abicise per gram carbohydi calories (see footnote 41) and al hown in table 13, p. 25.) hown in table 13, p. the aut
2 82.3		2 95. 7 2 93. 7	2 84.1		⁴² 81. 2			ed from fat, and ed gross tein as s tein as s
[86.9]		98.9 96.2	85.6		11 83.6			s calculat Der gram s estimat ested pro also heat
96.6		99.9 [98.9]	98.0		93.0	92.3	° 99. 0	l feces, as calories j g author m of dig me cases
								oods and tein, 9.3 ata, usin se per gra and in so
66 72 83.9	69.2	[98.4] 78.5	47.4	33 69.6 33 65.2 34 66.2 48.0 55.4 48.0 56.2 48.0 52.4 48.0 62.4 48.0 62.4	71.9	67.8	80.5	lories of f gram pro uthor's d 25 calorie position,
22		24 17	50		48			gross ca ories per d from al loss of 1.
29		38 27	31		88	. 99	83	Based on rs 5.5 cal Calculate O urinary of cares t adicates t able 24.
6		пп	e		-			facto facto facto fing a str See t
2	100	19 14	13	888888888888888888888888888888888888888	33	100	16	
56.4		36.8 43.4	44.6		46. 5			d proteir t jars in s
2.3	ю.	1.2	1.7	1.000004444 4.4.4	1. 8	1.0	9 [.]	of digeste " in frui
1 3	6	66 74	ß	2525555555	62	72	74	gram c erilized
M_51	¥	a Z	M	AAAAAAAAAA		<u>ب</u>		ies per lt, "st
Collards, cooked. ⁴⁰ rewarmed 30 min, in a steam bath before eaten; bread, butter, milk, Corn in a mixed due of meat, bread,	butter, milk, sugar. 887 gm. mushroms combined with small amounts of Liebig extract, curry powder, salt and butter.	Potatoes in mixed diet of meat, bread, butter, milk, sugar. Potatoes in mixed diet of meat,	bread, butter, milk, sugar. Potstoes in mixed dist of meat, bread, butter, milk, sugar.	Potatoes (steamed unpeeled, fried, mashed, or in salad with a little oil), butter or pork fat, a few fruits (apples, pears), tea or black coffee and sugar taken occasional. Iy. Fat intake varied from 120- 136 gm (adiy, Average daily N intake: Subject A, 5.7 gm, sub- ject B, 3.8 gm.	- 1.587 gm. potatoes, 8 eggs (laard- boiled), 710cc. milk, 237 cc. cream.	 Potatoes, eaten boiled with salt or butker or in salad with vinegar and oil, or sliced and fried. Aver- age daily intake of 54 lb. potatoes, peeled, supplying about 72 gm. oil and Antter about 144 m. for oil and hynter about 144 m. for 	1,700 gm. potstoes, cooked in water and pureed; 100 gm. butterfat, 12 gm. salt, 500 cc. beer.	ata allowing a urinary loss of 1.25 calori ata for N-free extract and crude fiber. ata. min. or until tender, seasoned with sal
283 Cassava staroh (see item 283 Collards* (<i>Brassica oler-</i> <i>acea var. acephala</i>). 284 Corn, green* (<i>Zea mays</i>)	285 Mushrooms	 Potatoes, white* (Sola- num tuberosum). Potatoes, white*	288 Potatoes, white*	289 Potatoes, white	290 Potatoes, white	291 Potatoes, white*	292 Potatoes, white*	² Calculated from authors' da as shown in table 13, p. 25. • Calculated from author's da • Calculated from author's d. • Calculated from authors' d. • Calculated from authors' d. • Calculated from authors' d. • Calculated from authors' d.

305774 - 55 - 7

	Dist	Subjec	poer D	lly inta kilogre ly weig	p B R	Propor	ion of to lied by t	tal intal est food	8	Coefficie	nt of ap llity of t	parent d sst food	igesti-	Por. tion of gross	Remarks	.0N 93
	22	weigh	t Pro teiu	- E B	ross P ergy te	ein	Fat b	Car- ohy- irate	Jross nergy	Pro- tein	Fat	Car- bohy- drate	energy	energy avail- able		Referen
	120 rm. Dotatoes, 90 rm. shoyu	${}^{Kg.}_{ m KY}$	em G		al. F	at.	Pct.	Pet. 100	Pet.	Pct.	Pd.	Pa. 91.5	Pct.	Pct.	Subject, 1 man. Bxperi-	134
	soya sarce). Thtake 21.4 gm. protein, 0.7 gm. fat, 194 gm. carbo- lydrate.		1												mential period. I day Marker, whole buckwheat Marker, whole buckwheat four and red pepper skin, preseated to vertractifte in- taiting principle. A uthor disregarded nutrionts from shoyu in making coloniations of digesti- calculations of digesti-	
Ā	otatoes, boiled and eaten with butter, also scred thed. Cook- ing waten used as oup. Fat and sometimes onions added fo diet.	W	74 0	9	46.8	100		. 100	65	84.5		99. 3 9	ar 97. 3	2 95. 1	and S. Iishima in (134, pp. 168, 171). Subjects, strong, healthy Ubbeck, Ages: M, 26, 77. VM, 20, H, 50, On POM, 20, H, 50, On potato diet over 9-mo. period except for short	69
Š	Average uauy muake: *, 6 protein, 3,600 calories. se diet for item 294, average daily intake: 51 gm. protein, 3,510	M	73	.7	48.1	100		100	64	82.0		98.6	37 96.4	2 94. 0	periods when low-N toods were added for variety. 1st 6 mo. under conditions of moderate activity: M, 3	
S	calories. ee diet for item 294. Average daily intake: 33 gm. protein, 3,610 cal-	W	73	- 2	49.4	100		100	99	84.1		99.2	37 97.8	2 96.4	collection periods of 36, 8, and 18 days; VM, 3 collection periods of 13, 8,	
00	ories. ee diet for item 294. A verage daily intake: 41 gm. protein, 3,300 cal-	ΜΛ				100		100	63	81.1		98.9	37 96.6	2 94.6	and 6 days; H, 2 collection periods of 12 and 16 days. 3 mo. under conditions of	
ā	ories. ee diet for item 294. A verage daily intake: 50 gm. protein, 3,440 cal-	ΜV				100		100	64	85.5		98.7	ar 96. 7	2 94.4	Strenuous activity: M on potato diet with 95-day collection period. Sub-	
01	ories. See diet for item 294. Αverage daily intake: 51 gm. protein, 3,800 cal-	ΜV				100		100	59	83.5		98.7	<i>s</i> ⁷ 96. 3	2 93.9	jects showed following N-balances during collec- tion periods: M: 36-day	
	ories. See diet for item 294. A verage daily intake: 18 gm. protein, 2,450 cal-	н	65	e.	37.7	100		100	59	74.5		99.0	37 96.9	2 95.8	period, -0.5 gm.; 8-day period, +1.9 gm.; 18-day period, -0.7 gm.; 95-day	
01	ories. See diet for item 294. Average daily intake: 19 gm. protein, 2,650 cal-	Η	65	e.	40.8	100		100	56	70.9		98.9	a7 96.4	2 95.3	period, -0.4 gm. VM 13-day period, -0.2 gm. 8-day period, +0.5 gm.	
01	ories. See diet for item 294. A verage daily intake: 53 gm. protein, 4,900 cal-	M	72	2.	68. 1	100		100	64	85.4		99.3	37 98.0	2 96.2	6-day period, +2.4 gm H: 12-day period, -0.9 gm.; 16-day period, -0.	
-			20	9		6.66				73.6					 Subject, healthy young woman. Experimenta period, 10 days. In N equilibrium during last' days. Fees and uring 	
	Daily intake, 1,363 gm. new and 1 076 mm old notatoes eaten	1				100		100	100	84.6			94.4	92.1	collected daily.	
,	partly as mashed, partly as boiled, 20 gm. butter, 10 gm. salt, supply- ing 2,076 calories and 7.29 gm. N.					Q.		001	01	85 9			080	03	Details of method not re ported.	- 148
A	aily intake, 2,756 gm. peeled pouled new potatoes, 20 gm. butter, 10 gm.salt supplying 2,294 calories.		<u> </u>			 R		3	8	3.00						

151	16 27	* 7		92	26	134	95	
Subject, a strong muscular man. Experimental pe- riod, édays. No other de-	taugarven. Experi- ruental period. 3 days. mental period. 3 days. vanal experimental pro- cedure published in ear- lier reports. Assumed about 66.5 percent digest- tibility for schohydrate of the other foods in dief. Subjects noted accessive gas formation and fre- gard freen infestinal oramps.	Supplex, Jupu., Markr, carmine, taken, with 1st and last meal of experi- mental period. Oreater flatuence and cramplice pains experienced when pains experienced when less undigenet starch in feces. Authors of opinion that bacterial fermenta- tion accounted for de-	composition of much of the starch during passage through alimentary tract. Readjustment period of 1 mo. for each subject be- tween experiments	Supports young men. Ex- perimental period, 3 days. Market, carmine with lampblack to mark foces in following period of 3-4 days on subjects regular die.	Subjects, women. Experi- mental period., 3 days. Marker, carmine with lamplack to mark fees in following period of 3-4 days on subjects' regular	Subjects, 2 men: KY, 50 yr. schljects, 2 men: KY, 50 yr. separation of feces with marker of whole buck wheat flour with black husk in pumpkin exper- iment and black sesame seed in sweetpotato ex- periment. Author disre- sered in tweetpotato ex- prised in untifients from sloyu in making calcula- tions of digrestibility. Pumpkin study by S, Kaji, pp. 173, study by Y, Kaji, pp. 173,	Not the second of the second of weight and the second of the second of the second of the second of weight and no record of weight and no record of weight second of the se	
93.4								
	74.5 64.3 991.3 855.4 855.4 855.4 855.4 855.4 855.5 85	75.8 76.4 80.8 81.5 74.1 74.1	57.5 57.5 59.2	99. 7 94. 5	(38) 79.4 49.2 49.2	98. 97.6 98.5	$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 96.6 \\ 1 & 39.0 \\ 1 & 98.0 \\ 1 & 95.6 \\ 1 & 99.2 \\ 1 & 98.0 \\ 1 & 99.2 \\ 1 & 39 \\ $	
						00 00		lata.
72.1						88. 7 57. 1 51. 6	174.4 174.4 133.6 133.9 150.7 150.7 150.7 150.7 120.3 120.3	author's e
100								ed from a
100	8994798844 8994798844				24 36 35		83122422323864 831222323864	Calculat
100							°	37
100							85888888888888888888888888888888888888	0
34.4								of diet a
.7						8. 1.1 1.2		mainder
78				с. М	29999	55 45 45 46		ds in re
M	HELLAND	AREA BE	A BHO			E E E	THAT OF A PLAN	for foo
2,618 gm. boiled peeled potatoe eaten daily with a little salt.	Frozen pudding (raw potato stared milk, oil, sugar, salt, flavoring) oranges, sugar, tea and coffee a oranges, sugar, tea and coffee a desired. A vorage daily intake 23 gm, protein, 56 gm, fat, 357 gm starch eaten daily.	Frozen pudding (21 pct. raw potat starch, 63 pct. milk, 8.7 pct. pce nut oil, 7.3 pct. sucress): furl inices, tea and coffee as desired. Avvarge daily inities, 1.88 gru. 7 the starch diet of which 259 gru. were potato starch. Compositio of diet essentially same as that fi fitem 307.	Diet same as preceding diet excer it was not frozen.	Frozen pudding, oranges, sugar, te and coffee if desired (see die item 307). Average daily intak 17 gm, protein, 49 gm, fat, 212 gr carbohydrate. 1.280 calories.	gm. starch eaten daily. Frozen pudding, cornges, sugar, te and coffee as desired (see die atten 2070. Average daily intak 20 gm. protein 37 gm. fat, 230 gr carbohdrate, 1330 calores, c	gun nav potato starta eaten uan. 1,692 gm. pumpkin, 90 gm. shoy (soy sauce). Sweetpotates, skins remove cooked with soy sauce.	Dasheens, parbolled, baked, ski removed, riced. Eaten with mil fruit, butter, and tas or coff Average daily intake: 40 gm, pi koin, 128 gm, fat, 229 gm, cart hydra, fiem 313. Average dai intake: 41 gm, protein, 129 gm, f 231 gm, carbohydrate.	ata using coefficients of digestibility
Potatoes, white	Potato starch	Potato starch		Potato starch	Potato starch	Pumpkin* (Cucurbita Sveepotatoes (Ipomoca batatas), partially dried.	 Taro, dasheen (Colocasia esculendo), Immature, harvested 6 wk. before usual harvesting time. Taro, dasheen, mature 	¹ Calculated from authors' di
306	307	308		309	310	311	31:	i

³⁷ Calculated from author's data. ³⁸ Value higher than 100 percent; omitted here. ³⁸ Maine staat composition, and in some cases also heat of combustion, was reported by author. See table 24.

- contract intervention and using a used using vormation or unsequently int notes in comparation or use as shown in table 13, p. 25.
 ² Calculated from author's data, allowing a urinary loss of 1.25 calories per gram of digested protein as shown in table 13, p. 26.

.0N 93	Referen				92				8	75			41
Remarks					Subjects, young men. For experimental details see remarks, item 309. Auth- ors noted a direct rela- tionship hertween size of	starch granules and di- gestibility, the starches having larger granules be- ing less digestible.			For experimental details see remarks, item 274. B in sligthy negative N-hal- ance, -0.01 gm., and W in positive N-balance, +0.7	(Experimental period for CPH, 3 days; for WSM, 4 days. For other experi-	titem 264. Both subjects in negative N-balance. Average for CPH, -1.3 gm.; for WSM, -3.7 gm. N	· fan vod v	Subject, the author. Ex- perimental period, 4 days. Average N-balance, -1.7 Em. per day.
Por. tion of gross	energy avail- able		Pet.							\$ 89.8	2 84.3		
igesti-	energy		Pct.						97.6	92. 3	1 85.0		
parent d test food	Car- bohy- drate		Pct. 98.1 99.5	92. 1 99. 3	82.5 65.7 99.3	43.0 56.5 60.3	97.9 98.4 100.0	90.2 96.5	99. 2 99. 6	° 96.8	94.4		
ient of ap bility of 1	Fat		Pct.							18.9			95.4
Coeffici	Pro- tein		Pct.						[29.0] 28.4	76.1	44. 5	_	27.9
dd	Gross energy		Pct.						37 28	100	84		
total int y test foo	Car- bohy- drate		Pct.						57 47	100	66		2
pplied b	Fat		Pct.						1	100	16		70
Prop su	Pro- tein		Pct.						56	100	94		25
intake ogram veight	Gross energy		Cal.						42. 5 63. 4	20.6	21.9		
Daily per kil body v	Pro- tein		Gm.						0.9 1.8	4.	.2	_	
Subject and	weight		$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{J} \mathbf{D} \\ \mathbf{H} \mathbf{D} \\ \mathbf{H} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{G} \\ \mathbf{H} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{G} \\ \cdots \\ \mathbf{H} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{G} \\ \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H} \mathbf{G} \\ \mathbf{G}$	ELM	ELM	HJD HLG ELM	PHC	ELM	B W 53	CPH 62	WSM 56		но
Diet			Frozen pudding, oranges, sugar, tea and coffee as desired. (See diet, item 307) Average intake: 19 gm. protein, 47 gm. ish, 271 gm.	See dist, item 307. Average daily httake: 17 gm. protein, 56 gm. fat, 255 gm. carbohydraue, 1,640 cal- ories. 124 gm. raw arrowroot	Starture asture (a tearly) See diet, item 307. Average daily intake: 19 gm. protein, 58 gm. fat, 297 gm. carbohydrate, 1,790 cal- orise. 68 gm. raw arrowroot	suature actuaturation analysis and a static action and a static size and a static size and a static	See dief, item 307. Average daily intake: 18 gm. protein, 48 gm. fat, 246 gm. carbohydrate, 1,490 cal- ories. 140 gm. raw cassava starch eaten daily.	See diet, item 307. Average daily intake: 23 gm. protein, 71 gm. fat, 314 gm. carbohydrate. 1,990 cal- ories. 150 gm. raw treefern starch eaten daily.	Applesauce (sugar added) with a mixed diet of meat, bread, butter, milk, sugar.	Bananas.	Grapes (4,835 gm. Tokay, 649 gm. Muscat, 4,305 gm. Cornichon) eaten with small amounts of olive oil, tomatoes, and olives.		115 gm. cocoa, 50 gm. sugar, 175 gm. white bread, 200 gm. mast, 20 gm. butter. Daly miake: 14 gm. N, ta orn fat. 211 gm. carbobydrata.
. Test food, description		VEGETABLES, VEG- ETABLE PROD- UCTS-Continued	Taro starch, granules ex- tremely small, 1–7 mi- crons.	Vegetable starch, other: Arrowroot, true (Mar- anto arundinaceo). Granules measured 22-53 microns.	7 Arrowroot, so-called commercial (Zamia floridana). Granules, 42-70 microns.	8 Canna, Hawailan (Canna edulis). Granules 42-95 mi- crons.	9 Cassava (Manihot escu- lendo) commercial product. Granules much smaller than those of potato and arrowrot and some-	what smaller than wheat or maize starch. Taeatian Tseefern, Hawaiian (Cfboitum menitesi). Granules about 9 mi- crons.	FRUITS Applessuce (Malus sylves- tris).	Bananas,* common (Musa paradisiaca Var. sapi-	entum). Grapes' (Vitis spp.), mix- ture of Tokay, Muscat, and Cornichon.	MISCELLANEOUS	Cocoa (Theobroma cacao): Commercial
	~15 mott	1	31	31	31	31	31	32(321	322	323		324

	84	56	125	20	81		oolic hor.
Subject, 1 man. Experi- ment, 2 days. Data from Weigmann in (84, p. 244).	Subject, 1 man. Data from Lebbin in (84, p. 245).	Subjects, men. Experiment usually continued 1 wk.	Subjects, 11 men. 3-day diet (the mixed basal diet with egg omitted) followed by 5-day experimental period in which yeast was added to diet. Urine col- lected in last's or 3 days of each period. In 5-day pe- riod following yeast period an antiho acid mixture re pleaed yeast period in the period3.4 gm. and for yeast period1.0 gm. period3.4 gm. and for yeast period1.0 gm. prate of the for of the intake as approximate anount of N from other parent digestibulity of parent digestibulity of	Syeast, anen, ages 20-38 Yr. Experimental period, Yr. Experimental period, Yr. Bayen and beginning of out, laken at beginning of period. Yeast period for lowed by period on diet of white rice, white bread, and butter. Feces from this period changed from by the period analytic of this period changed from perring as marker for end of yeast period. Subjects	An urgeaver - Youandre, 5 or 8 days followed by yeast period, 4 days and yeast period, 3 or 4 days fresh yeast series, pre- period, 6 days, yeast pe- riod, 4 days. Marker, en- mod, 4 days. Marker, en- mod, 4 days. Marker, en- mod. 4 days. Marker, en- period, 6 days, yeast pe- riod, 4 days. Marker, en- mod.	continued through years and postperiod. Fui in positive N-balance in both series. K in positive N- balance in dried yeast series but in slight rega- tive N-balance in fresh yeast series. Ye was in yeast series. Ye was in yeast series.	ent after correction for metat bustion, was reported by auti
							1.5 perc t of com
					85. 7 92. 4	43.6 33.6 0.0	ported 4 also hea
							Luthor re
	96.1 97.2 96.7	100.0 93.9					rticle. A and in sc
43 12.7	41.1 45.2 41.6	83.9 77.4	1.75 1	177.1 174.8 161.7 158.5	86. 4 94. 4	47.7 57.8 52.0	ata in a osition,
					10	00 00 00	l from d bat comp
							Jalculated gen. dicates tl able 24.
							43 C *In See th
100	001 001 001		(95)	(18) (83) (83) (83)	40 40	382 392 9	
					40.4 42.2	38.7 39.5 41.8	of diet as I protein
					1.1	1100	nainder (f digestee
A	65 65 65			78 66 64	65	64 62 68	s in rei gram o
HA HA	<u></u>			<u>+ 8 8 1 - 1 - 2 - 1 - 2 - 1 - 2 - 2 - 1 - 2 - 2</u>	K ^a	Per Frank	or food ies per
 195 gm. cocoa, cooked with water. Deer or wine. Daily intake: 6.4 gm. N, 53.2 gm. fat, 40.2 gm. car- bohydrate. 	188 to 304 gm. cocoa and 165 to 212 gm. sugar, cooked with water.	20 gm. cocoa, milk (?-3 cups yield) 60 gm. cocoa, milk (8 cups yield)	 Yeast added to basal ration of butter french dressing. Jaturow sugar marmalade, Discuit (arrowoof starch), orangeade (artificial), candy, coffee, ta, kola drink, candy, coffee, ta, kola drink, ments of vitamire and minerais. Daily intake: 3.7 g and M. Bsti, mated calorie intake, about 3,000 (124, p. 355). 	Yeast eaten in mixed diet of apples bananas, oranges, tomators (or soup), suerkraut, onions, bread rice, teablscuit, sugar, chocolate butter, tea. (Not all food fiem eaten every day.)	Preperiod: 36 gm. dried milk, 8 gm. cocoa, 120 gm. wreiback, 90 gm. cakes, 50 gm. nutter, 60 gm. salani 38 gm. cheese, 76 gm. marmalade 6 gm. usugr, 50 gm. dried soup, 40 gm. dried yeast replaced salani 59 gm. dried yeast replaced salani	 Pand caces in dick show. Preperiod dick same as for item 330 except for minor changes in except for minor changes in amounts of several foods. Yeast period: 144-135 gm. fresh yeast replaced salami and cheese in dick above. 	ata using coefficients of digestibility fo ata, allowing urinary loss of 1.26 calori te for N.Free extract and an d. 6455
Partially defatted	3 kinds, 2 from Holland	Cocoa Yeast:	Brewer's.	Dried (anerobic yeast preparation).	Yeast, * dried, color some- what yellow, acceptable flavor.	Yeast,* fresh compressed	¹ Calculated from authors' da own in table 13, p. 25. ² Calculated from author's da shown in table 13, p. 25. ³ Calculated from author's da
325	326	327	88	329	330	331	_ ÅS _ SB

² Calculated from author's data, allowing urinary loss of 1.25 calories per gram of digested protein as shown in table 13, p.35. ⁹ Calculated from author's data for N-free extract and crude fiber.

Composition and Heat of Combustion of Foods

Composition and heat of combustion data are recorded in table 24 for test foods used in digestion experiments described in table 23 whenever such data were reported. Composition data are useful in identification of a food item and in interpretation of experimental results in digestion experiments in which thet item is used experiments in which that item is used.

For ease in using the data the test foods with composition reported carry the same item number in both tables 23 and 24. The composition data are recorded as reported by the authors and the factors they used for converting nitrogen to protein are noted in footnotes. More complete description of the food items is given in table 23.

TABLE 24.—Composition and heat of combustion of food items used in experiments on human digestibility (table 23)

				Carbo	hydrate		Heat of	
Test food, description	Water	Protein	Fat	Total (by difference)	Fiber	Ash	tion per gram	Ref. No.
GRAINS, GRAIN PRODUCTS								
Barley Products (Hordeum vulgare):	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Percent	Calories	
1 Barley, flaked	9. 79	1 8. 87	1.24	79.22	0.77	0.88		62
2 Barley, germinated, flaked	10.18	¹ 10. 62	1. 21	76.89	1. 27	1.10		62
Buckwheat Products (Fagopyrum escu-								
lentum):	1		7 00		1	1 00		104
5 Flour	11. 34	² 8. 13	1. 22	77. 69		1.62		134
8 Hominy	10.06	*0.44	67	70 61	27	20	2 000	190
9 (Hominy) bulled using alkali	10.96	¹⁹ .44	. 07	18.01	. 37	. 32	3. 986	120
steamed	0	20.06	5 30	76 77	1 51	7.07	4 440	191
5000m0d1	ő	28.92	5.00	77 96	1. 51	1.91 9.19	4.440	121
	ŏ	2 10 05	5 65	76 67	78	7 63	4 625	
11 Meal	9.52	16.87	65	82 67	46	29	1 . 020	62
14 Meal, granulated:	0.01	0.01		02.07	. 10	. 20		02
a	11. 79	*8.50	. 98	78.25	. 46	. 48	3, 823	120
b	7.77	*8.69	1.92	81.12	. 40	. 50	4. 023	
15 Meal, waxy variety of maize im-		1 1						
ported from China	10.54	*8. 88	4.24	75.04	1.67	1.3		97
16 Meal, white	9.88	*10.63	6.17	71.52	1.83	1.80		97
20 Corn endosperm, toasted, added								
Sugar and salt	7.49	2 7. 38	1.68	80.38		3.07	3.869	126
25 Rolled costs	0.00	2 7 4 60	0 00					
27 Rolled oats	8.00	² 14. 69	6.96 7.99	67.89		1.80	4. 560	164
28 Rolled oats	7 00	² 15. 09 3 15 10	7. 23	64. 23		1.83		126
32 Bolled oats quick-cooking	7 36	2 16 12	6 14	60 50				88
33 Meal granulated or pinhead	7.50	1 19 13	6 21	00.00	. 90	1.84		129
34 Meal	7 35	1 13 17	7 53	70 22	1.94	1.52 1.72		62
35 Meal. rolled	7 45	1 12 21	7 27	71 27	1.04	1.72		02
36 Meal	8.12	1 13 25	7 28	69 57	1.10	1.70		62
38 Meal:		-00		00.01	1. 1.	1. 10		02
a. Coarsely ground pinhead	15.	³ 11. 70	7.21	64.47	. 85	1 62		102
b. Medium ground	15.	³ 8. 60	7.78	67.15	. 93	1.47		102
Average	15.	10.10	7.50	65.86	. 89	1. 54		
Rice, Rice Products (Oryza sativa):								
49 Polished or White	(12.92)	*8. 55	. 31	77.89		. 33	3.854	167
Dro Droducta (See la anala)	13.50	4 8. 27	. 3	77. 26		. 67		144
54 Flour No. 19	0.05	*15 10	0.01					
55 Flour III a comercial flour	9.25	*15.48	2.01	71.08		2.18		141
56 Flour No 17	10.06	*14. 92	1.89	69.82		1.97		141
57 Flour No 4	0 30	10. 18	1.89	69.47		1.90		141
58 Flour No. 16	9. 30	*16 14	1. 18	70.20		1.87		141
59 Flour No. 15	11 00	*15 28	1.70	70.30		1.74		141
60 Flour II	11.26	*15 33	1 80	60 84		1.69		141
		10.00	1. 0.0	00.04		1.08	!	141

 1 N \times 5.7. 2 N \times 6.25. 3 N \times 5.83.

 4 N \times 5.95.

*N-conversion factor not reported.

TABLE 24.—Composition and heat of combustion of food items used in experiments on human digestibility (table 23)—Continued

					Carbol	nydrate		Heat of	
	Test food, description	Water	Protein	Fat	Total (by difference)	Fiber	Ash	tion per gram	Ref. No.
GR	AINS, GRAIN PRODUCTS-Con.								
Rye, 1 61	Rye Products (Secale cereale)—Con. Flour, whole grain, most external layer removed	Percent 13. 0	Percent ³ (8. 40)	Percent	Percent	Percent 5 (2.03)	Percent 1.52	Calories	99
64	(Whole-grain Swiss rye before pro- cessing) ⁶	(13.0)	³ (8. 28)			⁵ (1.65)	(1.61)		
04	blended in equal amounts	9.16	*12.42	1. 30	75. 72		1.40		141
65	monly used in Wurzburg	11.6	³ 9. 33				1. 24		99
66	equal amounts	11. 32	*10. 76	1. 38	75. 34		1. 20		141
71	Flour, made by Steinmetz process from rye of Silesia (Whole-grain rye of Silesia before	(13.0)	3 (9.62)			⁵ (1.57)	(1.18)		99
72	processing) ⁷	13. 0	³ (9. 21)			⁵ (2.71)	(1.84)		
73 74 75 77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85	Flour No. 13 Flour No. 12 Flour No. 12 Flour No. 10 Flour No. 10 Flour No. 2 Flour No. 2 Flour No. 6 Flour No. 6 Flour No. 1 Flour O Flour No. 1 Flour No. 1 Flou	(13. 0) 11. 19 11. 56 14. 73 11. 84 11. 76 12. 14 11. 87 9. 07 (13. 0) 11. 25 12. 49 14. 8	*(7, 11) *11. 85 *11. 42 *9. 79 *10. 22 *10. 81 *9. 80 *9. 31 *9. 35 *(8. 69) *5. 36 *20. 2	$ \begin{array}{r} 1. 14\\ 1. 69\\$	$\begin{array}{c} 74.\ 78\\ 74.\ 37\\ 76.\ 13\\ 75.\ 69\\ 76.\ 60\\ 77.\ 42\\ 80.\ 37\\ \hline \\ 80.\ 85\\ 81.\ 17\\ 58.\ 9\end{array}$		(1, 10) $1, 04$ $.96$ $.86$ $.81$ $.77$ $.73$ $.62$ $.62$ $(.55)$ $.43$ $.39$ 2.8		
86	Branny portion, fraction of 67-95 percent ⁸	14. 8	*18.5	3.4	59.6	4. 6	3. 7		30
87 Whea	percent ⁸	14. 2	*16. 2	3. 6	61. 1	7.5	4. 9		30
r Flo	um): urs, whole grain and nearly whole rain:								
92 ⁵	Graham, 100-percent extraction, Scotch Fife, hard spring (Whole grain before processing)*	8.61 8.50	1 12. 65 1 12. 65	2.44 2.36	74. 58 74. 69		1. 72 1. 80	4. 148 4. 140	164
93	Scotch Fife	13.21	¹ 14. 21	2.01 2.28	68.56 69.88		2. 01 1. 93	3. 971 4. 023	166
94 96	Graham, 100-percent extraction	10. 51	² 14. 00	2. 52	70. 97		2.00	4. 004	195
97	(Whole grain before processing) ¹¹ Graham, milled from hard winter	8. 15 8. 99	¹ 8. 18 ¹ 8. 32	1. 68 1. 83	80. 27 79. 10		1. 72 1. 76	3. 990 4. 008	168
09	(Whole grain before processing) ¹²	7. 73 8. 65	1 15. 33 1 15. 33	1. 79 1. 83	73. 83 72. 87	-	1. 32 1. 32	4. 178 4. 110	168
98 102	(Whole grain before processing) ¹³ Graham	11. 23 10. 25 11. 82	¹ 12. 24 ¹ 12. 34 *10. 63	1. 41 1. 35 1. 71	73. 27 74. 23 74. 12	2. 25	1. 85 1. 83 1. 72	3. 906 4. 000	166 97

 1 N \times 5.7. 2 N \times 6.25. 3 N \times 5.83.

⁵ Reported as cellulose.
⁶ Items No. 61 and 72 were prepared from this sample.
⁷ Items No. 71 and 82 were prepared from this sample.
⁸ The rye was milled to 67 percent and the branny fraction obtained from the remaining portion. Refers to prepared with for which prepared from the remaining portion.

original grain with 5 percent loss from cleaning. ⁹ Items No. 92, 112, 135, 146, and 147 were prepared

from this sample. Also from this wheat were prepared items No. 94, 114, and 137 appearing in another report. ¹⁰ Items No. 93, 113, and 136 were prepared from this

sample. ¹¹ Items No. 96 and 115 were prepared from this sample. ¹² Items No. 97, 116, 139, 173 and 174 were prepared

from this sample. ¹³ Items No. 98, 118, and 141 were prepared from this

sample. *N-conversion factor not reported.

TABLE 24.—Composition and heat of combustion of food items used in experiments on human digestibility (table 23)—Continued

					Carbo	bydrate		Heat of	
	Test food, description	Water	Protein	Fat	Total (by difference)	Fiber	Ash	tion per gram	Ref. No.
GR	AINS, GRAIN PRODUCTS-Con.								
Whea	t, Wheat Products (Triticum aesti-								
v I	lours, whole grain and nearly whole								
106	grain—Continued Whole meal milled from Canadian	Dercont	Dersent	Democrat	Baraami	Democrat	Baraant	Calaria	
107	wheat	15.	³ 15. 40	2. 23	(¹⁴)	2. 36			104
107	whole meal, milled from English wheat	15.	3 8. 52	1.83	(15)	2.02			104
108	Whole meal	13.50	3 11.66	1.82	71.49		1. 53		28
F	lours, intermediate extractions:	14. 38	² 10. 92	1. 81	71. 69		1. 20		146
112 113	"Entire wheat"	10.81 13.51	112.26	2. 24	73.67		1. 02	4. 032	164
114	"Entire wheat" milled from hard	10. 01	10.72	1.09	70.10		. 98	0.877	100
115	spring wheat, Scotch Fife "Entire wheat" milled from Oregon	10.99	² 13. 00	2. 28	72. 51		1. 22	3. 944	195
110	white winter wheat	8.66	1 7. 52	1.67	81.08		1. 07	3. 900	168
110	winter Weissenburg wheat from								
117	Oklahoma	7.46	1 15. 16	1.64	74. 52		1. 22	4. 159	168
117	soft winter wheat	9.60	1 12, 80	1.54	74.40		1.66	4 020	166
118	(Whole grain before processing) ¹⁶	8. 0 9	1 13. 16	1. 52	75. 38		1. 85	4. 090	100
	gan soft winter wheat	11. 01	1 12. 01	1. 53	74. 17		1. 28	3,860	166
123	90-percent extraction, milled from English wheat	15	18 20			1 15			100
124	90-percent extraction, milled from	10.	- 0. 02			1.15			106
131	80-percent extraction, milled from	15.	1 13. 51			1.15			106
139	Ênglish wheat	15.	¹ 8. 15			. 17			106
102	Manitoba wheat	15.	1 13. 05			24			106
Fl 135	ours, lower extractions: Standard patent, milled from hand					1			100
100	spring wheat, Scotch Fife	10.54	1 11. 99	1. 61	75. 36		0, 50	4,050	164
136	spring wheat, Scotch Fife	12 38	1 13 60	1 20	72 04			2.000	101
137	Straight patent, milled from hard	12.00	10.00	1. 50	12.04		. 68	3. 861	166
138	Standard patent, about 70 percent	11. 55	² 12. 75	1.43	73.67		. 60	3. 889	195
	yield, milled from Oregon white	9.04	1.0.00						
139	Standard patent, about 70 percent	8. 94	1 0. 90	1. 25	82.47		. 44	3. 880	168
	yield, milled from hard winter Weissenburg wheat from Okla-								
140	homa	9. 93	¹ 13. 74	. 92	74.89		52	4 040	169
140	ana soft winter wheat	10 30	1 1 2 20	02	75.04		. 02	1. 010	100
141	Standard patent, contained less	10.00	12. 30	. 93	75.94		. 53	4. 010	166
	milled from Michigan soft winter								
145	wheat	10. 97	¹ 10. 92	. 50	77. 15		. 46	3, 799	166
110	from a mixture of Girka and								200
146	Minnesota wheats	15. 02	² 11. 57	. 81	72. 19		. 41		146
145	wheat, Scotch Fife	10. 55	1 11. 08	1, 15	76.85		27	4 020	104
147 148	2d patent	10. 49	1 11. 14	1. 20	76. 75		. 37	4. 032	164 164
	wheat, Scotch Fife	12.36	*12. 44	1. 62	73, 07		51		170
1 N >	< 5.7.			15 Availah	ole carboh	vdrate 6	, 11 , 3 60 norae		17U borminod
- TN >	0.20.		m	atter (nor	tocona of		perce	unuet,	ernnneu

atter (pentosans, etc.) 9.02 percent. ¹⁰ Items No. 117 and 140 were prepared from this sample. *N-conversion factor not reported.

^{*} $N \approx 5.83$. * Available carbohydrate 55.20 percent; undetermined matter (pentosans, etc.) 9.78 percent.

TABLE 24.—Composition and heat of combustion of food items used in experiments on human digestibility (table 23)—Continued

			Carbol	hydrate		Heat of	
Water	Protein	Fat	Total (by difference)	Fiber	Ash	tion per gram	Ref. No.
$\begin{array}{c c} Percent \\ \hline 8.01 \\ 11.07 \\ \end{array}$	Percent *15.50 *12.75	Percent 2. 22	Percent 73. 52 74. 84	Percent	Percent . 75	Calories	170
	2.001	. 50	71.01	. 11	. 11		97
- 14.03	* 8. 91	. 90	75.18		. 32		140
10. 77	2 11.64	1.27 2.07	75.56 74.92		. 76		168
7. 57	² 11. 57	0. 89	79.06		0. 91	4. 16	168
10.48	2 12. 45	2.48	72.92	2. 83	1. 67		
9.69	1 13. 96	1. 48	73. 62		1. 25	3. 876	168
9. 63	1 14. 87	1.66	72. 97		. 87	3. 962	168
2. 72 7. 06 8. 08	2 11.69 2 11.25 2 16.32	$ \begin{array}{c c} 1. 49 \\ 1. 89 \\ 1. 47 \end{array} $	79. 13 78. 45 72. 68	1.88 ²⁹ 2.10 ²⁰ 2.07	$\begin{array}{c} 4. \ 97 \\ 1. \ 35 \\ 1. \ 45 \end{array}$		129 129 129
8. 08 4. 90 9. 19	$ \begin{array}{c} 2 \\ 2 \\ 15. \\ 15. \\ 06 \\ 19. \\ 81 \end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{c} 1. 35 \\ 1. 94 \\ 2. 27 \end{array} $	74.08 76.70 77.22	2. 34 1. 07	$\begin{array}{c} 1. \ 33 \\ 1. \ 40 \\ 1. \ 51 \end{array}$		126 129 62 100
11. 35 - 6. 20	$ ^{2} 11. 14$ $ ^{1} 10. 60$	2. 12 1. 37	73. 85 80. 06		1. 54 1. 77	4. 020	168 127 and 155
5. 62	1 9. 97	1.35	81. 27	2.42	1. 79		129
9. 35 12. 68 10. 55	¹ 10. 54 ² 11. 81 ¹ 9. 70	. 85 2. 40 1. 36	78.76 72.46 77.97	. 27	.50 .65 .42		$ \begin{array}{r} 129 \\ 126 \\ 62 \\ 62 \\ 62 \end{array} $
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1 9. 18 *13. 03	1. 10	78. 60 74. 27	. 36	. 54 . 56	3. 877	97
6. 47	1 11. 63	. 77	78.96		2.17	4. 061	9
10. 86	1 9. 86	1.65	74. 77		2.86	3. 822	9
7. 37	1 9. 81	2.13	78.29	1. 85	2.40		62
11. 32	1 12. 20	1. 52	72.03		2. 93	3. 841	9
5	*15. 7	4.2	61.1	7.6	4.3		30
14. 3	*15. 0	4.4	61. 3	10. 3	5. 0		30
11. 21 11. 19	² 18. 25 ² 20. 69	$1.63 \\ 1.58$	64. 89 62. 54		4. 02 4. 00	3. 885 3. 922	$184\\184$
	Water Percent 8. 01 11. 07 4. 63 10. 77 8. 76 7. 57 10. 48 9. 69 9. 69 1. 9. 69 1. 0. 48 8. 08 8. 08 8. 08 9. 69 1. 32 1. 37 6. 47 10. 86 7. 37 10. 86 7. 37 11. 32 14. 7 14. 63 10. 48 8. 08 8. 08 9. 19 11. 35 10. 55 10. 58 11. 37 11. 32 14. 7 14. 3 11. 21 11. 19	Water Protein Percent 8.01 $1.0.7$ $*12.75$ 14.63 $2.8.91$ 10.77 $^{2}11.64$ 8.76 $^{2}12.37$ 10.77 $^{2}11.64$ 8.76 $^{2}12.37$ 7.57 $^{2}11.57$ 10.48 $^{2}12.45$ 9.69 $^{1}13.96$ 9.63 $^{1}14.87$ 2.72 $^{2}11.69$ 7.66 $^{2}15.26$ 8.08 $^{2}16.32$ 8.08 $^{2}16.32$ 8.08 $^{2}15.06$ 9.19 $^{9}9.1$ 11.35 $^{2}11.16$ 2.0 $^{1}10.60$ 9.19 $^{9}9.81$ 11.35 $^{2}11.81$ 11.35 $^{1}10.60$ 9.35 $^{1}10.54$ 9.18 $^{1}1.37$ 11.37 $^{1}13.03$ 7.37 9.81 11.32 $^{1}12.20$ 14.7 $^{1}15.0$ 11.21 $^{2}18.25$	Water Protein Fat Percent $\begin{array}{c} Percent\\ \$ 15, 50\\ 11, 07 \\ \$ 12, 75 \\ 11, 07 \\ \$ 12, 75 \\ 11, 07 \\ \$ 12, 75 \\ 90 \\ \hline 14, 63 \\ $2, 8, 91 \\ $1, 27 \\ $2, 12, 37 \\ $2, 07 \\ $1, 57 \\ $2, 11, 57 \\ $1, 207 \\ $2, 12, 37 \\ $2, 07 \\ $3, 76 \\ $2, 12, 37 \\ $2, 07 \\ $1, 57 \\ $2, 11, 57 \\ $1, 207 \\ $2, 12 \\ $3, 76 \\ $2, 72 \\ $1, 13, 96 \\ $1, 48 \\ $2, 12, 45 \\ $2, 48 \\ $3, 76 \\ $2, 72 \\ $1, 13, 96 \\ $1, 48 \\ $1, 10 \\ $1, 48 \\ $1, 10 \\ $1, 37 \\ $1, 30 \\ $1, 11, 37 \\ $1, 30 \\ $1, 37 \\ $1, 30 \\ $1, 37 \\ $1, 30 \\ $1, 11, 32 \\ $1, 12 \\ $2, 11, 16 \\ $1, 12 \\ $1, 12 \\ $1, 12 \\ $1, 12 \\ $1, 12 \\ $1, 12 \\ $1, 12 \\ $1, 12 \\ $1, 12 \\ $1, 12 \\ $1, 12 \\ $1, 12 \\ $1, 12 \\ $1, 12 \\ $1, 12 \\ $1, 16 \\ $1, $	Water Protein Fat Carbol Total (by difference) Percent 8.01 *15.50 2.22 73.52 11.07 *12.75 .90 74.84 14.63 2.8.91 .96 75.18 10.77 2.11.64 1.27 75.56 8.76 2.12.37 2.07 74.92 7.57 2.11.57 0.89 79.06 10.48 2.12.45 2.48 72.92 9.69 1.3.96 1.48 73.62 9.69 1.1.57 0.89 79.06 2.72 2.11.69 1.49 79.13 7.06 21.25 1.89 78.45 8.08 2.16.32 1.47 72.68 9.09 1.8.97 1.66 72.97 1.35 2.11.14 2.12 73.85 6.20 10.60 1.37 80.06 9.13 9.70 1.35 81.27 9.35 10.54 .85 78.76	Water Protein Fat Carbohydrate \mathbb{P}	Water Protein Fat Carbohydrate Total (by difference) Fiber Ash Percent Total (by difference) Percent Percent Percent Total (by difference) Percent Percent	Water Protein Fat Carbohydrate Total (hy difference) Fiber Ash Heat of combuse gram Percent Percent Total (hy difference) Fiber Ash Heat of combuse gram 1 0.7 *15.50 2.22 73.52 Percent .75

 1 N \times 5.7. 2 N \times 6.25. 17 Item No. 164 was prepared from this sample. 18 Composition for macaroni, dry; used here for flour as Snyder found by previous analyses that flour and uncooked macaroni made from it have practically same composition.

¹⁹ Items No. 165 and 187 were prepared from this sample.
²⁰ Estimated by authors of article.
²¹ The wheat was milled to 73 percent and the branny

fraction obtained from the remaining portion. Refers to original grain with a 5 percent loss from cleaning. *N-conversion factor not reported.

TABLE 24.—Composition and heat of combustion of food items used in experiments on human digestibility (table 23)—Continued

				Carbo	hydrate		Heat of	
Test food, description	Water	Protein	Fat	Total (by difference)	Fiber	Ash	tion per gram	Ref. No.
LEGUMES AND NUTS—Continued								
Beans. drv-Continued								
212 Common white navy beans skins	Dereent	Persont	Baraant	Dercont	Darcomt	Paraant	Calorian	
removed_	13. 32	*23.75	1.71	59.50	rencena	1 ercent	Catories	165
213 Common white, navy beans	12.82	*22.06				3. 38		137
215 Kidney beans	11. 25	² 25. 38	1.41	58.38		3.58		184
Cowpeas, dry (Vigna sinensis):								
221 Clay	13. 37	2 23. 19	1.45	58.49		3.50	3. 915	184
222 Usly	10.77	2 21. 94	1.78	61.79		3.72	3.913	184
224 Lady	10.97	2 20. 00	1.75	07.88 69.15		3. 37	4.023	184
225 Lady	10. 27	2 23 75	1.75	60 94		3.40	3.922	184
226 Whippoorwill	8.08	2 23. 00	1. 35	63.64		3, 93	4 071	184
227 Whippoorwill	12.84	2 19. 94	1.48	62.17		3. 57	3. 908	184
228 Whippoorwill	8.36	² 21. 44	1.70	64. 74		3.76	4.040	184
Peas, dry (Pisum sativum):								
235 Peas	13.0	$ ^{2} 21.2$	1.2	61. 9		2.7		145
230 reas	13. 2	² 21. 2	1.2	61.8		2.6		145
238 Peas split	12 61	2 22. 81	1.51	61.71 61.69		2.44		140
Sovbeans, Sovbean Products (Glucine max):	12.01	- 20. 44	. 71	01.08		1. 30		113
248 Soybean flour, about 6.5 percent fat	4.17	2 49. 31	6, 50	34, 22	5 10	5 80	3 716	20
249 Soybean flour, about 3.3 percent fat_	6.5	2 44. 1	3. 3	40.4	5.9	5.7	3, 480	29
252 Soybean curd (Tofu)	87.80	² 5. 83	4.41	1. 25	. 11	. 71		134
Ground nuts or peanuts (Arachis hypogaea):								
261 Peanut four partially defetted	4.88	2 32. 64	47.33	12.59	1. 98	2.56	3. 040	75
Tree nuts	4.44	*58. 98	9.69	23.05	2.54	3. 84		64
264 Almonds (Prunus amuadalus)	4 42	2 17 28	54 30	91 99	9 50	0 70	2 100	7-
265 Brazil nuts (Bertholletia excelsa)	4. 33	² 19, 78	63 31	8 96	2. 50	2.78	3. 129 2. 125	10 75
266 Brazil nuts	5. 28	² 18, 00	66.07	8.00	4 22	5.02 2.65	3 307	75
267 Chestnuts, fresh (Castanea sativa)		² 5. 4	1.4	38.3		2.05	0.001	67
268 Chestnut flour (Castanea dentata)	6.36	² 6. 38	3. 32	81.54		2.40	3. 958	120
(Kernel before processing) ²²	44.89	² 3. 85	2.10	47.75		1. 41	2.372	
270 Pecong (Carua illimormais)	19.17	² 5. 25	51.00	23.44	13.77	1.14	2.712	75
271 Walnuts (Juglans regia) (presum	4. 50	* 15. 07	71.52	6.96	3. 17	1.55	3.551	75
ably Persian or English)	3 97	2 24 58	62 02	6 69	1 07		0.010	
VEGETABLES	0. 01	21.00	02. 92	0. 02	1.87	1. 91	3. 318	75
979 Brazz zwar (D)								
272 Deans, snap (Phaseolus vulgaris) 274 Beets (Bata sulgaris)	92.44	2 1. 16	. 13	5.44	1.04	. 83		57
275 Beets	82. b 85. 4	*1.9	. 3	13.8	1.0	1.4	. 673	33
276 Cabbage (Brassica oleracea yer	00.4	· 2. 2	. 2	10. 8		1.4	. 599	33
capitata)	94. 7	* 9	3	3 2	1 1		014	
277 Cabbage	94.8	*1.0	.3	3.0	1. 1	. 8	. 214	33
278 Cabbage	94.4	*. 9	. ĭ	3.7		.9	203	33 22
280 Cabbage, savoy	89.4	² 2. 1	. 6	6.6		13	. 205	144
281 Carrots (Daucus carota)	90. 53	² . 86	. 33	7.40	1. 12	. 88		134
283 Collarda (Brassing clanges	86.3	² 1. 6	. 2	11.0		.9		144
acenhala)	88 14	2 2 1 2						
284 Corn, green (Zea mays)	76 0	*4 0	. 54	5. 53	1.51	2.36		57
286 Potatoes, white (Solanum tubero-	10.0	1. 9	1. 4	17.3	. 5	.4	1. 112	33
sum)	79.5	*2.2	1	17 4			040	
287 Potatoes, white	78.3	*2.3	. 1	18 4	. 4	.8	. 848	33
200 Potatoes, white	81. 2	*1.9	. 3	15. 5		1 1	789	00 22
201 Folatoes, white	73.4	*2.3		23. 3		1.0	. 104	144
293 Potatoes white	74.33	*2.38	. 03	22.32	. 28	. 94		42
294 Potatoes, white ²³	80.16 75.1	⁴ 1. 49	. 07	17.35	. 39	. 93		134
295 Potatoes, white	77 66	2 2 0 1		21.8		1.0	1.014	69
296 Potatoes, white	74. 80	² 1 20		19.47		. 84		69
9 NT > 4 0.05		20 .		40. 19 I.	·	. 81		69

 2 N \times 6.25. 22 Item No. 268 was prepared from this sample.

²³ Average of several samples weighted by their con-sumption during period of digestion experiment. *N-conversion factor not reported.

TABLE 24.—Composition and heat of combustion of food items used in experiments on human digestibility (table 23)—Continued

					Carbol	hydrate		Heat of	
	Test food, description	Water	Protein	Fat	Total (by difference)	Fiber	Ash	tion per gram	Ref. No.
297 298 299 300 301 302	VEGETABLES —Continued Potatoes, white Potatoes, white Potatoes, white Potatoes, white Potatoes, white ²³ Potatoes, white ²³	Percent 73. 66 76. 23 77. 66 74. 80 74. 3 79. 4	Percent ² 1. 95 ² 2. 11 ² 2. 04 ² 1. 20 ² 1. 8 ² 1. 4	Percent	Percent 23. 38 20. 70 19. 47 23. 19 23. 2 18. 4	Percent	Percent 1. 01 . 96 . 84 . 81 . 8 . 9	Calories	69 69 69 69 69 69
311 312	Pumpkin (Cucurbita pepo) Sweetpotatoes, partially dried (Ipomoea batatas)	84. 01 4. 96	² 1. 94 ² 1. 71	. 19	13. 23 90. 27	1. 30 7. 65	. 63 2. 30		134 134
	FRUITS								
322 323	Bananas (Musa paradisiaca var. sapientum) Grapes (Vitis spp.), ²⁴ mixture of Tokay, Muscat and Cornichon	77.15 86.8	² 1. 60 ² . 7	. 24	20. 20 12. 0	. 52 . 5	. 81		75 75
	MISCELLANEOUS								
330 331	Yeast, dried Yeast, fresh compressed	6. 8 2 69. 92	²⁵ 47. 25 ² 15. 20		 			4. 478 1. 443	87 87

 2 N \times 6.25. 23 Average of several samples weighted by their consumption during period of digestion experiment.

 24 Weighted average of 3 kinds of European type grapes used in the digestion experiment. 26 N $\,\times\,$ 6.25. Authors also reported 7.05 percent protein N and 0.51 percent purine N.